

**CPC 160-12****September 11, 2014**

Citizen, who was an APD Officer, was involved in an incident with his wife. Citizen was taken to the substation, handcuffed in the holding cell, and an officer was posted outside the door. Citizen claims Sgt. H. transported him in a sergeant's vehicle, not designed to transport someone in handcuffs. Sgt. H. removed Department and personal property from citizen's residence. Citizen alleged that Sgt. W. and Sgt. H. did not do a proper investigation, and that he should have been issued a summons and released, or walked through booking and released. Citizen alleged that Lieutenant W. was harsher with him because of prior conflicts.

The IRO found the allegations of Supervisor will Attempt to Establish Probable Cause, Supervisor will Handcuff Subject Officer in Accordance with Department Procedures, If Arrest is Appropriate Subject will be Booked to be Exonerated against Sgt. H., Sgt. W., and Lt. W. The IRO found the allegation of Supervisor will Personally Transport to be Exonerated against Sgt. H., Failure to Record to be Sustained against Sgt. H., Acting Officially to be Exonerated against Lt. W., and Misconduct to be Exonerated against Sgt. W. The IRO found the allegation of Supervisor will Utilize Domestic Violence Specialist Officer to be Exonerated against Sgt. H., Sgt. W., and Lt. W. but sustained against APD.

**CPC 171-12****September 11, 2014**

Citizen stated that he was pulled over for speeding by Officer H. Citizen produced his affidavit of status, requested the officers' oaths of office, and requested a supervisor. Sgt. C. arrived on scene and advised citizen to sign a traffic citation. Citizen stated that laws did not apply to him, refused to sign the citation, and refused to exit the vehicle. Officer H. broke out a window. Citizen stated that officers were aggressive with him, that Officer H. ignored the request for his name and seized his keys, searched his trunk and vehicle without his permission. Citizen alleged that Sgt. C. smacked him with an open hand, which is not depicted on the video. Citizen's perception that officers were aggressive was not supported by the video evidence. Officers are only required to carry their badge and commission card as identification.

The IRO found the allegations of Working Knowledge of Laws, Carrying a Badge of Office, Furnishing Name, Misconduct, Use of Force, and Failure to Record to be Exonerated, and Preliminary Investigation to be Not Sustained against Officer H. The IRO found the allegations of Misconduct and Carrying a Badge of Office to be Exonerated, Preliminary Investigation to be Not Sustained, and Use of Force to be Unfounded against Office S. The IRO found the allegations regarding Refusal to Sign a Citation and Carrying a Badge of Office to be Exonerated, Preliminary Investigation and Completing a Use of Force Form to be Sustained, and Damage to Civilian Property to be Not Sustained against Sgt. C.

**CPC 176-12****September 11, 2014**

Citizen stated she was on I-40 eastbound and was pulled over by Sgt. C., who performed an eye gaze test. Officer M. arrived on scene to conduct field sobriety tests. Citizen alleged that Officer M. was very rude and intimidating, and officers' conduct in this case was egregious. The stop was proper and legal and in accordance with departmental procedures. Sgt. C. did not have video of his contact with citizen. Officer M.'s lapel video showed that Officer M.'s

conduct was appropriate. The IRO found the allegation of Misconduct to be Not Sustained, Working Knowledge of Laws to be Exonerated, and Failure to Record to be Sustained against Sgt. C. The IRO found the allegation of Misconduct and Working Knowledge of Laws to be Exonerated against Officer M.

**CPC 184-12**

**September 11, 2014**

Citizen stated that her husband, a State Police Agent, should have been arrested after he violated a domestic violence protection order. Officers responded, did a sweep of the residence and then left. The husband later came to the scene and came within 30 feet of citizen, which violated the restraining order. Citizen again called police and asked for medical attention as well. Citizen alleged that Officer B. was very intimidating and confrontational. Citizen alleged that officers called her husband against her wishes. The video showed that citizen gave husband's phone number to police. Officers were not present while citizen's husband allegedly violated the restraining order, and denied they gave him special consideration.

