CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT BOARD

Thursday, June 9, 2016 – 5:00 PM
Vincent E. Griego Chambers, Basement Level
City/County Government Center – One Civic Plaza NW
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Members Present:  Members Absent  Others Present:
Beth Mohr, Chair  Susanne Brown  Edward Harness
Leonard Waites, Vice Chair  Michelle Contreras
Eric Cruz  Diane McDermott
Joanne Fine  Mark Baker, Esq.
Dr. Lisa M. Orick-Martinez  Lt. Jennifer Garcia
Dr. Carlotta A. Garcia  Julian Moya
Rev. Dr. David Z. Ring III  Miriam Verploegh
  Natalee Davila, IA Program Data Analyst

Amended Minutes

I. Welcome and call to order: Chair Mohr called the meeting to order at 5:02 p.m.

II. Pledge of Allegiance – Chair Mohr led the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

III. Approval of the Agenda: Copies of the agenda were distributed.

A. Move and/or add the following items the following letters to item V:
   i. Move item XI., iv. Letter re: After-Hours Communications, Probation and Parole to item five (V), letter B.
   ii. Add POB’s Letter to Chief Eden re: Use of Force Policy dated April 18, 2016 and Chief Eden’s response letter dated June 2, 2016 to agenda under item five (V), letter C.

B. Member Waites moved to approve the agenda with changes. Member Fine seconded the motion. Approved.
   For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

IV. Public Comments
   A. Dr. Bill Kass - Summary of comments to the Board: Dr. Kass spoke about public input on APD Policy. Dr. Kass says that Public Policy must include public input, consent and that it is democratic consent of the governed. He says that without public trust, confidence and respect, policing becomes impossible. APD can write SOP’s and they can make practices but should not write policy. He believes that policy writing should be in control of the public and that a member of the public should be included in the committee that is in charge of public policy. The Chief of Police has no obligation to accept the POB’s recommendations and only responds in writing why he doesn’t have to recommend it. Dr. Kass suggests that issue can be easily
changed and says that the POB can go to the Mayor and the Mayor can hold the Police Chief accountable.

V. Letters and Response from Chief

A. Police Oversight Board Training Letter to Chief. Chair Mohr and Mark Baker discussed the issues in reference to new member POB training. Mark Baker will notify the Board and the new members of any forthcoming progress in his discussions with APD for present and future trainings at the police academy.

B. Letter: After-Hours Communications, Probation and Parole.

i. Chair Mohr discussed the letter the POB sent to the Chief Eden on May 27, 2016 regarding after-hours communication with the Probation & Parole in order to clarify procedure for Juvenile offenders with bench warrants. See attachment “A” for letter dated May 27, 2016 from the POB to Chief Eden regarding After-Hours Communication with Probation and Parole.

ii. Chief Eden responded on June 8th to the POB letter dated May 27, 2016 regarding the after-hours contact information for Probation and Parole. The two Probation and Parole phone numbers were verified and the Department will re-publish those numbers throughout the department. See attachment “B” for letter dated June 8, 2016 from Chief Eden to the POB regarding After-Hours Communication with Probation and Parole.

iii. Member Garcia was concerned that the letter from Chief Eden was not clear on whether or not Juvenile Probation was included. Lt. Garcia says that she will clarify that for Member Garcia.

C. Letter: Use of Force Policy

i. Chair Mohr discussed the letter the POB sent to the Chief of Police on April 18, 2016 regarding APD’s Use of Force Policy. The letter was initiated due to the POB’s exclusion in the Policy making process of the UOF Policy and their requests for language changes in the policy. See attachment “C” for letter dated April 18, 2016 from the POB to Chief Eden regarding the Use of Force Policy.

ii. Chief Eden responded on June 2, 2016 to the POB letter dated April 18, 2016 regarding the POB’s concerns regarding the UOF Policy. Chief Eden acknowledged that the POB was excluded from the process because the department was trying to meet a deadline. The Chief also discussed the new policy and procedure process. See attachment “D” for letter dated June 2, 2016 from Chief Eden to the POB regarding the Use of Force policy.

iii. Chair Mohr discussed that the letter does not specifically entail Chief Eden’s response to their language proposal.

iv. Members discussed with Legal Counsel Mark Baker the Board’s role in the policy making under the Settlement Agreement.

v. The Board is concerned about the process and the whole reason why they are on the Board.
vi. Member Fine made a motion to defer the discussion on whether or not the POB should send another letter to Chief Eden asking him to clarify his response to their specific suggestions as to the policy changes. Member Waites seconded the motion. **Approved.**

For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

vii. After the discussion with Assistant City Attorney Jenica Jacobi and Bill Slauson of APD, the discussion to send a second letter to Chief Eden was revisited. Member Ring made a motion to send a second letter to Chief in lieu of going directly to City Council at this time. The motion was amended to include that Attorney Mark Baker will draft the letter to the Chief, which will ask for clarification of the points not covered in his letter. The draft letter will be forwarded and reviewed by Chair Mohr and Vice Chair Waites without the letter coming back to the POB for approval. Member Fine seconded the motion. **Approved.**

For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

VI. **Jenica Jacobi, Assistant City Attorney & Bill Slauson – Discussion of the Policy Approval process.**

A. Ms. Jacobi, Assistant City Attorney, explained the overview of the Pre-Settlement APD Policy development and approval process. See policy approval flow chart as attachment “E”.

B. There are 36 approved policies related to the Settlement Agreement. This means that these policies are compliant with the Settlement Agreement and are up to standards on Constitutional Policing. Ms. Jacobi agreed that the 36 policies went through without the Police Oversight Board working on them.

C. The policy process started over a year ago after the Settlement Agreement was signed. Subject-matter experts within APD, which were officers typically in the area, would develop the changes necessary to become compliant with the Settlement Agreement.

D. Bill Slauson, Executive Director of the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), discussed the Post-Settlement APD Policy Approval Process. See attached policy approval flow chart as attachment “E”.


F. Vice-Chair Waites discussed that policy development should include best practices in the policy process and policy implementation.

G. Member Fine would like the policy process to be clearer.

H. Mr. Slauson clarified that Judge Garcia was speaking about the case not the policy process.

I. Mr. Slauson offers his SOP Liaison to train the POB on Power DMS. The training can be done in person or by telephone.

J. APD has appointed a new coordinator to attend the OPA meetings. The coordinator will make sure that policy suggestions from the general public, POB members, and/or groups, get a response.
K. The six (6) and twelve (12) month policy review schedule is posted on the APD website along with the policies located here:
http://www.cabq.gov/police/our-department/standard-operating-procedures

L. Member Garcia says she will be looking at the different ways to make the Citizen input form legible and readable for the public who might not be computer literate and/or English literate.

VII. Dr. James Ginger, DOJ Monitor. Summary of comments to the Board: Since day one, Dr. Ginger and Dan Giaquinto have been following the process very closely. They have watched the process as it matures and have liberally commented on it in their reports. The 3rd report, which is approximately 370 pages, will be released in a little over a month. Mr. Giaquinto feeds a lot of the information he compiles into his sections of the report. Dr. Ginger emphasized the communication doorway between the POB and his team. They are available anytime to listen to concerns and make sure those concerns are reflected in either the reporting process or in the resolution process between the monitoring team and the City.

A. Members discussed with Dr. Ginger the best policy practices used as guiding principles from other cities such as Seattle, Portland, New Jersey, Pittsburg and Los Angeles.

B. Dr. Ginger is happy to help the POB and the subcommittee in the process of educating the POB on the policy process.

C. Dr. Ginger recommended that the POB look at the policies that the State Police in New Jersey are utilizing. He offered to get copies of those policies from New Jersey if the Board cannot retrieve them.

VIII. Review and approval of minutes:

A. Member Ring made a motion to approve the minutes from the May 17, 2016 POB meeting as written. Chair Waites seconded the motion. Approved.
   For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

IX. Consent Agenda Cases: The cases on the consent agenda have been individually reviewed by the Board members and the POB agrees with the CPOA’s findings. The findings become part of the officer's file, if applicable.

A. Administratively Closed Cases
   205-14  077-15  250-15  042-16  059-16
   065-16  085-16

B. Cases more than 120 Days
   219-15  254-15  001-16  005-16

C. Cases less than 120 Days
   190-15  200-15  224-15  251-15  010-16
   025-16  048-16  077-16

D. Chair Waites made a motion to approve the cases on the consent agenda. Member Cruz seconded the motion. Approved.
   For: Cruz, Fine, Mohr, Ring, Waites.
E. Copies of the full findings letters to citizens can be found at:
http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa/findings-letters/civilian-complaints-pob-findings

X. Cases to be heard by the POB 027-16, 060-16, 029-16: According to the Agreement between the APD Union and the City of Albuquerque, the POB is not allowed to know the identity of the police officer named in the complaint. In accordance with the bargaining agreement, the CPOA does not reveal the officer’s name to the Board. Director Harness read a summary of each of the following cases:

A. The Complainant in CPC 027-16 called police regarding a situation in her neighborhood. She alleged that Officer M. failed to record the interaction with her, that he was argumentative, dismissive and took steps to denigrate her to justify his poor behavior towards her and that he made false statements in his report.

i. The CPOA’s findings of CPC027-16 for Officer M. are:
   a. Sustained for violation of SOP 1-39-2(B) concerning all APD sworn personnel to record each and every contact with a citizen during their shift that is the result of a dispatched call for service.
   b. Not Sustained for violation of SOP 1-04-1(F) concerning APD Personnel shall conduct themselves both on and off duty in such a manner as to reflect most favorably on the department.
   c. Sustained for violation of SOP 1-04-4(U) concerning all APD personnel shall not alter, misrepresent, or otherwise make any false statement on any report or other written document which has been filled out in the course of their employment.
   d. Discipline Recommendations: As to the two (2) sustained violations: SOP 1-39-2(B) and 1-04-4(U), the CPOA recommends that Officer M. be placed on an eight (8) hour suspension.
   e. Member Fine made a motion to accept Mr. Harness’s recommendations including discipline. Member Ring seconded the motion. Approved.
   For: Cruz, Fine, Mohr, Ring, Waites.
   f. A copy of full findings letter for CPC 027-16 can be found at:
http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa/findings-letters/civilian-complaints-pob-findings

B. The Complainant in CPC 060-16 called police regarding a Domestic Violence situation in which his girlfriend was intoxicated and arrested for Battery against a Household Member. The girlfriend alleged possible sexual misconduct by Officer V. due to the lack of clothing and because the officer did not tag those items in with her when she was booked at the PTC.

i. The CPOA’s findings of CPC 060-16 for Officer V. are:
   a. Exonerated for violation of SOP 1-2-3 (4B) concerning an Officer’s shall abide by the guidelines in decision making.
b. **Sustained** for violation of SOP 2-08-2 (M3 a.b) concerning disposition of evidence.

c. **Discipline Recommendation:** The CPOA Recommends that Officer V. is given a verbal reprimand.

d. **Policy recommendation:** The CPOA recommends that the Officers across the city be reminded at their roll calls that they should not be throwing away people’s property.

e. Member Fine made a motion to accept Mr. Harness’s recommendations, his letter, and his findings, as well as the discipline recommendations and recommendations for re-educating at roll call. Member Fine amended her motion to include a request reminder training for officers who are called to Domestic Violence situations. Vice Chair Waites seconded the motion. **Approved.**

For: Cruz, Fine, Mohr, Ring, Waites.

f. A copy of full findings letter for CPC 060-16 can be found at: http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa/findings-letters/civilian-complaints-pob-finders

C. The Complainant in CPC 029-16 alleged that Officer L. and Officer D. did nothing to a drug dealer who threatened her with a gun. Instead, she alleged the Officers pointed an AR-15 and a shotgun in her face and threw her to the ground and sat on her back.

i. **The CPOA’s findings of CPC 029-16 for at Officer L. are:**

   a. **Sustained violation not based on Original Complaint** for violation of SOP 1-05-02 (C)(9)(e) concerning personnel to write reports when they point their firearm at an individual.

   b. **Sustained violation not based on Original Complaint** for violation of SOP 2-24-3(A)(5)(f) concerning preliminary investigations that may include but are not limited to reporting the incident fully and accurately.

   c. **Discipline Recommendation:** The CPOA Recommends that Officer L. is given a written reprimand.

ii. **The CPOA’s findings of CPC 029-16 for at Officer D. are:**

   a. **Exonerated** for violation of SOP 2-52-3 (A) concerning officers may use force when objectively reasonable based on a totality of the circumstances.

   b. **Sustained violation not based on Original Complaint** for violation of SOP 2-52-7 (B) concerning that all officers will notify an on-duty supervisor as soon as practical when a use of force occurs.

   c. **Discipline Recommendation:** The CPOA Recommends that Officer D. is given a verbal reprimand.

   d. Member Fine made a motion to accept Mr. Harness’s letter with its findings and the disciplinary recommendations as well. Member Cruz seconded the motion. **Approved.**

Civilian Police Oversight Board
Minutes – June 9, 2016
Page 6
For: Cruz, Fine, Mohr, Ring, Waites Members

e. Members discussed the reason why the police were called out to the scene and why the officer’s drew their weapons.
f. A copy of full findings letter for CPC 029-16 can be found at: http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa/findings-letters/civilian-complaints-pob-findings

----Dinner break at 7:00 p.m. Meeting reconvened at 7:26 p.m. ----

XI. Reports from Subcommittees:

A. Outreach Subcommittee – Leonard Waites, Chair:
   i. The Outreach subcommittee has not met. A future meeting date is forthcoming.
   ii. Dr. Orick-Martinez is now a member of the Outreach Subcommittee
   iii. Member Ring mentioned that they are meeting as the NACOLE Local arrangements committee.
   iv. Member Fine gave an update on the brochure. The logo is finished but the graphic designer is having pregnancy issues and is still working on the brochure.

