



CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT ADVISORY BOARD

Zander Bolyanatz, Board Chair
Aaron Calderon, Board Vice-Chair
Eduardo Budanauro, Board Member
Rowan Wymark, Board Member
Diane McDermott, Executive Director, CPOA
Ali Abbasi, Deputy Director, CPOA

AGENDA

Thursday, March 12, 2026, at 5:00 PM
Vincent E. Griego Chambers

Viewing: The meeting is available for public viewing on GOVTV, Comcast Channel 16, streamed live on the GOVTV website at <https://www.cabq.gov/culturalservices/govtv>, or on YouTube at <https://www.cabq.gov/cpoa/events/public-notice-civilian-police-oversight-advisory-board-meeting-03-12-2026>. The GOVTV live stream can be accessed from most smartphones, tablets, or computers at these addresses.

Rulemaking: The CPOAB will consider, discuss, and potentially take action on the Proposed CPOAB Policies and Procedures. [Click Here to View the Proposed CPOAB Policies and Procedures](#)

PO Box 12999
Albuquerque
Public Comment: The CPOAB will accept general public comment and public comment on the meeting's specific agenda items in person and written form via email until 3:00 p.m. on the day of the meeting. Submissions for public comment can be emailed directly to the Civilian Police Oversight Advisory Board (CPOAB) at oab@cabq.gov.

I. Call to Order and Roll Call– Zander Bolyanatz, Chair

- a. Acknowledgment of Service to the CPOAB by Board member
Rowan Wymark

II. Pledge of Allegiance

- a. A moment of silence for BCSO Sergeant Michael Schlattman

III. Approval of the Agenda

IV. Review and Approval of Minutes

- a. January 12, 2026
- b. January 24, 2026 Special Meeting

V. Reports from City Departments:

- a. **APD**
 - 1. Internal Affairs Professional Standards (IAPS)– *Major Dodi Camacho*
 - 2. Internal Affairs Force Division (IAFD)
- b. **ACS– Jefferey Bustamante, Deputy Director**

- c. **City Attorney-** *Lindsey Rosebrough, Managing Attorney*
- d. **City Council-** *Chris Sylvan, Council Services*
- e. **Community Policing Council (CPC)-** *Kelly Mensah, Community Outreach Manager*
- f. **Mayor's Office** [*Standing Item*]
- g. **CPOA-** *Diane McDermott, Executive Director*
 - 1. APD Nonconcurrency of Findings
 - a. CPC 193-24
 - b. CPC 307-24
 - 2. APD Nonconcurrency of Discipline
 - a. CPC 026-25
 - b. CPC 054-25
 - c. CPC 065-25
 - d. CPC 111-25
 - e. CPC 121-25
 - f. CPC 132-25
 - g. CPC 144-25

VI. Public Comment [Public comment is limited to three minutes unless extended by the Chairperson]

VII. Appeal

- a. **CPC 109-25**
 - i. Hearing on CPC 109-25
 - ii. CLOSED SESSION pursuant to Section 10-15-1(H)(3) NMSA 1978, excluding deliberations by the CPOAB in connection with an administrative adjudicatory proceeding from the requirements of the NM Open Meetings Act.
- b. **CPC 143-25**
 - i. Hearing on CPC 143-25
 - ii. CLOSED SESSION pursuant to Section 10-15-1(H)(3) NMSA 1978, excluding deliberations by the CPOAB in connection with an administrative adjudicatory proceeding from the requirements of the NM Open Meetings Act.

VIII. Serious Use of Force Case(s):

- a. APD Case #25-0021682 *Eduardo Budanauro*
- b. APD Case #25-0034031 *Rowan Wymark*

IX. Officer-Involved Shooting Case(s):

- a. APD Case #25-0015152 *Zander Bolyanatz*
- b. APD Case #25-0043470 *Aaron Calderon*

X. Report from CPOAB Subcommittee(s):

- a. Ad Hoc Rules Committee – Zander Bolyanatz**
 - 1. A meeting was held on February 26, 2026, at 4 p.m.
 - 2. Report from committee
 - 3. Next meeting TBD
- b. Policy and Procedure Review Subcommittee – Aaron Calderon**
 - 1. A meeting was held on March 5, 2026, at 11 a.m.
 - 2. Report from Subcommittee
 - 3. Next meeting, April 2, 2026, at 3 p.m.