The IRO found the allegation of Directing Best Efforts to be Exonerated against Officer P. and Officer S., and the allegation of Failure to Record to be sustained against Officer S. The IRO found the allegation of Preliminary Investigation and Failure to Record to be Sustained, and Misconduct to be Not Sustained against Officer B. The IRO found the allegation of Directing Best Efforts to be Not Sustained regarding Sgt. T. The IRO found the allegations of Arresting without a Warrant and Special Consideration to be Exonerated against Sgt. C.

**CPC 230-12**

**September 11, 2014**

Citizen claimed that he was attacked by a Transit security guard. Citizen alleged that Officer G. did not do a proper investigation, did not listen to witnesses, and yelled at him to shut up. Citizen alleged that Officer G. refused to provide his name, threatened to arrest him, and was discriminatory against another male for being homeless. Citizen alleged that Officer M. did not record their interaction. The IRO found the allegation of Familiarization of Laws to be Exonerated, the allegation of Misconduct, Conducting Preliminary Investigations, Profane Language, and Furnishing Name to be Sustained, and Bias to be Unfounded against Officer G. The IRO found the allegation of Failure to Record to be Sustained against Officer M.

**CPC 249-12**

**September 11, 2014**

Citizen stated that he saw a woman on the street shivering and asked if she would like to get in his car. Undercover police surrounded citizen's vehicle and ordered him out. Citizen alleged that he asked for an ambulance and was refused, that officers used lots of profanity with him, and badgered him into admitting he was picking up a prostitute, even though he was not. Citizen alleged that he asked for officers' names, but was told No. There was no independent witness or recording. The IRO found the allegations of Misconduct, Use of Profanity, Furnishing Name, and Knowledge of Law Regarding Medical Assistance to be Not Sustained, and Failure to Record to be Sustained against Detective H. and Detective W.

**CPC 017-13, 137-13, 149-13****September 11, 2014**

Citizen complained that she received a phone call from Officer L. regarding her son making a threat to his Spanish class, and that Officer L. did not properly identify himself, was belligerent, accusatory, frightening, made slanderous and defamatory comments, and was bigoted, chauvinistic, and racist. Citizen also submitted CPC 137-13 and CPC 149-13, which were combined into one Complaint. The Assistant Principal was present during the phone contact, and stated that Officer L. was cordial, professional, he introduced himself, was never rude, never raised his voice, and his conduct was not bigoted or racist. The IRO found the allegations of Furnishing Name, Bias, and Misconduct to be Unfounded against Officer L.

**CPC 177-13****September 11, 2014**

A citizen complained that APD Officer K. responded to a 911 call to her residence and informed her that she was abusing the 911 system, and if she called 911 again, she would be arrested. Citizen alleged that a motorcycle gang of ten men armed with guns and knives had threatened her and her daughters' lives. Citizen alleged that her family was treated differently than the motorcyclists, and that Officer K. was rude and sarcastic. Officer K. determined that this incident was only a neighbor dispute. The videos indicate that Officer K.'s conduct was proper during the incident. The IRO found the allegations of Misconduct, Constantly Direct Best Efforts to Accomplish Functions of Department, Performance of Duties, and Conducting Investigations to be Unfounded against Officer K.

**CPC 036-14****September 11, 2014**

Citizen stated that he was in a restaurant when Officer R. and Officer G. entered, with Officer G. brandishing an assault rifle. The officers had a man on his knees and Officer G. had the rifle aimed at the man's head. Citizen felt that officers should have immediately evacuated the restaurant, and that the officers' first duty was to ensure the safety of civilians. The lapel video showed that Officer G. never raised his weapon above low ready. The officers entered the restaurant in a calm manner and remained for less than three minutes before walking out. The male suspect was detained by officers in a calm and uneventful manner. The IRO found the allegation of Directing Best Efforts to be Exonerated against Officer G.

**CPC 043-14****September 11, 2014**

Citizen complained that BCSO deputies chased him while he was driving an allegedly stolen truck. Citizen claimed that he stopped the truck and immediately got on the ground, and did not run from police. After he was handcuffed a police dog was turned loose on him and the dog bit him on the back. Citizen alleged that the officer praised the dog for biting citizen, and use of the police dog was an unwarranted and excessive use of force. Citizen admitted to the IRO Investigator that he fled on foot. All three APD K-9 officers who were present stated that the police service dog was called off the bite as soon as citizen was handcuffed. None of the APD officers were running their lapel cameras. Without any other independent evidence, the claim that improper force was used cannot be proved one way or the other.