B. Policy and Procedures Review Subcommittee – Dr. Susanne Brown, Chair. Chair Mohr gave an update for Susanne Brown:
   i. Collective Bargaining Agreement with the Albuquerque Police Officer Association (APOA)
      a. The APOA Collective Bargaining Agreement was discussed at the Policy and Procedure Review Subcommittee meeting.
      b. The Board would like to make sure that during the upcoming negotiations with APOA, the APOA correct the name of the CPOA and the POB in their contract and to make sure that their contract terms do not contradict the ordinance.
      c. Attorney Mark Baker recommends to start a conversation with the City Attorney’s office to get feedback and see what is workable.
      d. Vice Chair Waites made a motion to ask Attorney Mark Baker to work with the City Attorney to make sure that our interest is represented in the upcoming collective bargaining agreement. Approved.

For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

ii. Role in Policy Making. The discussion on the POB’s role in policy making was discussed previously.

iii. Body Worn Recording Devices. This item was moved to item XII. Reports from City Staff, letter f, number 2 Miriam Verploegh, Analyst.

iv. After-Hours Communications, Probation and Parole letter was discussed in section five, letter B.

v. Director Harness to communicate with Susanne Brown to schedule a future meeting date for the Policy and Procedure meeting.

C. Case Review Subcommittee – Leonard Waites:
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i. Appeals. The appeal process can be found at:
http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa/appeal-process

a. CPC 129-13, CPC 132-13, CPC 035-14, CPC 060-15, and CPC 157-15: The complainant stated that he is requesting a complete investigation of all of his cases. The request fails to meet the appeal process criteria. Therefore, the request is denied.

b. CPC 229-14. The complainant was involved in a motor vehicle accident. She stated that the report was inaccurate and that the officer downplayed her injuries in the report. The CPOA found that the officer’s conduct to be exonerated and unfounded. The request fails to meet the appeal process criteria. Therefore, the request is denied.

c. CPC 232-14. The complainant only indicated in his request that he was appealing the findings of the CPOA and the disciplinary action of the CAO with no other detailed information. The CPOA found that the officer’s conduct to be exonerated and unfounded. The request fails to meet the appeal process criteria. Therefore, the request is denied.

d. CPC 156-15. The complainant stated that he is a victim and that he was injured during his arrest and does not agree with the CPOA’s decision. The request fails to meet the appeal process criteria. Therefore, the request is denied.

e. Member Ring made a motion to accept the appeals as denied at this time. Member Cruz seconded the motion. **Approved.**

For: Cruz, Fine, Mohr, Ring, Waites.

XII. Reports from City Staff:

A. APD, Lt. Garcia, Internal Affairs:

i. Lt. Garcia gave a report on Statistical Data for the Month of May, 2016. See “Attachment F” for APD’s report.

ii. Members discussed drug testing for Officers involved in shooting incidents and motor vehicle accidents. Lt. Garcia explained if an officer is involved in a motor vehicle accident, cars are towed from the scene and/or there are bodily injuries, and the investigation revealed it was the officer’s fault, the officer is tested for drugs. Officers who are involved in a shooting incident are not tested for drugs.

iii. Members discussed data collection and how it feeds in the policy making process. Lt. Garcia explained that data they compile is submitted to APD Executive Staff who will review and submit for policy changes at any time.

iv. Vice Chair Waites would like commend Natalee Davila for creating the Albuquerque Police Department, Quarter 1, 2016 Use of Force Quick Reference Sheet.

v. Members discussed collection of data in regards to race and ethnicity with Natalee Davila, Program Data Analyst from Internal Affairs.
vi. The CPOA does track other demographic data in other areas such as 
citizen complaints, IA complaints and arrests.

vii. Members discussed with Lt. Garcia the data from incidents investigated 
by supervisors and serious incidents from the UOF Reference sheet. See 
attachment G for UOF Quick Reference Sheet.

B. City Council, Julian Moya: Julian Moya gave an update from the City 
Council meeting from Monday, June 6, 2016:

i. City Council has formally received the Civilian Police Oversight 
Agency’s (CPOA) Annual report.

ii. City Council approved bill O-16-18, which amended the Police Oversight 
Ordinance, with the following changes:

a. Investigations:
1. O-16-18 clarifies that the Civilian Police Oversight Agency 
(CPOA) may also audit and/or monitor other administrative 
investigations by other APD Personnel not just Internal Affairs.

2. Replace the term “Excessive use of force” with “Serious use of 
force” to be consistent with the Settlement Agreement.

3. Added language regarding possible criminal prosecution to be 
consistent with the Settlement Agreement and provide 
procedures for the CPOA to deal with such matters.

b. Garrity Statements: O-16-18 changed the Police Oversight Board’s 
(POB) ability to view Garrity statements by requiring the majority 
vote by the Police Oversight Board (POB) allowing members to view 
the statements at their custodial location at the Albuquerque Police 
Department (APD).

c. Police Oversight Board Training: O-16-18 directs the Albuquerque 
Police Department (APD) to work with the Civilian Police Oversight 
Agency (CPOA) to identify the most important portions of the 
Civilian Police Academy and make those part of the POB’s 
mandatory training.

iii. Police Oversight Board (POB) Member Applications: City Council is 
currently accepting applications for membership on the Police Oversight 
Board (POB). Citizens who are interested or would like to apply, they 
can visit City Council’s website at www.cabq.gov/council to fill out an 
application. The deadline to apply is 5 p.m. on July 1, 2016.

iv. Attorney Mark Baker would like to thank Chris Melendres and Jon 
Zaman from City Council, for helping with the amendments of the POB 
Ordinance. He stated that City Council is very supportive of the POB. 
Member Ring from the Outreach Subcommittee will write a letter to City 
Council to thank them.

C. Mayor’s Office:

i. No one present to give report.
ii. Members Fine mentioned that the NACOLE Committee inviting the City Council members Brad Winter and City Council President Dan Lewis to the NACOLE town hall discussion on Sunday, September 25, 2016.

iii. Member Cruz started a discussion to invite the Mayor to participate in the NACOLE town hall meeting on Sunday, September 25, 2016 and future POB Meetings.

iv. CPOA Community Engagement Specialist Amanda Bustos is communicating with the Mayor’s office to organize a representative from their office to attend future POB meetings.

v. Member Fine made a motion that the POB invite Mayor Berry to participate, as Mayor, in the Sunday town hall meeting that kicks off the NACOLE conference coming September 25th through September 29th. This event is September 25th and it’s the opening event for the conference. Member Ring seconded the motion. Approved.

For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

D. City Attorney: Assistant City Attorney Jenica Jacobi made a presentation at the beginning of the meeting.

E. Community Policing Councils: No one present to give report.

F. CPOA Reports:
   i. CPOA Executive Director Edward Harness: Director Harness gave the following report for the CPOA:
      a. For the month of May, 2016, the CPOA received 34 new complaints and 33 Job Well Done reports, of which 27 of those are from the protest at the “Trump Rally.”
      b. There were two (2) successful mediations. As a result of the Board’s concerns, the following will be completed:
         1. The administratively closed letters will include a brief summation of the complaint and the fact that the case was successfully mediated and resolved.
         2. Director Harness will be mindful of the practice of individual officers utilizing the mediation process in order to circumvent an investigation during his review of complaints for the appropriateness for mediation.
      c. The Force Review Board, First Quarterly Statistical Report was distributed and in compliance of the settlement agreement which was reviewed the Lt. Garcia. See Attachment G for UOF quick reference sheet.
      d. APD Ride-A-Long log was distributed and discussed. Director Harness to resend the link to the ride-a-long survey. See Attachment “H” for the POB Ride-A-Long Log.
      e. The NACOLE agenda was distributed and discussed. A head count for the members was conducted in order to get the early bird cost for the conference. See Attachment “I” for the NACOLE agenda.
f. Director Harness responded to two (2) Officer-Involved Shootings in the last week of May. He was fully briefed by CIRT on scene and will wait for the full investigation to bring before the POB.

g. A copy of the pending Officer-Involved Shootings for 2011-2016 was distributed and discussed. See Attachment “J” for the OIS pending cases list.
   1. Members discussed the OIS Pending cases list and suggested that the CPOA create a different chart or graph, such as an organizational chart and/or a pie chart, to make the information more understandable for the public.
   2. Members discussed their opinions on how the OIS cases should be heard by the board.
   3. Member Ring made a motion to task the Case Review Subcommittee and CPOA Director Edward Harness to come up with options for the POB to hear OIS cases. Member Cruz seconded the motion. Approved.
      For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

ii. Miriam Verploegh, CPOA Analyst
   a. A draft copy of the APD Data Access Request letter was distributed and discussed. See Attachment “K” for the draft letter requesting APD Data Access Request.
      1. Member Fine made a motion to revise the letter to include read only access and settlement agreement data related to civil litigation. Approved.
         For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.
   2. Member Fine made an amendment to the above-referenced motion that Member Cruz to work with Dr. Verploegh in the edits of the draft letter and provide to Chair Mohr for her signature. Vice Chair Waites seconded the amended motion. Approved.
      For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

b. A draft copy of the Traffic and Pedestrian Data Collection Request was distributed and discussed. See Attachment “L” for the draft letter requesting access to Traffic and Pedestrian Data.
   1. Member Cruz made a motion to defer this letter back to the Policy and Procedure Subcommittee and start a conversation with Ms. Jenica Jacobi and Bill Slauson to get their thoughts as well. Member Fine seconded the motion. Approved.
      For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites

c. A copy of Table 1-Decision Breakdown of Recording Procedure was distributed and discussed. The table was created as a visual aide for officers in when they should turn on their lapels. See Attachment “M” for Lapel Table breakdown.

XIII. Meeting with Counsel re: Closed session to discuss matters subject to the attorney-client privilege pertaining to threatened or pending litigation in which the CPOA is or may become a participant-Board Attorney Mark Baker.
A. The Board did not meet in closed session during this meeting, as there were no matters to discuss with Attorney Mark Baker at this time.

XIV. Other Business:

A. The Mission Statement training session will be scheduled at a later date.

B. Subcommittee Dates:
   i. The next meeting date for the Case Review Subcommittee will be on June 23, 2016 at 10:30 a.m. at Plaza Del Sol.
   ii. The next meeting date for the Policy and Procedure Subcommittee will be set at a later date.
   iii. The next meeting date for the Personnel Subcommittee will be held on June 29, 2016 at 3:30 p.m. at Plaza Del Sol.
   iv. Vice Chair Waites will speak with the CPOA Outreach Coordinator Amanda Bustos to schedule a future date for the Outreach Subcommittee.

C. FATS Training. Larry Edmundson is the contact person for the FATS training. Member Ring will resend the email regarding the FATS training to Chair Mohr who will in turn forward the email to the POB Members.

D. Board Designated Spokesperson
   i. Members discussed appointing a POB designated spokesperson to speak to the media.
   ii. Vice Chair Waites made a motion that the POB appoint Member Fine as the POB’s spokesperson and direct all media inquiries to her. Chair Mohr seconded the motion. Approved.
      For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.

E. Legal Representation Contract
   i. Vice Chair Waites made a motion that Attorney Mark Baker be retained for another year to represent the POB and the CPOA in legal matters. Member Ring seconded the motion. Approved.
      For: Cruz, Fine, Garcia, Mohr, Orick-Martinez, Ring, Waites.
XV. **Adjournment:** Vice Chair Waites made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Member Fine seconded the motion. Meeting adjourned at 9:16 p.m.

*Next regularly scheduled POB meeting will be on Thursday, July 14, 2016 at 5 p.m. in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers.*

**APPROVED:**

[Signature]

Beth Mohr, Chair  
Civilian Police Oversight Agency  

[Signature]

7-20-16  
Date

**CC:** Julian Moya, City Council Staff  
Natalie Howard, City Clerk  
Dan Lewis, City Council President
ATTACHMENTS
May 27, 2016

Gorden E. Eden, Chief of Police
City of Albuquerque
Albuquerque Police Department
400 Roma NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Re: After-Hours and Weekend Contact for Probation and Parole

Dear Chief Eden,

Two POB members recently attended a ride along and experienced similar incidents; the APD field officers have not had an after-hours and/or weekend contact number for the State of New Mexico's Probation and Parole office. Is it possible for field officers to contact an on-duty employee (not automated or voice mail) in order to clarify orders or field officers to discuss the disposition of cases? Please clarify whether officers have access to this type of contact and if they don't, what is the current procedure for officers who are in need of this type of information?

We believe a point of contact is critical to facilitate the safe transfer of individuals for whom a warrant has been issued and given to the Albuquerque Police Department (APD) for transport back to a secure facility after-hours and/or weekends. The issue of good communication and smooth transfer is especially critical in the case of juveniles for whom a warrant has been issued. The burden of corroborating information on the orders for field officers is acute in the case of juveniles.

We know field officers are doing their best to work cooperatively with probation and parole, but it appears they are often faced with having to hunt for contact numbers, probation and parole officers, and/or a probation and parole employee who may take a call on behalf of the office. If this information is not yet available, it seems that garnering an empowered liaison between APD and the State of New Mexico's Probation and Parole office who would be available after-hours and on weekends would benefit both APD field officers and the citizens they serve.

Sincerely,

Beth A. Mohr, Chair
Police Oversight Board

"Attachment A"
"Attachment A"
June 8, 2016

Beth A. Mohr, Chair
Police Oversight Board
600 2nd Street NW, Room 813
Albuquerque, NM 87103

Dear Ms. Mohr,

I am writing in response to the letter dated May 17, 2016 regarding the need for after hours and weekend contact phone numbers for the State of New Mexico Probation and Parole officers. The letter mentions recent concerns with APD field officers inability to contact Probation and Parole on hours other than business hours.

The Emergency Communications Center has two 24/7 law enforcement only phone numbers for the New Mexico Probation and Parole department. One number is answered in Albuquerque and the second is a toll free statewide number. Both numbers were tested at 11:00 p.m. to confirm they would be answered by a live person and they were indeed answered by Probation and Parole staff.

These phone numbers have been republished within the department commands. In addition, staffs were advised the Emergency Communications Center has this information available upon request.

Thank you for advising me of this concern.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

GORDEN E. EDEN, JR.
Chief of Police
Albuquerque Police Department

GEE: clw

cc: City Council President Dan Lewis
    Mayor Richard J. Berry
    City Attorney Jessica Hernandez
    James Ginger Ph.D.
    United States Attorney Damon Martinez
    City Clerk Natalie Howard
    Edward Harness, Esq., Executive Director
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April 18, 2016

Gordon Eden, Jr.
Chief of Police
Albuquerque Police Department

Dear Chief Eden:

The Police Oversight Board (POB) of the City of Albuquerque is tasked with spending the majority of our time dealing with matters of Albuquerque Police Department (APD) Policy [59-4-1-5(C)(5)(a)]. However, the APD has excluded the POB from discussions of arguably the most important APD policy concerning the Department of Justice (DOJ) Settlement Agreement, namely the APD Use of Force policy [SOP §2-52].

The POB Policy and Procedures Subcommittee had been working on the Use of Force policy, and asked to make comments on the policy in December 2015, at a time when it was going back and forth between APD and the DOJ. We were denied an opportunity to review drafts and make comments at that time.

Members of the Policy and Procedures Subcommittee, as well as members of the Citizen Policy Oversight Agency (CPOA), were present when the policy was presented at APD’s Policy and Procedures Review Board (PPRB), but civilian oversight officials were effectively told not to comment, because the work had already been done and APD was not looking for comments at that point in time. While we agreed that it was time to get the policy approved, we disagreed with APD’s process which improperly excluded the POB and CPOA from making any contributions to the policy content.

The POB has continued concerns about the policy which was ultimately approved without our input. For example, the word “feasible” is unclear and ambiguous. The benchmark for Use of Force is “reasonableness”; the use of the word “Feasible” weakens the policy.

We are concerned that there are other concepts added to the policy which are unhelpful and confusing to the officers. For example, “Intermediate force” is a phrase not used in the Settlement Agreement; we recommend that the policy use language which is consistent with the Settlement Agreement.
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Additionally, we would request that "reason to believe" be substituted for "probable cause" in §2-52-3F; the latter appears to place an inappropriately higher standard on officers than necessary.

Finally, the Lethal Force and Motor Vehicles [§ 2-52-3F(4)] is written more clearly in the Settlement Agreement than in the existing policy, and we would encourage adopting that language in the APD policy.

The POR was created to assist APD with the creation and modification of policy; it constitutes the majority of our responsibilities. We anticipate that we will be included in the initial and all subsequent talks on the Use-of-Force policy as well as the other thirty-four DOJ/APD "critical policies", as well as other policy formation matters. We look forward to your reply to our recommendations above, in compliance with your obligations under §9-4-1-5(C)(5)(b).

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Beth A. Mohr, Chair  
Police Oversight Board

CC: City Council President Dan Lewis  
Mayor Richard J. Berry  
City Attorney Jessica Hernandez  
James Ginger Ph.D.  
United States Attorney Damon Martines  
City Clerk Natalie Howard
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June 2, 2016

Beth Mohr
Chair
Police Oversight Board
PO Box 1293
Albuquerque, NM 87103

Dear Madame Chair:

I am in receipt of your letter dated April 18, 2016. Thank you for expressing your specific concerns regarding SOP 2-52 Use of Force. My team and I are committed to resolving the issues that you described in order to improve existing processes.

The process regarding the development of SOP 2-52 Use of Force was unique in the way it was negotiated between the parties and the need to begin use of force training that, due to its length, would endanger deadlines set by the Settlement Agreement if not started in January 2016. APD did make SOP 2-52 Use of Force available for commentary via PowerDMS in December 2015, well before it was approved by the parties at the end of January 2016. It is my understanding that no one from the POB chose to provide commentary at that time through PowerDMS. SOP 2-52 Use of Force was heard at a Policy and Procedures Review Board (PPRB) meeting in late January, with POB and CPOA members in attendance. The new policy was presented to the PPRB with the caveat that minor changes would be incorporated at that time; but due to the approval of the parties and the need to initiate use of force training, changes requiring significant review or analysis would be collected and considered at the six-month mark. In fact, when SOP 2-52 Use of Force was placed on PowerDMS for sanction review in March, several POB members provided commentary that was considered by the Standard Operating Procedures Review Committee (SOPRC). Mark Baker sent his comments about 2-52 to the City that were reviewed by the City Attorney and an Assistant City Attorney. The comments that were received from Mr. Baker were after the PowerDMS distribution and the subsequent PPRB meeting, but the City still considered them.

