

CPC 131-14 – A citizen stated that she was arrested in August 2013 for felon in possession and evading an officer. Citizen alleged that her gold rings turned up missing. This complaint was filed in July 2014, and is well beyond the 90-day timeframe in which the IRO can investigate this matter. This case will be inactivated.

CPC 150-14 – This complaint was against a Bernalillo County Sheriff's Deputy. No APD employees were involved. The IRO informed the complainant to file the complaint with BCSO. This case will be inactivated for no jurisdiction.

CPC 80-14 - A citizen alleged that officers were unprofessional when they responded to her residence two times regarding prowlers on her property. Citizen was unhappy with the response time of officers. The citizen agreed to speak to the officers' lieutenant and the matter was informally resolved. This case will be inactivated.

CPC 133-14 - A citizen complained about a police officer with the Town of Bernalillo. There were no APD personnel involved in this incident. The IRO left a message with citizen to file the complaint with the Town of Bernalillo. This case will be inactivated for no jurisdiction.

CPC 152-14 – A citizen stated that she was unable to obtain a police report of an accident which occurred in May 2014. The IRO determined that the police report had been filed the day of the accident, and was able to assist citizen in obtaining the police report. A copy of the report was also sent to citizen's attorney. This case will be inactivated.

CPC 113-14 – A citizen complained about incidents in 2010 and 2011 which involved her kids' father driving drunk. This complaint was filed in June 2014, and is well beyond the 90-day timeframe for filing a complaint. This case will be inactivated.

CPC 135-14 – A citizen stated that she was involved in an accident in August of 2013 and had been unable to obtain a copy of the report. The complaint was filed in August of 2014, but the IRO was able to assist the citizen in obtaining a report. A copy of the report was also sent to citizen's attorney. This case will be inactivated.

CPC 114-14 – A citizen stated that her ex-husband stabbed her in April 2004, and he would be getting out of prison soon. This incident was well beyond the 90-day timeframe, but the IRO advised citizen to contact the victim advocate at the district attorney's office, and to also contact the victim advocate at the Department of Corrections for help.

CPC 137-14 - Citizen complained that an officer pulled him over for speeding, and cut off the car behind him, which was being driven by his girlfriend. Citizen did not wish to participate in mediation, and did not want to have the matter further investigated. The case was informally resolved to the satisfaction of the citizen.

CPC 138-14 – Citizen complained that she was driving behind her boyfriend and an officer cut her off when he made a traffic stop. Citizen did not wish to participate in mediation, and did not want to have the matter further investigated. The case was informally resolved to the satisfaction of the citizen.

CPC 47-14 – A citizen stated that she was a passenger in her boyfriend's vehicle when he was arrested for DWI. Because citizen was intoxicated, officer would not allow the citizen to walk home in the dark. A cab was called, and citizen alleged that the cab driver asked her for a kiss. The PRC is now investigating the cab driver. The citizen had no complaint against the officer. This case will be inactivated because there is no complaint against an APD employee.

CPC 128-14 – A citizen complained that APD officers should have used non-lethal force against her nephew, who was killed during an encounter with officers. The complaint was filed beyond the 90-day timeframe. However, the IRO informed the citizen that when the investigation is completed by all agencies, that a copy of the findings will be forwarded to her. This case will be inactivated.

CPC 145-14 – A citizen complained about the conduct of an Aviation Police officer. There were no allegations against any APD employee. The IRO send an e-mail to the Chief of the Aviation Police. This case will be inactivated.

CPC 203-12 – A citizen stated that she was involved in a three-car accident. Officer H. and PSA T. arrived on scene. Citizen alleged that Officer H. was unprofessional and that PSA T. wrote an inaccurate police report. The video showed that Officer H. was professional during his contact with all parties and that his conduct should be Exonerated on this SOP. The lapel video showed that Citizen stated she was turning on a red light and hit a vehicle. PSA T. wrote an accurate police report and should be Exonerated on this SOP. PSA T. did not record the incident, and should be Sustained for not recording. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

CPC 117-14 – Citizen complained about an incident via e-mail, but the complaint did not include the citizen's last name, and the complaint was not manually or electronically signed. Pursuant to the Union contract, the IRO is not authorized to investigate this complaint. Acting Lt. Medrano stated that IA has investigated this matter, but he cannot comment on that investigation. Because the IRO has no authority to investigate this complaint, it will be Inactivated. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

CPC 59-14 - A citizen stated that she was attempting to merge into traffic on the ramp from Ouray onto I-40. Major G. refused to allow the citizen to merge into traffic and forced her to almost come to a complete stop. Major G. denied these allegations. There

was no lapel video and no witness. I find the allegation regarding conduct against Major G. to be Not Sustained. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

CPC 120-13 - A convenience store owner alleged that Officer S. refused to arrest shoplifters on two different incidents. On the first incident, the security guard stated that he found a meth pipe with residue in a female's purse. Officer S. did not cite the female for possession of the meth pipe as security had located the pipe, and Officer S. could not testify to its original location. On the second incident, a male and female were caught shoplifting beer and placing it in their child's stroller. Officer S. attempted to contact the grandparents to pick up the child, but she was unable to make contact. Officer S. determined that she would issue citations to the male and female, but stated that they were getting a break because this was a serious matter. On the SOP concerning officer discretion, I find Officer S. to be Exonerated. On the issue of neutral and detached attitude, I find Officer S.' conduct to be Exonerated. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

CPC 148-13 - A citizen stated that he was waiting for a bus on Central Avenue when Officer G. told him to not move or try to hide anything. The citizen alleged that Officer G. was harassing him, that he was thrown to the ground and handcuffed, and ordered to sit in a position that was painful. The video showed that citizen was not thrown to the ground. Citizen alleged that Officer G. remarked that she could not wait to see him in court. Officer G. denied these allegations. The video showed that Officer G.'s conduct was courteous, and that she addressed the citizen as "sir." Officer G. never made the statements as alleged by citizen. I find the allegation regarding Officer G.'s conduct to be Unfounded. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

CPC 197-13 - Citizen stated that she had conflict with Officer D. Officer D. and citizen dated in the past. Citizen had a boyfriend, and stated that she and Officer D. would get together in between breakups with the boyfriend. Citizen married boyfriend and contact with Officer D. stopped. Citizen alleged that Officer has been making many restricted calls to her home, and has attempted to have her husband investigated. Citizen stated that there are unmarked cars outside her home and business. A search of phone records did not detect any calls from Officer D.'s cell phone. Officer D. denied the allegations. There is no proof of the allegations. Citizen alleged that Officer D. would pick her up in his patrol car during the time they dated. Officer D. admitted that he did pick citizen up for lunch several times. I find the allegation regarding Officer D.'s conduct to be Unfounded. I find the allegation regarding official business to be kept confidential to be Not Sustained. I find the allegations regarding take-home vehicles and transporting

passengers to be Sustained. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

37-14 – The father of a teenager stated that his son found a gun in some bushes. Upon calling 242-COPS, Operator M. advised the teenager to take the gun to the nearest substation, but to call first to let them know he would be bringing in a gun. The father stated that the situation warranted an officer coming to the scene. If the teen had been stopped by police, or if the gun had discharged, it could have been a serious situation. The SOP regarding sufficient competency to perform duties should be Sustained against Operator M. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster