EXHIBIT H - PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA MATRIX

Criteria	Maximum Points	Points Received
 Consistency with the City's Project Goals as Outlined in Part 1.B a. Overall quality of (re)development project, including proposed design and if the project is aesthetically and functionally compatible with development in the area. 	35	
b. Evaluation of, and if the Proposal will add vitality and catalyze further	40	
redevelopment in the area. c. Past performance of individuals or project team on similar projects.	30	
d. Evaluation of the achievability of the Proposer's time schedule and the Proposer's ability to complete the project satisfactorily in a timely manner.	20	
e. Compatibility with City's adopted plans, goals and objectives for the area.* If variances are required, they should be readily achievable. If not, points will be reduced.	15	
Benefit to the Community a. Proposed benefit to the community, as compared to the City's investment in the project. (Removal of blight, creation of jobs, promotion of economic development, creation of housing, etc.)	20	
3. Satisfaction of Neighborhood Goalsa. Does the proposed redevelopment of the Subject Site appear to satisfy a perceived need for the Barelas Neighborhood?	15	
Financial Structure a. Evaluation of project budget, including financial feasibility of project construction.	30	
 Evaluation of financial pro-forma, including financial feasibility of project operation. 	30	
5. Financial Capacity		
a. Provided adequate information showing evidence of interest from all proposed financial sources for the Proposer's redevelopment.	10	
b. Proposer demonstrates adequate financial risk in the Project.	25	
 c. Proposer demonstrates adequate financial capacity to develop the proposed Project (evaluated through information provided in Other Financial Obligations, Financing References, and Financial Statements) 	30	
TOTAL POINTS	300	

Completeness of the proposal will be evaluated within all of the above criteria.