It is precisely because of these issues regarding review and commentary that APD has expanded its policy process. The department has taken several steps that have opened the entire policy process to input from the POB and others more than it has ever before. APD recently created the Office of Policy Analysis (OPA) to provide for a deeper look into APD policies and invited both the CPOA and the POB to name permanent members of that group. APD created it to give the opportunity for all groups that are invested in the process time to think about the policy, consider national
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trends, and research the impact that the policy may be having on department operations. It is truly the department’s policy “think tank”, and I am confident that it will become a critical piece in the department’s policy process. The OPA will also provide a longer timeline for the review and consideration of each policy, as they will not be bound by Settlement Agreement deadlines for policy review and passage. Department staff are also going to implement a new process in order to increase the communication between the originators of the commentary and APD’s policy group. All commentary will now be logged, and a result for each commentary item will be sent back to the originator so that they will know why or why not a particular item was accepted and incorporated.

The opportunities to provide input begin with the Office of Policy Analysis (which the CPOA Executive Director participates as well as a POB member); continues with the SOP Review Committee (CPOA member); to PowerDMS (commentary by all CPOA and POB); back to the SOPRC to review (CPOA member); on to the Policy and Procedures Review Board (CPOA and POB members); with a final stop at the OPA (CPOA/POB member). Literally, each internal group that reviews a policy along the process contains at least one CPOA and/or POB member.

I would also like to take a moment to clarify Judge Gercin’s role in the policy process. Judge Garcia was hired by APD to act as a special consultant to review APD’s CASA-related policies. He was tasked with reviewing each policy to ensure that it complied with the CASA, that it met constitutionally-based policing requirements, and add any additional critique that he felt was appropriate. He does not solely adopt or approve policy, but makes recommendations based on his experience. His role in the overall APD policy process is fairly narrow and occurs at the tail end of the process when the policies were being reviewed and approved by the parties. The policies that were reviewed by Judge Garcia still progressed through the APD policy process; they were moved through the system (with consideration of CPOA and POB commentary) and were the basis that the partics used to develop the final product.

CPOA Executive Director Ed Harness (who seemed encouraged and expressed enthusiasm about the OPA process in the most recent OPA meeting), and APD Executive Director Bill Slauson have had discussions regarding the collection of commentary from formal groups and individual citizens regarding policy. They have suggested that individual citizens who wish to comment on policies can do so through the Community Policing Councils (CPCs), using a standardized form that will be available on the APD website. The commentary would be discussed at the CPC meeting (further advancing their mission), and then be passed on to the POB, where again the policy commentary would be discussed and vetted for submission to the OPA. Formal groups may be invited to present to the OPA, and/or they may submit their commentary to the POB. We believe that the POB being the collection point would help the POB advance their goal of 51% of their time addressing policy.
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APD 911 Communications Center
Dispatched calls for Service for MAY 2016: 43,334

INTERNAL CASES FOR THE MONTH OF MAY 2016

I's
Internal Cases Received: 8
  Comprised of:
  • 4-Internal Affairs Investigated case
  • 4-Area Command Investigated cases

Internal Cases Administratively Closed: 1

Internal Cases Mediated: 0

Total Internal Cases Completed the Month of MAY: 23
  Comprised of:
  • 3-Area Command
  • 21-Preventable Vehicle Accident

Discipline imposed for Internal Cases/MAY 2016:
  3: Verbal Reprimand
  18: Letter of Reprimand
  2: 8 hour Suspension

Standard Operating Procedures (recommended)
  Admin Orders:
  3-66-3C2a
  Conduct:
  1-04-3A  1-04-4C  1-04-4D
  Communications SOP:
  2-01-1

Pending Cases for the Month of MAY 2016: 8
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Quarter 1 2016 Use of Force Quick Reference Sheet

You should know:

71 Use of Force Incidents

129,440 Calls for Service

= 0.05%

Incident Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Northeast</th>
<th>Southeast</th>
<th>Foothills</th>
<th>Northwest</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Valley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use of Force Tool | Q1-2015 | Q4-2015 | 2016
---|---|---|---
Baton | 0 | 0 | 0
Chemical Agent | 8 | 11 | 2
Bean Bag | 13 | 19 | 0
Canine Apprehension | 13 | 18 | 3
Electronic Control Device | 73 | 6 | 21
Firearm Discharge | 3 | 2 | 2

There were also 52 Takedowns and 43 Empty Hand Techniques in 2016.

- 43.44% were Non-Hispanic officer to Non-Hispanic subject
- 20.19% were Non-Hispanic officer to a Hispanic subject
- 72.13% were White race officers to White race subjects
- 13.93% were White race officers to Native American subjects
- 18.03% of incidents were 30-39 years old for officers and 20-29 years old for subjects
- 12.30% were 20-29 years old for officers and subjects

21% of Officers involved were injured during a Use of Force.

83% of incidents were investigated by Supervisors
17% of incidents were classified as Serious
1.6% of officers who used force were Female
88% of Use of Force subjects were Male.
49% of incidents occurred during swing shift
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**Confronting Systemic Injustice**
22nd Annual NACOLE Conference
Albuquerque, New Mexico
September 25-29, 2016

**Daily Schedule***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sunday, September 25th</th>
<th>1:00 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.</th>
<th>Albuquerque Community Meeting: Advancing Community Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:45 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>An Introduction to NACOLE, Its Founders, and Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Welcoming Open House for All Conference Attendees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monday, September 25th</th>
<th>8:30 a.m. - 9:00 a.m.</th>
<th>Welcoming Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.</td>
<td><strong>Current &amp; Emerging Issues</strong></td>
<td>Featured Speaker, Invitation Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.</td>
<td><strong>Community Model for Moving Law Enforcement Reform Forward: Essential Elements of APD Forward</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Lunch On Your Own</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 p.m. - 2:15 p.m.</td>
<td><strong>Current &amp; Emerging Issues</strong> (Concurrent Session)</td>
<td><strong>Current &amp; Emerging Issues</strong> (Concurrent Session)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Procedural Justice, Part II: Implementing Change, Improving Policing and Challenges to Legitimacy</td>
<td>The Challenges of Implementing Effective Body-Worn Camera Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15 p.m. - 5:30 p.m.</td>
<td>NACOLE in 2020</td>
<td>Join us as we discuss the future of NACOLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.</td>
<td>NACOLE Annual Conference Scholarship Fundraising Dinner (Additional Ticket Required)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tuesday, September 27th</th>
<th>8:30 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.</th>
<th>Beginner/Intermediate Track (Concurrent Session)</th>
<th>Advanced Track (Concurrent Session)</th>
<th>Correctional Oversight Track (Concurrent Session)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legal Updates</td>
<td>Six Years of Jamaican Oversight: Investigation of Police-Related Killings</td>
<td>Models of Correctional Oversight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Attachment I"
### Wednesday, September 28th