The IRO found the allegation of Use of Force to be Not Sustained against Officer R. The IRO found the allegation of Failure to Record to be Sustained against Officer H., Officer R. and Officer B.

**CPC 053-14****September 11, 2014**

Citizen stated that he was in downtown Albuquerque to socialize and claimed that he was pepper-sprayed by security guards at a bar. Citizen alleged that Sgt. C. and Officer G. took him to the alley where they slapped him, kicked him, and threw him to the ground. Citizen called 911 to report the misconduct, and the same officers responded. Citizen then ran from officers. Citizen alleged that the police report was false, that officers took his car keys and removed items from his glove box. Citizen claimed that his ring and money were missing after being tagged into evidence at MDC. The IRO found the allegation of Misconduct to be Unfounded against Sgt. C. and Officer G., and the allegation of Preliminary Investigations to be Unfounded against Officer G.

**CPC 201-12****September 12, 2014**

A citizen was attending a Lobo game and had tickets for his friends. Officer R. contacted citizen and asked for identification. Citizen alleged that Officer C. grabbed his hands and Officer R. dug her hands into his pockets without permission. Citizen stated that Officer R. returned to her car and was joined by Sgt. K. and Officer S. Citizen recognized Officer S. from a previous confrontation, and claimed to have overheard negative comments from Officer S. Citizen stated that Officer R. approached and issued a trespass notice. Citizen alleged that Officer S. had an angry expression and tried to escalate the situation. Officer S. was not assigned to the Lobo game, but was working elsewhere. The IRO found the allegation of Familiarization with Laws to be Exonerated against Officer R., and the allegation of Bias to be Unfounded against Sgt. K.

**CPC 233-12****September 12, 2014**

Citizen wrote that he and Valerie Lovato were stopped for traffic violations. Ms. Lovato was driving on a revoked license. Before towing, Officer L. performed an inventory search and found methamphetamine. Citizen was arrested, alleged that Officer R. had a personal bias toward him, and that he was taken to the substation while Ms. Lovato remained on scene. He alleged that Officer L. wrote a false statement. Citizen claimed he was treated differently because of his prior arrest, and claimed gender discrimination. He alleged that APD violated the law by not taking Ms. Lovato to jail, that a drug analysis form was not filled out, and officers did not run their lapel cameras. Officer L. cited Ms. Lovato under a different statute, but did not have the discretion to do so, and she should have been arrested. The IRO found the allegation of Familiarization of Laws to be Sustained, Conducting Preliminary Investigations and Arrests Involving Controlled Substances to be Exonerated, and Bias to be Unfounded against Officer L. The IRO found the allegation of Improper Conduct to be Not Sustained, and Failure to Record to be Sustained against Officer R.

**CPC 33-13****September 12, 2014**

Citizen wrote that he contacted APD to report an assault and battery committed by a transit officer. Officer L. failed to file charges because of a lack of video evidence and witnesses. Citizen claimed he tried to supply Officer L. with a video of the incident and information about witnesses, but complained that nothing was done. There was only a partial recording and it did not depict Citizen furnishing Officer L. with a video or witness names. The IRO found the allegation of Conducting Preliminary Investigations to be Not Sustained, and Failure to Record to be Sustained against Officer L.

**CPC 254-13****September 12, 2014**

Citizen wrote he has had numerous problems with the maternal grandmother regarding exchanging custody for his visitations with his children. Citizen alleged that on the first incident, Officer W. misrepresented facts in the police report. On the second incident, he called for a welfare check. Officer W. responded to the call. Citizen wanted Officer W. to supervise the exchange, but alleged that Officer W. instead berated and threatened him. Officer W. told him he had no right to call for welfare checks or supervise exchanges. Citizen alleged that Officer W. was biased and had a vendetta against him. The video showed that Officer W. crossed the line when she expressed the visits would be cancelled. The video showed that during her contact, Officer W. treated all parties equally. The IRO found the allegation of Improper Conduct to be Sustained, Acting Officially to be Unfounded, and Reporting the Incident Fully to be Exonerated against Officer W.

