

CPC 013-14 A citizen alleged that two APD officers showed up at her house after slamming her front door out of frustration. Citizen alleged that the officers entered her residence without a warrant or probable cause. The case was inactivated after a preliminary investigation at the citizen's request.

CPC 020-14 A citizen alleged that she received a phone call from her friend who sounded like she was in need of medical assistance. The citizen called APD and requested a welfare check be done on her friend. The citizen alleged when she called back to see what happened to her friend, the person who answered the call at APD was rude and unprofessional. The case was inactivated after a successful informal resolution.

CPC 039-14 A citizen alleged that he was arrested by APD officers who responded to Domestic Violence incident. The citizen alleged that he had an outstanding felony warrant. The citizen also alleged that the officers failed to conduct a proper investigation into the domestic violence incident. The case was inactivated for being over the 90 days in which to investigate by City Ordinance.

IRO Hammer requested approval of CPC 013-14, CPC 020-14, CPC 039-14
Commissioner Shine moved to approve the consent agenda. Passed

For: Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 183-12 A citizen complained about two separate incidents. In the first incident the citizen alleged that he was detained in the back of a hot car, and the officer did not conduct a proper investigation after being called about a domestic dispute. The second incident, the citizen alleged that he was issued a summons for stalking and harassment. The citizen alleged that the Detective who issued the summons may have a bias against him because citizen's twin brother used to work for APD. The allegation of improper investigation was exonerated against Officer L. The allegation of misconduct was not sustained against Officer L. The allegation of unlawful search was not sustained against Officer L. The allegation of failing to record the incident was sustained against Officer L. The allegation of improperly issuing a summons was exonerated against Detective J. The allegation of misconduct was unfounded against Detective J. Commissioner Shine moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 3 – Peterson, Barela, Shine
Against: 1 – Siegel

CPC 227-12 A citizen alleged that an APD officer was rude, yanked her out of the car, and slammed her against the car after being pulled over for an unknown reason. Citizen alleged that the officer stated there was an arrest warrant after she was in the back of the officer's car. The allegation of an arrest warrant was exonerated against Officer C. The allegation of misconduct was unfounded against Officer C. The allegation of use of force was unfounded against Officer C. The allegation being biased was unfounded against Officer C. The allegation of improper towing was exonerated against Officer C. The allegation of improper driving was exonerated against Officer C. The allegation of having a ride-along was sustained against Officer C. The allegation of use of force was unfounded against Officer H. The allegation of improper

safekeeping of evidence was not sustained against Officer H. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 007-13 A citizen alleged that he was assaulted at his apartment complex. The citizen alleged that he was then arrested for DWI and assault. The citizen alleged that the officers did not take his statement or investigate his claims of the incident and was told to wait when citizen requested medical treatment. The allegation of improper investigation was unfounded against Officer B. The allegation of failing to take a report was not sustained against Officer S. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 057-13 A citizen alleged that an APD Detective lied to her and jeopardized her safety by listing her name on a search warrant as a Confidential Informant. The allegation of acting officiously was unfounded against Detective S. The allegation of treating official business as confidential was exonerated against Detective S. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 066-13 A citizen alleged that an APD officer barged into his apartment, looked around citizen's apartment, searched his couch and dirty laundry after accusing citizen of smoking marijuana. The allegation of unlawful search was exonerated against Officer P. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer P. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 070-13 A citizen alleged that an APD officer arrived at his home regarding a false police report filed by another individual. Citizen alleged that he attempted to file a police report of his own against the individual but a police report has not been generated regarding this matter. The allegation of acting officiously was unfounded against Officer S. The allegation of failing to record the incident was not sustained against Officer S. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 075-13 A citizen alleged that he was arrested and taken to the Prisoner Transport Unit where two officers taunted him and used profanity. The citizen also alleged that one of the officers threw him to the ground. The allegation of misconduct was not sustained against Officer F. The allegation of use of force was exonerated against Officer F. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Failed