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Beginner/Intermediate Track (Concurrent Session)</th>
<th>Advanced Track (Concurrent Session)</th>
<th>Current &amp; Emerging Issues (Concurrent Session)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Impressive and Engaging Community Stakeholders to the Table</td>
<td>Police and De-Escalation, Culture, Training, and Use of Force</td>
<td>Understanding the Role of the U.S. Department of Justice Special Litigation Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 a.m. – 11:45 a.m.</td>
<td>Building Bridges to Better Communication with Law Enforcement</td>
<td>Tackling Use of Force Issues through Systemic Review</td>
<td>Living Under a Consent Decree: the Role of Civilian Oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 p.m. – 1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Lunch on Your Own</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15 p.m. – 2:45 p.m.</td>
<td>Crisis Intervention Team Training</td>
<td>Scrutinizing Investigations</td>
<td>Democratic Policing and the Policymaking Function of Civilian Oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>NACOLE Annual Membership Meeting and Elections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 p.m. – 8:30 p.m.</td>
<td>NACOLE's Annual Sankofa Reception</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Thursday, September 29th

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Current &amp; Emerging Issues (Concurrent Session)</th>
<th>Current &amp; Emerging Issues (Concurrent Session)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.</td>
<td>The Transparency-Litigation-Liability Connection</td>
<td>Opportunities for Oversight to Impact Juvenile Justice Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15 a.m. – 11:45 a.m.</td>
<td>Restoring Public Trust in Law Enforcement through Civilian Oversight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Closing Remarks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This schedule is subject to change.*
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case #</th>
<th>DOI</th>
<th>Where is it?</th>
<th>Letter from DA</th>
<th>Waiver</th>
<th>Out of Time</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-2011-394</td>
<td>5/11/2011</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Gomez, Alan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2012-259</td>
<td>5/11/2012</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tillison, Daniel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2012-269</td>
<td>5/17/2012</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Alencio, Gary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2012-248</td>
<td>5/23/2012</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Cheves, Santiago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2011-701</td>
<td>10/26/2012</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Obrigo, Joaquin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2011-491</td>
<td>7/5/2013</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Wood, Vincent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2011-545</td>
<td>10/28/2013</td>
<td>Homicide Unit - DA?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Chase, Christopher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2012-580</td>
<td>1/11/2013</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Garcia, Bobby (Robert)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2014-119</td>
<td>1/11/2013</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Sherriff, Shane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2014-119</td>
<td>1/11/2013</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Snider, Andy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2014-469</td>
<td>2/8/2014</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Canine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2014-469</td>
<td>2/8/2014</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Boyd, James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/15/2014</td>
<td>Pending POB Review</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Redwine, Alfred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/15/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hawkes, Mary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/24/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Martin, Ammand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Chaves, Ralph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Robertson, Jeremy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Canine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Armendariz, Ramiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Cock, Christopher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>O'Keefe, John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Gabaldon, Danan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Garcia, Rodrigo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Gabaldon, Danan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Molina, Rafael</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Anchondo, Oscar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Rodriguez, Josa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Salazar, Daniel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Alvarado, Edgar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Munoz, Mario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/2014</td>
<td>IA Division Post-DA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Humphrey, Dennis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY

Police Oversight Board
Beth Mohr, Chair
Leonard Valdez, Vice Chair
Dr. Susanne Brown
Eric H. Cruz
Joanne Fine
Carolina G. Garcia
Dr. Lisa M. Orick-Martinez
Rev. Dr. David Z. Ring III
Edward Harness, Esq., Executive Director

June 9th, 2016

Gorden E. Eden, Chief of Police
City of Albuquerque
Albuquerque Police Department
400 Roma NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

RE: APD Data Access Request

The Civilian Police Oversight Agency is tasked with exploring overall trends in Albuquerque Police Department data in order to recommend changes needed in training and policy, internal accountability, and discipline of officers in the Albuquerque Police Department. The access requirement to data and subsequent expectation of analysis outlined by the Settlement Agreement and the Monitoring Team is stated clearly in the agreement.1

Based on the expectations of the settlement agreement the Civilian Police Oversight Agency is requesting access to all data regarding these issues in order to meet the needs of the Department of Justice. Therefore, this letter stands as the formal request by the Police Oversight Board and the Civilian Police Oversight Agency for access to demographic data of all Albuquerque Police Department officers, arrest and citation data issued by officers, Albuquerque Police Department vehicle accidents, take home vehicle data, and comments on policy in power DMS. Based on recent attempts to analyze trends and explore specific issues relevant to the Albuquerque Police Department and its officers it is clear that the Civilian Police Oversight Agency does not have enough data to adequately address its goals as outlined by the agreement and the ordinance. This requested data will better allow the Civilian Police Oversight Agency and the Police Oversight Board to make current and relevant recommendations regarding policy, training, and discipline for the Albuquerque Police Department. Specific data requested is described in Appendix 1.

The Civilian Police Oversight Agency and the Police Oversight Board feel that this access is important not only for agreement compliance but to better analyze trends and changes in the Albuquerque Police Department. This analysis is aimed at bettering the Albuquerque Police Department, through analyzing and understanding trends in police data so we can identify strengths and weaknesses in police behavior and police policy. We look forward to your reply to our recommendations above, in compliance with your obligations under §9-4-1-5(C)(5)(b).

Sincerely,

Beth A. Mohr, Chair
Police Oversight Board
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277. The City shall provide the agency sufficient resources and support to assess and make recommendations about changes to APD policy and long-term trends in APD’s use of force.

282. The City shall ensure that the agency, including its investigative staff and the Executive Director, have access to all APD documents, reports, and other materials that are reasonably necessary for the agency to perform thorough, independent investigations. At a minimum the City shall provide the agency, its investigative staff, and the Executive Director access to:
   d) if requested, documents, reports, and other materials for incidents related to those under review, such as incidents involving the same officer(s);
   f) if requested, documents, reports, and other materials for incidents that may evince an overall trend in APD’s use of force, internal accountability, policies, or training.

283. The City shall provide reasonable access to APD premises, files, documents, reports, and other materials for inspection by those appointed to the agency, its investigative staff, and the Executive Director upon reasonable notice.

292. The City shall require the agency to submit semi-annual reports to the City Council on its activities, including:
   h) trends or issues with APD’s use of force, policies, or training.