**CPC 258-13****September 12, 2014**

A citizen stated that he was inside an Auto Zone store when two officers told him to come outside. Officer D. took his INS card and searched his laptop bag. The citizen alleged that Officer S. whispered something about a green card and called him a wetback. Citizen stated that some papers were missing from his bag. The video showed that Officer S. said nothing at all about ethnicity. The IRO found the allegation of Bias against Officer S. to be Unfounded.

Officer D. called citizen out in an authoritative, but professional, tone of voice. Officer D. said very little at the scene. Officer D. was stern, but remained professional. The IRO found the allegation of Misconduct to be Exonerated, and Collection of Evidence and Warrantless Search to be Sustained against Officer D.

**CPC 016-14****September 12, 2014**

Citizen alleged that while seated in his vehicle, Officer H. approached him and harassed him and did not give his badge number. There was no independent witness or recording. The IRO found the allegations of Misconduct, Approaching without a Warrant, and Furnishing Name to be Not Sustained, and Failing to Record to be Sustained against Officer H.

**CPC 017-14****September 12, 2014**

Citizen complained that his truck broke down and Officer E. had the truck towed without warning. Citizen alleged that his truck should not have been towed. Officer E.'s lapel video showed that the truck was parked illegally and was a traffic hazard. Dispatch attempted to contact citizen before the tow, but was unsuccessful. Officer E.'s decision to tow the vehicle was proper. The IRO found the allegation of Improper Towing to be Exonerated against Officer E.

**CPC 044-14****September 12, 2014**

Citizen stated that he was informed by his partner that citizen's vehicle had been towed. Citizen found his vehicle in an apartment building parking space. Citizen learned that his partner had been found passed out in the vehicle several hours earlier by Officer T, who broke out the back window so that the partner could be taken to the hospital. Officer T. should have towed the vehicle, but instead left the keys with the apartment security guard. Officer T. did record the entire contact. The IRO found the allegation of Towing to be Sustained, and Failure to Record to be Exonerated against Officer T.

**CPC 051-14****September 12, 2014**

Citizen complained that he was stopped by APD Officer B. for an alleged traffic violation, which he did not commit. Citizen alleged that he told Officer B. he had interned with APD, and Officer B. laughed at him. Citizen complained that Officer B. racially profiled him, was out of line, and harassed him based on his skin color. Officer B.'s lapel camera captured all but the first few seconds of contact. Officer B.'s conduct was appropriate. It was dark outside, and Officer B. could not see what race the citizen was. There is no way to determine if citizen did commit the traffic violation. The IRO found the allegation of Misconduct to be Exonerated, Failure to Record to be Sustained, Bias to be Unfounded, and Working Knowledge of Law to be Not Sustained against Officer B.

**CPC 101-14****September 12, 2014**

A citizen, who had applied for a position with APD alleged that Detective S., a Background Investigator from APD, called him and was vulgar, rude, used profanity, and became belligerent and verbally attacked citizen. Citizen claimed that Detective S. was disrespectful and he demanded an apology. There is no recording of the phone call. Without independent evidence, there is not enough evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. The IRO found the allegation of Misconduct to be Not Sustained against Detective S.

**CPC 104-14****September 12, 2014**

A citizen complained that he was the victim of a battery following a traffic altercation. The citizen alleged that Officer G. performed an inadequate investigation. After citizen submitted supporting documentation, Officer G. indicated that he would prepare a supplemental report. Citizen was satisfied with the subsequent follow-up and stated that the complaint was resolved to his satisfaction. Therefore, the IRO inactivated the complaint without further investigation.

**CPC 110-14****September 12, 2014**

A citizen complained about an officer with the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Department. The complaint did not contain any allegations of misconduct by any Albuquerque Police Department (APD) officer or APD employee. The IRO does not have legal authority to investigate the complaint. Therefore, the IRO inactivated the complaint.