XI. Discussion and Possible Action:

- a. Proposed CPOAB Policies and Procedures – Zander Bolyanatz**
 - 1. Public Comment
 - 2. Approval of Proposed CPOAB Policies and Procedures to forward to the City Council
- b. Board Training Request(s) Updates – Zander Bolyanatz**
- c. APD Policy – Gabe Remer**
 - 1. No Recommendation(s):**
 - A. SOP 1-30 Community Ambassador Program
 - B. SOP 1-70 Open Space Unit
 - C. SOP 2-23 Use of K-9 Unit
 - D. SOP 2-44 Traffic and Roadway Services
 - E. SOP 2-62 Criminal Background Investigation
 - F. SOP 2-94 Drone as a First Responder (DFR) Program
 - G. SOP 2-114 Foot Pursuit
 - H. SOP 3-32 Performance Evaluations
 - I. SOP 3-52 Policy Development Process
 - 2. APD Response to Policy Recommendation(s):** [Standing Item]
- d. Election of Chair**
- e. Election of Vice-Chair**
- f. Committee Assignments**
 - 1. Policy and Procedure Review Subcommittee
 - 2. Ad Hoc Audit Committee
- g. Delegation of Board Member to APD Policy Development Committee (PPRB)**

XII. Other Business

XIII. Adjournment- *The next regularly scheduled Board meeting will be held on Thursday, April 9, 2026.*

ATTENTION: Unless the board decides otherwise, a dinner break will occur at a natural breaking point during the meeting.

If you are an individual with a disability who is in need of a reader, amplifier, qualified sign language interpreter, or any other form of auxiliary aid or service to attend or participate in the hearing or meeting, please contact the Office of the Civilian Police Oversight Agency at 505-924-3770 or cpoa@cabq.gov at least one (1) week prior to the meeting or as soon as possible. Public documents, including the agenda and minutes, can be provided in various accessible formats. For general inquiries about this agenda, contact Katrina Sigala, CPOA Executive Assistant, at cpoa@cabq.gov.

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE



CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT ADVISORY BOARD

Aaron Calderon, Board Chair
Rowan Wymark, Board Vice-Chair
Zander Bolyanatz, Board Member
Shama Newton, Board, Member
Gail Oliver, Board Member
Diane McDermott, Executive Director, CPOA

July 31, 2026

Via Email

[REDACTED]

Re: Notice of Appeal Decision for CPC # 109-25

PO Box 1293

APPEAL FINDINGS:

Dear Ms. S. A

Albuquerque

An appeal hearing was scheduled for March 12, 2026 at 5:00 p.m. in response to the appeal request. You were notified on that your appeal would be heard during this meeting of the Civilian Police Oversight Advisory Board (CPOAB).

NM 87103

The Board reviewed the complaint, investigation, case materials, findings of the CPOA Executive Director, grounds for appeal, and information presented during the hearing.

www.cabq.gov

After careful consideration and within the scope of its authority under the Civilian Police Oversight Ordinance, the Board determined that the appeal **did not** meet the established standard for modifying or overturning the findings that are listed on the last page of this document.

Accordingly, the CPOAB concurs with and affirms the findings of the CPOA Executive Director. The original findings will remain unchanged.

The CPOAB appreciates your participation in the civilian police oversight process and your diligence in bringing your concerns to the attention of the CPOA and the Board. Complaints and appeals may provide valuable information that, when appropriate, informs recommendations regarding APD policies, procedures, training, or practices.

MATERIALS REVIEWED BY THE BOARD:

Video(s): Yes

APD Report(s): Yes

CAD Report(s): Yes

Complainant Appeared: Yes

Witness(es) Appeared: No

APD Employee Appeared: N/A

APD Employee Involved: Officer D

Date Hearing Completed: March 12, 2026

APPEAL FINDINGS

Policy Upheld: **1.1.5.A.4: General Conduct and Responsibilities**


1. **Unfounded.** Investigation classification when the investigator(s) determines, by clear and convincing evidence, that alleged misconduct did not occur or did not involve the subject officer.




2. **Sustained.** Investigation classification when the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, the alleged misconduct did occur by the subject officer.




3. **Not Sustained.** Investigation classification when the investigator(s) is unable to determine one way or the other, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether the alleged misconduct either occurred or did not occur.



4. **Exonerated.** Investigation classification where the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that alleged conduct in the underlying complaint did occur but did not violate APD policies, procedures, or training.



5. **Sustained Violation Not Based on Original Complaint.** Investigation classification where the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, misconduct did occur that was not alleged in the original complaint (whether CPC or internal complaint) but that other misconduct was discovered during the investigation, and by a preponderance of the evidence, that misconduct did occur.



6. **Administratively Closed.** Investigation classification where the investigator determines: The policy violations of a minor nature and do not constitute a pattern of misconduct (i.e. a violation subject to a class 7 sanction, -the allegations are duplicative; -the allegations, even if true, do not constitute misconduct; or -the investigation cannot be conducted because of the lack of information in the complaint, and further investigation would be futile.



Additional Comments:

The CPOAB concurs with and affirms the findings of the CPOA Executive Director. The original findings will remain unchanged.

You have exercised your right to appeal this decision as specified in the Oversight Ordinance 9-4-1-10. As was explained in the hearing proceedings in order for the Advisory Board to modify the Director's findings your appeal must demonstrate one or more of the following:


- 1) A policy was misapplied in the evaluation of the complaint;
- 2) That the findings or recommendations were arbitrary, capricious or constituted an abuse of discretion; or
- 3) that the findings and recommendations were not consistent with the record evidence.