(Pg 84-87, DOJ Settlement Agreement)
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Appendix 1

Data Request from APD

Arrest and Citation data
  Age, sex, race, arrest date, arrest time, arrest location, incident offence, incident location,
  charge, charge description, district, neighborhood, mental health status, homeless,

Officer Demographics
  Education, race, military status, date of hire, rank, age, sex

Vehicle Accidents
  Case number, date, time, day, shift, area, unit, location, circumstances

Take home Vehicles:
  How many take home vehicles are issued, how many officers live outside the city limits

Data from PowerDMS
  We could look through employee suggestions on policy
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June 9th, 2016

Gorden E. Eden, Chief of Police
City of Albuquerque
Albuquerque Police Department
400 Roma NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

RE: Traffic and Pedestrian Data Collection Request

Across the nation issues of police bias have continued to pose a threat to building strong relationships between police and the communities they serve. The best way to shift a national discussion of racial profiling and bias from anecdotal accustation to a more rational evidence based dialogue about appropriate enforcement strategies is to collect data so we can determine the scope of the problem. The Police Oversight Board deems that in order to adequately address accusations of police bias, the Albuquerque Police Department should collect demographic data for all pedestrian and traffic stops. The Police Oversight Board trusts that a shift in this data collection system will benefit the citizens of Albuquerque and the Albuquerque Police Department in a variety of ways. First, by collecting data, APD can get ahead of the national curve in data collection and use that empirical evidence to investigate claims of bias. Next, it can convey a commitment to self-reflective, unbiased, data driven policing. Finally, it can use the collected data to provide legal protection for the department.

Therefore, this letter stands as the formal request by the Police Oversight Board that the Albuquerque Police Department collect data during traffic, vehicle and pedestrian stops. This data should include time, date, location, age of individual stopped, race of individual stopped, gender of individual stopped, length of stop, reason for stop, and outcome of stop. This new push for data collection is important because it will increase the Albuquerque Police Department’s ability to monitor officers’ behavior during stops which will allow the Albuquerque Police Department to understand where to focus training and policy efforts. In conclusion the Police Oversight Board believes that this new data collection effort will help the Department to explore the prevalence and nature of biased policing, to show accountability to the citizens they serve, and to convey concern about this important national issue. We look forward to your reply to our recommendations above, in compliance with your obligations under §9-4:1-5(C) (5) (b).

Sincerely,
Beth A. Mohr, Chair
Police Oversight Board

CC: City Council President Dan Lewis
Mayor Richard J. Berry
City Attorney Jessica Hernandez
James Ginger Ph.D.
United States Attorney Damon Martinez
City Clerk Natalie Howard
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mandated Recordings</th>
<th>Discretionary Recordings</th>
<th>Prohibited Recordings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. All use of force encounters, including all contacts where department personnel might foresee use of force.</td>
<td>a) When on break or otherwise engaged in personal activities; or</td>
<td>a. Sexual assault and child abuse victims during a preliminary investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Whenever back up is required by policy or a call is made for back up.</td>
<td>b) During tactical activations, tactical units may turn off their OBRDs during planning and decision-making.</td>
<td>b. Encounters with undercover department personnel or confidential informants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Traffic and pedestrian stops.</td>
<td>c) When gathering information about crime that occurs in their communities.</td>
<td>c. Personal activities or private conversations of department personnel that do not involve calls for service or contact with individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Arrests; including the contact leading up to the arrest when department personnel can reasonably foresee an arrest occurring. Video should continue through the time of arrest until arrestee is delivered to booking, hospital, mental health facility.</td>
<td>These intelligence-gathering efforts may be formal (e.g., through interviews with witnesses of a crime) or informal (e.g., through conversations with community members with whom the officer has a relationship).</td>
<td>d. Conversations between department personnel without all parties being aware of the fact that it will be recorded, except undercover investigations of department personnel involved in criminal conduct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Officers equipped with BWC will record all prisoner or passenger transports</td>
<td></td>
<td>e. Conversations between department personnel that involve case strategy or tactics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. All vehicle pursuits and searches</td>
<td></td>
<td>f. DWI Checkpoints unless the driver is confrontational with department personnel, refuses to stop, refuses to roll down the window, and/or produces any type of information visually or verbally that indicates they will not willingly comply with department personnel lawful commands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Search warrants of structures from the time of entry until the location has been secured whether by APD or in conjunction with another law enforcement agency.</td>
<td></td>
<td>g. Locations prohibited by law, unless permission is obtained to record (e.g., FBI building, restricted areas in Department of Energy research facilities, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Contacts with subjects known to have a mental illness or developmental disability, if the department personnel has prior knowledge or has been notified from an APD resource or emergency evaluation (pickup order).</td>
<td></td>
<td>h. Locations where individuals may have a reasonable expectation of privacy, such as: restrooms, locker rooms, the presence of medical personnel, or in hospitals. (Katz v. US) However, department personnel will record in these locations if the contact is subject to mandatory recording set forth in column one of this table or if the totality of the circumstances leads the department personnel to believe that recording is appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Any other legitimate law enforcement contact where the department personnel believes that a recording of an incident would be appropriate or valuable. In these contacts, the department personnel shall balance the law enforcement objectives and need to record against the individual's privacy, particularly with respect to sensitive victims.</td>
<td></td>
<td>i. Communications with other police personnel without the permission of the chief executive officer (CEO);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. When conditions make it unsafe or impossible to activate the camera</td>
<td></td>
<td>j. When conditions make it unsafe or impossible to activate the camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. If an officer chooses not to record they should document when and why they chose not to record.</td>
<td></td>
<td>k. If an officer chooses not to record they should document when and why they chose not to record.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Background information of Recording Decision Procedure

A visual breakdown of recording procedure was developed, per request of the Policy and Procedure Subcommittee, to better advise officers in the field when they should and should not record. This Table would presumably act as an addition to the current On Body Recording Device (OBRD) Policy. It includes a description of mandatory, discretionary, and prohibitory recording.

This recommendation is in keeping with the policy recommendations developed by experts in the field including The Police Executive Research Forum (PERF) and the OBRD report developed by UNM. The study from UNM states that, “We recommend the policy not mandate the camera system be used for every citizen contact. A policy mandating the videoing recording of all citizen contacts is not practical, or auditable. The policy should note when officers are prohibited from using their camera systems. AFD’s OBBC policy should be comprehensive and allow for flexibility as the use of OBDR and technology changes. OBDR users as well as police administrators, and other criminal justice system stakeholders, need to have clear and consistent guidelines” (Guerin et.al, 2016). PERF agrees with this approach that officers should have discretion whether to record informal, non-law enforcement-related interactions with the public. However, PERF does suggest that officers should have clear guidance about which specific types of activities, events, and encounters they are required to record (i.e. traffic stops, arrests, searches, interrogations, and pursuits) as well as providing solid guidance for officers when they should exercise discretion not to record.

We feel this is important because it provides clear and easy to follow directions for officers about when to record. The Policy is broad enough to capture the encounters and activities that, because they are the most likely to produce evidence or lead to complaints from community members about the police, are most in need of accurate documentation, and it is narrow enough to maintain privacy for officers and citizens.

---
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