**CPC 126-14****September 12, 2014**

A Citizen stated that Officer L. responded to her office in reference to a disturbance involving a female and an intoxicated male. Officer L. contacted the individuals and allowed the man to remove a bicycle from a porch. Citizen complained that Officer L. needed to be educated on the NM Resident Relations Act. Citizen indicated that she was satisfied that

Officer L.'s supervisor discussed the issue with him and resolved the matter informally. Therefore, the IRO inactivated the complaint without further investigation.

**CPC 134-14**

**September 12, 2014**

Citizen stated that she was involved in a traffic accident, that her and her husband called APD, but officers never came. Citizen stated that several APD officers drove by without stopping. A review of the call history showed there were five Priority One calls and 12 Priority Two calls. There were no units available to respond to the call at the time. Since citizen had no identifying information about the officers, there was no way to investigate those officers. Because there was no evidence found that any Standard Operating Procedures were violated by any APD employees, the IRO inactivated the complaint without further investigation.

**CPC 155-14**

**September 12, 2014**

A citizen complained that in 2013 she was accused of child abuse against her children. Citizen complained that the officer that originally responded conducted a poor investigation. The IRO Investigator determined that the original report was taken in June of 2013, the detective worked on his case from July 2013 to August 2013 and issued the summons on August 20, 2013. The complaint was not filed until August 6, 2014. The IRO received the Complaint beyond the 90-day time frame provided. The IRO does not have legal authority to investigate the Complaint. Therefore, the IRO inactivated the complaint.

**CPC157-14**

**September 12, 2014**

A citizen complained about an officer with the Albuquerque Aviation Police Department. The complaint did not contain any allegations of misconduct by any Albuquerque Police Department (APD) officer or APD employee. The IRO does not have legal authority to investigate the complaint. Therefore, the IRO inactivated the complaint.

**CPC 158-14**

**September 12, 2014**

Citizen complained that on August 30, 2013, Officer B. fabricated information and arrested citizen for driving while intoxicated, and edited the lapel camera footage. The IRO Investigator determined that the incident complained about occurred in August of 2013. The City Ordinance prohibits the IRO from conducting investigations into citizen's complaints that are not filed within 90 days of the incident. The IRO does not have legal authority to investigate the Complaint. Therefore, the IRO inactivated the complaint.

**CPC 132-12**

**September 22, 2014**

Citizen alleged that because of his race, Officer T. pulled him over on a traffic stop, which lasted for an hour-and-a-half. Citizen claimed that Officer T. was unprofessional and toyed with him. Officer T. observed swerving and had probable cause for the stop, and he could not determine the race of the driver prior to the stop. The stop lasted for 30 minutes. There was no video recording. The IRO found the allegation of Bias to be Unfounded, Failure to Record to be Sustained, and Improper Conduct to be Not Sustained against Officer T.

**CPC 159-12**

**September 24, 2014**

Citizen stated that her family was at a softball game and her two sons were assaulted. Officer T. arrived on scene and expressed his personal opinion about the situation. Citizen alleged

that Officer T. did not ask if her sons needed medical attention and he was mean, insensitive, inconsiderate, rude, and did not conduct an adequate investigation. Officer T. denied the accusations, and indicated that all parties refused medical attention. Eight individuals gave statements, as indicated in the report, which showed that an adequate investigation was conducted. The IRO found the allegation of Conduct to be Not Sustained, and the allegation of Preliminary Investigation to be Exonerated against Officer T.

**CPC 175-12**

**September 24, 2014**

Citizen had a party at her residence, and police arrived on scene due to noise complaints. Citizen alleged that five officers broke the gate to her fence, were out of line, disrespectful, and embarrassing. Only Officers C. and F. were dispatched to the scene. Both officers denied the allegations, and indicated that an intoxicated party-goer was swinging on the gate. There was no video and no independent witness. Officer C. was interviewed more than 90 days after the incident. The IRO found the allegations of Conduct and Failure to Record to be Not Sustained against Officer C.

**CPC 237-12**

**September 24, 2014**

Citizen stated he was a witness to an accident and attempted to give aid to the victim. Citizen alleged that Officer W. ordered him away from the victim and treated him like a criminal, pushing him to the ground. Initial video showed that citizen would not comply with Officer W.'s orders. The contact was not recorded in its entirety. Officer W. and citizen had different versions of the force used. The IRO found the allegation of Bias to be Unfounded, the allegation of Conduct and Use of Force to be Not Sustained, and the allegations of Failure to Record, Profane Language, and Documenting Injuries to be Sustained against Officer W.

