## CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

## INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: LUPZ Committee

FROM: Bruce Thompson, Policy Analyst

SUBJECT: Response to Concerns Raised on Behalf of Sigmond Bloom

DATE: September 14, 2011

A concern has been raised as to ambiguity surrounding the provision on Non-Conforming Uses at page 131 of the Post EPC Draft. I think the concern is well placed and clarification is necessary. The Sector Plan needs to clarify that the five year requirement for compliance applies to uses and does not apply to buildings. It should not be difficult to amend the language to make the intent clear.

I have not searched for all locations where non-conformance is discussed in the Sector Plan so am not suggesting specific language.