FITCH RATES ALBUQUERQUE, NM'S \$23MM UNLIMITED TAX BONDS 'AA+'; OUTLOOK STABLE

Fitch Ratings-Austin-23 February 2017: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AA+' rating to the following Albuquerque, NM bonds:

--\$22.9 million general purpose bonds, series 2017A.

Bond proceeds will fund various public improvements and pay issuance costs. The bonds are scheduled to sell competitively on March 6.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

SECURITY

The general purpose bonds are payable from an annual unlimited property tax levy.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

The city's 'AA+' Issuer Default Rating (IDR) and bond ratings reflect ample revenue flexibility, demonstrated spending control, a modest long-term liability burden, and the maintenance of structural balance. Management's prudent budgeting of its resource base benefits the city's prospects for maintaining structural balance through economic cycles.

Economic Resource Base

Albuquerque is the largest city in New Mexico, accounting for about one-quarter of the state's population. The city's population grew a notable 21.6% from 2000 to 2010, although recent growth trends have been very modest, resulting in an estimated population of 559,121 in fiscal 2016.

Revenue Framework: 'aaa' factor assessment

The pace of revenue growth will likely modestly exceed inflation due to modest population growth and uneven economic expansion. Gross receipts tax revenue-raising flexibility is ample.

Expenditure Framework: 'aa' factor assessment

Fitch expects the pace of expenditure growth to generally track revenue gains. Expenditure flexibility is aided by prudent budgeting and moderate carrying costs for debt service and retiree benefits.

Long-Term Liability Burden: 'aaa' factor assessment

The combined overall debt and unfunded pension liability burden is modest at approximately 9% of personal income. Debt comprises the majority of the liability. Modest direct debt plans and overlapping debt issuances may increase the overall liability burden, but Fitch expects it to remain modest.

Operating Performance: 'aaa' factor assessment

Operational flexibility during economic downturns is derived from the city's ample gross receipts taxing margin and solid spending control, supplemented by state-required reserve levels.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Shift in Fundamentals: The IDR and GO bond rating are sensitive to material change in the city's strong revenue-raising and expenditure flexibility and solid financial position, which Fitch expects the city to maintain throughout economic cycles.

CREDIT PROFILE

The broad-based economy is led by the government, healthcare/education, and trade/transportation/utilities sectors. Key federal installations include Kirtland Air Force Base and Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) in which troop strength and direct jobs account for a manageable 5% of the MSA's employment base. SNL has attracted a highly educated workforce to the area, but it is vulnerable to budget restrictions and shifts in defense spending priorities.

The area's high-tech hub includes firms engaged in microelectronics (Intel, Raytheon) and aerospace (Boeing and Eclipse Aerospace). Intel's workforce in nearby Rio Rancho (IDR of 'AA') has declined to 1,900 from 3,300 since 2013. Plans are underway for a \$600 million replacement of the University of New Mexico Hospital, which is scheduled to start construction in 2017.

The area's recovery from the last economic downturn has been tepid. Employment gains have been stagnant, but the unemployment rate remains in line with the U.S. average. Median home values continue to rise moderately but remain below their peak in 2007 as are building permits.

Revenue Framework

The city's operating revenues are heavily dependent on municipal and state-shared gross receipts taxes (GRTs) which comprise 64% of general fund revenues, followed by property taxes (16%). A trend of moderate GRT revenue growth is likely to continue as recent private investments and associated job gains are partially offset by the uneven performance of the city's employment sectors such as manufacturing.

Fitch expects the city's revenues to grow modestly above the level of inflation (CPI) but below the pace of U.S. GDP based on the city's historical trends.

The city retains ample revenue flexibility in the form of various local-option municipal GRTs that it can impose without a voter referendum. The largest unutilized GRT is the 3/8% hold-harmless GRT, which was authorized by the state in conjunction with the elimination of hold-harmless appropriations for state-shared GRT exemptions on food and medical expenses. The 3/8% hold-harmless GRT would generate \$115 million in additional revenue, equal to a large 24% of fiscal 2016 general fund revenues.

Recessionary pressures on the city's revenues were exacerbated by reductions in the local option GRT rate and lapses in GRT levy authority. The city reduced its GRT by 0.125% in fiscal 2008 and authority for a 0.25% GRT lapsed for five months in fiscal 2010.

Expenditure Framework

General fund expenditures are led by public safety which comprises 51% of spending.

The city's pace of spending is expected to remain in line with revenue gains but pressured by growing public safety spending and the service pressures of a population base with a moderately elevated poverty rate.

A high 81% of the city's workforce is represented by labor unions. Management maintains a large degree of control over headcount, but wages and benefits are negotiated through labor contracts. Management can ultimately impose its terms after following a structured framework in the event of an impasse. The terms of the labor contracts are typically one to two years and only one group's contract is currently expired and under negotiation. The city's fixed-cost burden is moderate, with

carrying costs for debt, pension and other post-employment benefits equal to 18% of governmental spending.

Long-Term Liability Burden

The long-term liability burden (comprised of ULT bonds, GRT bonds, overlapping debt, and the net pension liability) is low at 9% of personal income. Direct debt, overlapping debt, and the net pension liability each contribute about one-third of the liability. Future direct debt issuance plans are manageable. The city's practice is to hold biennial GO bond elections for about \$120 million which management expects to supplement with additional GRT debt issuances. Coupled with a rapid 10-year principal amortization rate (75% of ULT and GRT principal), the city's measured debt plans should keep its liability burden at a modest level.

Full-time city employees participate in the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) of New Mexico, a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. PERA reforms effective in 2013 increased contribution rates and established a new tier of benefits for new hires. The city funds its actuarially-determined pension contribution, and the unfunded pension liability is \$671 million (adjusted to reflect a 7% rate of return assumption) or 3% of personal income.

Operating Performance

The city's strong financial resilience is derived from a combination of revenue and expenditure flexibility and solid reserve levels.

The fiscal 2016 audit posted a modest \$3.4 million (0.7% of spending) drawdown due to one-time spending and GRT revenue trends that came in modestly under budget (2.2% growth vs. budgeted 3%). The resulting unrestricted fund balance totaled \$59.9 million or 11.9% of spending, exceeding the state-required reserve level of one-month (8.3%).

The revised fiscal 2017 budget balances recurring revenues and expenditures but includes a \$16 million drawdown (3% of spending) due to one-time spending. However, management typically outperforms its projections, and Fitch expects the city will make progress toward reducing the projected use of reserves. The revised budget projects GRT revenue growth of 2.3%, which Fitch believes is reasonable.

Aggressive budget cuts in the wake of the last recession allowed the city's finances to post operating surpluses in four out of the last seven fiscal years. If adjusted for capital outlays, balanced operations or net surpluses were recorded in every year of that period.

Contact:

Primary Analyst Jose Acosta Senior Director +1-512-215-3726 Fitch Ratings, Inc. 111 Congress Avenue Austin, TX 78701

Secondary Analyst Leslie Cook Associate Director +1-512-215-3740

Committee Chairperson Arlene Bohner

Senior Director +1-212-908-0554

Media Relations: Elizabeth Fogerty, New York, Tel: +1 (212) 908 0526, Email: elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available at 'www.fitchratings.com'.

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis and InvestorTools.

Applicable Criteria U.S. Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 18 Apr 2016) https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/879478

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001