**CPC 027-13**

**September 24, 2014**

Citizen stated that she was pulled over for speeding, and she admitted to speeding. Officer S. asked citizen to take a voluntary sobriety test, and citizen refused. DWI Officer C. arrived on scene to conduct field sobriety tests, which citizen performed poorly. Officer S. conducted a search of the vehicle prior to tow. Citizen alleged that she should not have been arrested, and that officers had no right to search her vehicle. The IRO found the allegation of Working Knowledge of Laws to be Unfounded against Officer C., and the allegations of Working Knowledge of Laws and Search Prior to Tow to be Exonerated against Officer S.

**CPC 112-13**

**September 24, 2014**

Citizen stated that he was downtown when Officer H. approached him aggressively, detained him, twisted his arm, and damaged his cell phone. Citizen alleged that Officer H. had no right to search him, did not call for medical personnel, and was rude to citizen's girlfriend. Officer H. responded to a disturbance call in which citizen was accused of striking a female. The video showed that Officer H.'s conduct was proper and that rescue was called. The cell phone fell from the hood of the vehicle, but Officer H. did not document that damage. The IRO found the allegation of Damage to Civilian Property to be Sustained, the allegations of Working Knowledge of Laws, Use of Force, Conduct, Furnishing Name, Persons Not Involved in Incidents, and Requesting Rescue to be Exonerated against Officer H.



**CPC 198-13****September 24, 2014**

Citizen stated that Officer S. came to her home regarding an incident that occurred six months prior. Citizen claimed that Officer S. violated her civil rights and had no right to obtain her phone number and address from a police report. Officer S. was dispatched to a call regarding a dispute between citizen and another female. SOP required that a report be written on the incident. The video showed that Officer S. was cordial and his conduct was professional during his contact with citizen. The IRO found the allegation of Conduct to be Exonerated against Officer S.

**CPC 003-14****September 24, 2014**

Citizen, who is a probation officer, stated that her security guard arrested an individual for possession of drug pipes. When Officer M. arrived on scene, she was rude and angry toward citizen. Citizen claimed that at a later meeting, Officer M. and Detective K. were rude to her. There was a recording of the first contact, but not the second contact. The first video showed that Officer M. was not rude. The IRO found the allegations of Conduct to be Not Sustained against Officer M. and Detective K.

**CPC 004-14****September 24, 2014**

Citizen, who is a probation officer, stated that his security guard arrested an individual for possession of drug pipes. When Officer M. arrived on scene, she was rude and angry, and her conduct was demeaning toward citizen. Citizen claimed that at a later meeting, Officer M. and Detective K. were rude to him. There was a recording of the first contact, but not the second contact. The first video showed that Officer M. was not rude. The IRO found the allegation of Conduct to be Not Sustained against Officer M. and Detective K.

**CPC 019-14****September 24, 2014**

Citizen reported her boyfriend missing. Citizen found out that her boyfriend had died nine days prior, and that OMI still had the body. Citizen alleged that Officer S. and Detective T. did not notify her or next of kin, and claimed that Detective T. did not seem overly interested in her concerns. Citizen alleged that Officer S. wrote a very basic report. Officer S. and Detective T. failed to utilize available resources that could have assisted them in this case. The IRO found the allegation of Best Efforts to be Sustained, and Neutral and Detached Attitude to be Not Sustained against Detective T. The IRO found the allegations of Notifying Next of Kin, Tagging Evidence, Tagging Money, Report Incident Fully, and Failure to Record to be Sustained against Officer S.

**CPC 048-14****September 24, 2014**

Citizen stated that while at a school with her dogs, an altercation occurred wherein she was threatened, so she left with her dogs and returned to her home. Officers S. and N. arrived at her home and Officer N. scolded her and threatened to take her dogs. Citizen alleged that Officer S. blocked her from entering her residence, the officers did not provide their names, and they were rude and unkind. The video showed that officers were not rude, never threatened to take her dogs, and did not block her from entering her home. Officer N. provided citizen with a card, and informed citizen that both she and Officer S. had the same sergeant that was listed on the card. The IRO found the allegation of Conduct to be Exonerated against Officers S. and N.

