

**CPC 221-13** – A citizen stated that Officer B. was aggressive during contact with citizen. The citizen wanted to speak to the lieutenant of Officer B. and Officer B.'s sergeant instead of filing a formal complaint. After speaking with the lieutenant, the citizen's concerns were resolved. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 033-14** – A citizen stated that two APD officers improperly arrested citizen for breaking church windows. Citizen claimed that he was in jail when the incident took place. Church surveillance showed that citizen did break the church windows. Citizen was found incompetent to stand trial. Officers did not violate any SOPs. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 034-14** – A citizen stated that he found it odd that two police cars were following a neighbor down the street. After citizen received more information about the incident, citizen did not want to pursue the complaint any further. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 047-14** – A citizen stated that she was a passenger in a vehicle, and the driver was arrested for DWI. Citizen was very intoxicated and the officer would not allow her to walk home. The officer called a taxi to transport the citizen home. Citizen alleged that the taxi driver was inappropriate and asked for a kiss. The officer did not violate any SOP. The PRC will investigate the complaint against the taxi driver. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 078-14** – A citizen alleged that an officer who was off-duty and out of uniform was driving an unmarked police vehicle. The investigator attempted to contact citizen to obtain more information, but the citizen did not return calls to the investigator. The officer's actions did not constitute a violation of any SOP. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 083-14** – A citizen was watching an episode of COPS on TV and alleged that he saw two APD officers conducting an unreasonable search and seizure. COPS has not filmed in Albuquerque for several years. This complaint was received well beyond the 90-day time frame for filing a complaint. Therefore, the IRO has no legal authority to investigate this complaint. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 086-14** – A citizen stated that she was arrested for domestic violence. Citizen was in a wheelchair due to a knee injury. When citizen was released from jail, she could not locate her wheelchair and alleged that the officers did not secure the wheelchair. This complaint was received beyond the 90-day time frame for filing a complaint. Therefore, the IRO has no legal authority to investigate this complaint. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 088-14** – A citizen stated that an officer made a presentation to the girl scouts, but his presentation was too focused on weapons and tools that police use. The citizen did not have the officer's name, and did not want the incident investigated, but did want APD to be aware of her complaint. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 091-14** – A citizen stated that an officer violated her rights because she was not allowed to give her side of the story and her husband did give a statement. This complaint was received beyond the 90-day time frame for filing a complaint. Therefore, the IRO has no legal authority to investigate this complaint. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 094 -14** – A citizen stated that Officer Gabaldon had been following her on Facebook for several weeks and had threatened to blow her brains out. APD does not have an Officer Gabaldon. The Facebook post indicated that Gabaldon stated he was with the Air Force. There is no allegation against any APD officer. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 095-14** – A citizen received a parking ticket and alleged that officers prey on college kids for absurd parking problems. There is no SOP violation alleged. The proper venue for parking tickets is a courtroom where a judge can make the decision. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 099-14** – A citizen was arrested for DWI and blew into a portable breath test unit. Citizen alleged that the officer would not allow citizen to view the results of the test, that his handcuffs were too tight, that the officer did not seat-belt citizen, the officer was speeding, and he did not record the incident in its entirety. This complaint was received beyond the 90-day time frame for filing a complaint. Therefore, the IRO has no legal authority to investigate this complaint. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 100-14** – A citizen alleged that an APD officer testified in 1998 and 1999 and committed perjury during his testimony. This complaint was received 14 years after the incident, well beyond the 90-day time frame for filing a complaint. Therefore, the IRO has no legal authority to investigate this complaint. The complaint will be inactivated.

**CPC 105-14** – A citizen stated that parking tickets were issued to a line of cars and that the parking meters were not in working order. Parking Enforcement personnel are not APD employees. The complaint was forwarded to the Parking Enforcement Department. The complaint will be inactivated.

IRO Hammer requested approval of the Consent Agenda. Vice Chair Barker moved to approve the consent agenda. Passed.

For: 3 - Peterson, Barker, Foster

**CPC 236-13** – A citizen alleged that Sergeant S. left a message on citizen's phone, and the message was threatening and unprofessional. Citizen stated that Sergeant S. entered her vehicle and removed her insurance card without citizen's permission. The investigation revealed that Sergeant S.' conduct was improper and should be sustained. The search was not a lawful search, and Sergeant S. should be sustained. Sergeant S. should be sustained on failure to record the incident. Vice Chair Barker moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.