The Advisory Board has considered the statements provided by you, the complainant, any witnesses you may have provided, any evidence if deemed admissible, the Agency's position, the Albuquerque Police Department's position and the officer's position if the officer chose to appear.

The Advisory Board may only modify or change the findings and/or recommendations and make further recommendations if one of the above listed conditions was met. The details of the findings are on the second page of this communication.

Thank you for participating in the process of the Civilian Oversight of the Police, ensuring officers and personnel of the APD are held accountable, and improving the process.

Sincerely,
The Civilian Police Oversight Advisory Board by



Aaron Calderon, Chair
(505) 924-3770

cc: Civilian Police Oversight Agency
Office of Police Reform



CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT ADVISORY BOARD

Aaron Calderon, Board Chair
Rowan Wymark, Board Vice-Chair
Zander Bolyanatz, Board Member
Shama Newton, Board, Member
Gail Oliver, Board Member
Diane McDermott, Executive Director, CPOA

July 31, 2026

Via Email



Re: Notice of Appeal Decision for CPC # 143-25

PO Box 1293

APPEAL FINDINGS:

Dear Mr. I [REDACTED]

Albuquerque

An appeal hearing was scheduled for March 12, 2026 at 5:00 p.m. in response to the appeal request. You were notified on that your appeal would be heard during this meeting of the Civilian Police Oversight Advisory Board (CPOAB).

NM 87103

The Board reviewed the complaint, investigation, case materials, findings of the CPOA Executive Director, grounds for appeal, and information presented during the hearing.

www.cabq.gov

After careful consideration and within the scope of its authority under the Civilian Police Oversight Ordinance, the Board determined that the appeal **did not** meet the established standard for modifying or overturning the findings that are listed on the last page of this document.

Accordingly, the CPOAB concurs with and affirms the findings of the CPOA Executive Director. The original findings will remain unchanged.

The CPOAB appreciates your participation in the civilian police oversight process and your diligence in bringing your concerns to the attention of the CPOA and the Board. Complaints and appeals may provide valuable information that, when appropriate, informs recommendations regarding APD policies, procedures, training, or practices.

MATERIALS REVIEWED BY THE BOARD:

Video(s): Yes

APD Report(s): Yes

CAD Report(s): Yes

Complainant Appeared: Yes

Witness(es) Appeared: No

APD Employee Appeared: N/A

APD Employee Involved: Detective J

Date Hearing Completed: March 12, 2026

APPEAL FINDINGS

Policy Upheld: **1.1.5.A.1 & 2.19.10.A.3**

1. **Unfounded.** Investigation classification when the investigator(s) determines, by clear and convincing evidence, that alleged misconduct did not occur or did not involve the subject officer.



2. **Sustained.** Investigation classification when the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, the alleged misconduct did occur by the subject officer.



3. **Not Sustained.** Investigation classification when the investigator(s) is unable to determine one way or the other, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether the alleged misconduct either occurred or did not occur.




4. **Exonerated.** Investigation classification where the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that alleged conduct in the underlying complaint did occur but did not violate APD policies, procedures, or training.



5. **Sustained Violation Not Based on Original Complaint.** Investigation classification where the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, misconduct did occur that was not alleged in the original complaint (whether CPC or internal complaint) but that other misconduct was discovered during the investigation, and by a preponderance of the evidence, that misconduct did occur.



6. **Administratively Closed.** Investigation classification where the investigator determines: The policy violations of a minor nature and do not constitute a pattern of misconduct (i.e. a violation subject to a class 7 sanction, -the allegations are duplicative; -the allegations, even if true, do not constitute misconduct; or -the investigation cannot be conducted because of the lack of information in the complaint, and further investigation would be futile.



Additional Comments:

The CPOAB concurs with and affirms the findings of the CPOA Executive Director. The original findings will remain unchanged.

You have exercised your right to appeal this decision as specified in the Oversight Ordinance 9-4-1-10. As was explained in the hearing proceedings in order for the Advisory Board to modify the Director's findings your appeal must demonstrate one or more of the following:


- 1) A policy was misapplied in the evaluation of the complaint;
- 2) That the findings or recommendations were arbitrary, capricious or constituted an abuse of discretion; or
- 3) that the findings and recommendations were not consistent with the record evidence.

The Advisory Board has considered the statements provided by you, the complainant, any witnesses you may have provided, any evidence if deemed admissible, the Agency's position, the Albuquerque Police Department's position and the officer's position if the officer chose to appear.

The Advisory Board may only modify or change the findings and/or recommendations and make further recommendations if one of the above listed conditions was met. The details of the findings are on the second page of this communication.

Thank you for participating in the process of the Civilian Oversight of the Police, ensuring officers and personnel of the APD are held accountable, and improving the process.

Sincerely,
The Civilian Police Oversight Advisory Board by

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A. Calderon', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Aaron Calderon, Chair
(505) 924-3770

cc: Civilian Police Oversight Agency
Office of Police Reform