**CPC 064-14****September 24, 2014**

A citizen was attempting to enter a park, but Officer M. would not allow citizen to enter. Citizen alleged that he was treated like a dog when the officer told him to go forward. Officer M. was directing traffic, and had orders to not allow cars to enter the park. There was no lapel video and none was required. The IRO found the allegation of Conduct to be Not Sustained against Officer M.

**CPC 087-14****September 24, 2014**

Citizen stated that she was pulled over for no headlights at night, and that Officer W. accused her of driving under the influence. Citizen claimed that she was threatened and intimidated by Officer W. The video recording showed that Officer W. was not threatening or intimidating toward citizen during the traffic stop. The IRO found the allegation of Conduct to be Unfounded against Officer W.

**CPC 090-14****September 24, 2014**

Citizen alleged that Officer K. participated in her ex-husband's extortion attempt when he attempted to broker a deal, made false statements to the district attorney's office, and conducted an improper follow-up investigation. A recording of the phone conversation showed that Officer K. was professional, and never tried to broker a deal, as alleged by citizen. The IRO found the allegation of Conduct to be Unfounded, and Follow-Up Investigation to be Exonerated against Officer K.

**CPC 111-14****September 24, 2014**

Citizen was at a bar and stated that another vehicle struck her vehicle in the parking lot. Dispatch informed citizen this would be a civil matter because it was on private property, but citizen insisted that an officer be sent to the scene. Citizen claimed the other driver was intoxicated. Officer W. arrived and informed citizen that he could not arrest the other driver because he saw no indication of intoxication and did not observe him driving. Citizen alleged that Officer W. was rude and would not arrest the other driver for DWI. Video showed that Officer W. was not rude, and that his conduct was appropriate. The IRO found the allegation of Performing Duties Efficiently to be Exonerated against Officer W.

**CPC 118-14****September 24, 2014**

Citizen called police for an escort to pick up her property from her apartment. Citizen was afraid of the landlord. Officer A. arrived on scene and citizen alleged he took the side of the landlord. Citizen claimed Officer A. snickered and laughed, was arrogant, and acted like a bully. Officer A. denied these allegations. There was no recording or independent witness. The IRO found the allegation of Misconduct to be Not Sustained, and Failure to Record to be Sustained against Officer A.

**CPC 181-12****September 29, 2014**

Citizen reported a hit-and-run accident to his girlfriend's property. Citizen alleged that Officer T. was rude and unprofessional, refused to write a report, and refused to provide his business card. Citizen was recording the incident and was told by Officer T. to stop

recording. Citizen alleged that Officer T. placed his foot in the door of residence when citizen tried to close the door, and that Officer T. was biased. The video recording showed that Officer T.'s conduct was inappropriate and unprofessional, but there was no indication of bias. The IRO found the allegations of Conduct, Working Knowledge of Laws, Furnishing Business Card, Courteous Behavior, and Acting Officially to be Sustained, and the allegation of Bias to be Unfounded against Officer T.

**CPC 229-12**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen was on a late-night walk. Officer L. approached citizen and shined a spotlight, which scared citizen, who then ran from Officer L. Officers were searching for a domestic violence suspect in the area. Shortly thereafter, the citizen was cleared as a suspect, but was arrested for eluding an officer. Sgt. A. was also on scene. Citizen claimed the arrest was because he was African-American. Officer L.'s arrest of citizen was improper. The IRO found the allegation of Bias to be Unfounded, and the allegations of Working Knowledge of Laws and Conduct to be Sustained against Officer L. The IRO found the allegation of Superior Officer's Responsibility to be Sustained against Sgt. A.

**CPC 047-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen stated she was a passenger in a vehicle and the driver was arrested for DWI. Citizen was intoxicated and the officer would not let her walk home. The officer had dispatch call a taxi cab to take citizen home. Citizen claims the taxi driver asked her for a kiss. There was no complaint against the officer, but a complaint was filed with the PRC. The citizen no longer wished to pursue her complaint against APD. This case will be inactivated because there were no SOP violations committed by the officer.