For: 1 – Shine

Against: 3 – Peterson, Barela, Siegel

CPC 078-13 A citizen alleged that he requested assistance from an APD officer to repossess a motorcycle that had not been paid for. The citizen alleged that the officer went directly to the man's home and informed him of citizen's attempts to repossess the motorcycle. The allegation of acting officiously was unfounded against Officer V. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 081-13 A citizen alleged that a CIT Detective arrived at his residence without permission and scared away his caretaker. The citizen alleged that he called the CIT Detective the following day and the Detective informed him not to call 911 anymore to ask for a certain officer to come to his home or she would have him put in jail. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Detective A. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 084-13 A citizen alleged that he called police to have his nephew removed from his mother's home. During the incident a struggle ensued between the officer and citizen's brother. The citizen alleged that the officer shoved his mother, would not provide his name, handcuffed citizen lifting his hands way up, hurting him and putting citizen in the police car. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer P. The allegation of failing to provide name was exonerated against Officer P. The allegation of use of force was exonerated against Officer E. The allegation of failing to provide name was exonerated against Officer E. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer H. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 094-13 A citizen alleged that an officer was rude to her, refused to contact a supervisor and towed her vehicle after her husband was pulled over for speeding. The citizen's husband refused to cooperate with the IRO's Investigation. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer H. The allegation of towing of the vehicle was exonerated against officer H. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 096-13 A citizen alleged that he was pulled over for driving with blue headlights. The citizen alleged that the officer listed several other infractions, but only cited him for the improper headlight. The citizen also alleged that the officer told him repeatedly that if he saw him, the officer would pull him over for anything he could find. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer H. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 097-13 A citizen alleged that an APD officer was rude, unprofessional, unhelpful and did not ask her side of the story when responding to an accident in which citizen was involved. The allegation of misconduct was unfounded against Officer B. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 135-13 A citizen alleged that three APD officers went to his home to check on the welfare of his elderly mother. The citizen alleged that one of the officers forced his bedroom door open, grabbed him by the wrist while the other officer threatened to tase him, yanked him out of his room and threw him down on the couch. The allegation of use of force was exonerated against Officer H. The allegation of unlawful search and arrest was exonerated against Officer H. The allegation of use of force was unfounded against Officer L. The allegation of unlawful search and arrest was exonerated against Officer L. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 155-13 and 156-13 A citizen alleged that an APD Civilian Employee committed several act of fraud and used her position as an APD employee to gain his trust. The citizen also alleged in CPC 156-13 that an APD Detective did not make a police report about the allegations. The allegation of not obeying rules and regulations was sustained against Employee M. The allegation of committing an act of inserting a business name on a document was sustained against Employee M. The allegation of misconduct was sustained against Employee M. The allegation of using official title for commodity or commercial enterprise was sustained against Employee M. The allegation of using their official position to solicit was sustained against Employee M. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 165-13 A citizen alleged that while stopped at an intersection, an APD officer was going to say the N-word, since the citizen is African American. The citizen alleged that the officer sped up to catch him forcing other cars to move aside. The citizen also alleged that the officer made a bad face when she pulled him over. The allegation of being biased was unfounded against Officer T. The allegation of failing to record was not sustained against Officer T. The allegation of failing to submit the traffic citation was not sustained against Officer T. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 172-13 A citizen alleged that her daughter was manhandled at a local Wal-Mart. When officers arrived, one of the officers lectured the victim about her attitude and clothing. The citizen also alleged that the officer told the victim that the incident was her fault. The allegation of misconduct was not sustained against Officer G. The allegation of failing to record the incident was sustained against Officer G. The allegation of failing to write a report was not

sustained against Officer R. The allegation of failing to record the incident was sustained against Officer R. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Failed

For: 1 – Shine

Against: 3 – Peterson, Barela, Siegel

CPC 179-13 A citizen alleged that while at a gas station with his children, an APD officer was standing outside his patrol car when a female approached the officer and began to either feel the officer's belt or his penis. The allegation of misconduct was not sustained against Officer R. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 184-13 A citizen alleged that he was attacked by a person with a bat. The citizen alleged that the officer did not arrest the person with the bat but arrested the citizen and his friend instead. The citizen also alleged that the officer did not believe him and did not charge all the people with trespassing and causing damage to citizen's residence. The allegation of failing to conduct a proper investigation was exonerated against Officer K. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 185-13 A citizen alleged that an APD officer took possession of her keys, debit card and cellphone when being arrested for DWI. The citizen also alleged that upon her release from MDC she was only given her keys and debit card. Her cellphone was not with her property. The allegation of safekeeping evidence was sustained against Officer S. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 189-13 Commissioner Shine moved to continue CPC 189-13 to the April 10, 2014 POC meeting. Passed

For: 3 – Peterson, Shine, Siegel

Abstain: 1 – Barela

CPC 012-14 A citizen alleged that an APD Lieutenant lied to an IRO Investigator during an investigation. The case was inactivated for being over the 90 days in which to investigate by City Ordinance. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel

CPC 015-14 A citizen alleged that after an investigation by the IRO he received documents that showed that the Internal Affairs Lieutenant, a Commander, a Deputy Chief of Police, and the Chief of Police had signed off on the IRO's investigation of the case, which involved an allegation of illegal parking by the APD Mobile Command Center van. The case was inactivated

because there were no SOP violations. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 3 – Peterson, Barela, Shine
Against: 1 – Siegel

CPC 032-14 A citizen alleged that he was arrested for DWI after being singled out and followed from a liquor establishment where the citizen's girlfriend worked. The citizen alleged that one of the arresting officers obtained the phone number of the citizen's girlfriend. The citizen alleged that the officer later sent text messages to the girlfriend. The case was inactivated for being over the 90 days in which to investigate by City Ordinance. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 3 – Peterson, Barela, Shine
Against: 1 – Siegel

CPC 041-14 A citizen alleged that APD officers seized a laptop, I-pad, and I-pod after being served a search warrant. The citizen alleged that the I-pad and I-pod have been returned but the laptop has not been. The case was inactivated after a preliminary investigation for no violations of Standard Operating Procedures. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed

For: 4 – Peterson, Barela, Shine, Siegel