**CPC 72-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen was arrested for prostitution by Detective F. Citizen had a pink bag containing makeup and medication. Because Detective F. had been on duty for 17-and-a-half hours, his supervisor allowed the bag to be kept in a secure place instead of being tagged into evidence at the end of shift. The bag was secured in the SID office. The bag was later returned to citizen, who acknowledged all her property was still in the bag. The IRO found the allegation of Securing Evidence to be Exonerated against Detective F.

**CPC 092-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen stated his business was burglarized and that a nearby business had captured the burglary on surveillance. Detective B. informed citizen that he would pick up the surveillance video within two days, but he failed to do so. Citizen alleged that Detective B. made disparaging statements against the Department. Detective B. denied making the remarks. There was no video or independent witness to this incident. The IRO found the allegation of Conduct to be Not Sustained, and Failure to Record and Perform Duties to be Sustained against Detective B.

**CPC 097-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen stated that he was involved in a vehicle accident, but when Officer E. arrived, he did not seem to be concerned about an injured lady. Citizen stated that he had to perform Officer E.'s duties, and that Officer E. was negligent, incompetent, hostile, and did not furnish his

name. The lapel video showed that EMTs were on scene treating the injuries, and that Officer E. was not angry, hostile, or incompetent. The IRO found the allegation of Furnishing Name to be Sustained, and the allegation of Maintaining Sufficient Competency to be Exonerated against Officer E.

**CPC 119-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen stated that he was battered by his neighbors. Officer D. arrived on scene and deemed citizen the guilty person, ignored citizen's claims, and believed the neighbors' lies. Citizen alleged that Officer D. threw him against a wall and handcuffed him. There was only a partial video. The IRO found the allegations of Preliminary Investigation and Use of Force when Reasonable to be Not Sustained, and the allegations of Report the Incident Fully, Report on Weaponless Force, Report to be Submitted by End of Shift, and Failure to record to be Sustained against Officer D.

**CPC 120-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen stated that her husband committed suicide. The husband's good friend was Officer K. Citizen called Officer K., who was off duty, who arrived on scene in his marked patrol unit, displaying his badge of office. Officer K. also had his family come to the scene. When other officers were advised of the relationship between husband and Officer K., Officer K. was removed from the scene. Officer K. later sent e-mails to citizen which stated that he held citizen accountable for her husband's suicide. The IRO found the allegations of Conduct, Writing Reports on Suicides, and Take-home Car used for Official Purposes to be Sustained against Officer K.

**CPC 143-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen alleged that Detective S. and Sgt. B. came to his mother's house and began questioning him, which was a violation of federal law, and that he had received several phone calls from blocked numbers. Citizen alleged that Detective S. and Sgt. B. were guilty of falsifying evidence, abuse of power, conflict of interest, hate crimes, terrorist attack threats, and conspiracy to commit murder. Citizen stated that he filed charges with the FBI, DOJ, Homeland Security, U.S. Attorney General, N.M. Attorney General, and the ATF. Officers at APD were receiving requests from citizen for nude photos of officers. The IRO found the allegations of Conduct to be Unfounded, and Keeping Official Business Confidential to be Exonerated against Detective S. and Sgt. B.

**CPC 153-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen stated that he was the victim of assault and false imprisonment. When Officers S. and A. and Sgt. D. arrived, they failed to do their job by not arresting the alleged offender, and citizen was denied medical treatment. Officers indicated that citizen refused medical treatment when offered. Officers could not arrest the alleged offender for a misdemeanor committed outside their presence. The IRO found the allegation of Maintain Sufficient Competency to be Exonerated against Officers S. and A. and Sgt. D., and the allegation of Failure to Record to be Sustained against Officer S.

**CPC 169-14**

**September 29, 2014**

Citizen alleged that Officer R. removed and confiscated a license plate from his vehicle, thereby damaging the vehicle, and that Officer R. failed to record the incident. Because there was no video, citizen's claim for damages was denied by the City. Officer R. did record the incident in its entirety. The IRO found the allegation of Failure to Record to be Unfounded against Officer R.