

CPC 008-14 – A citizen alleged that he was cut off by another driver near the scene of an auto accident. The citizen alleged that he notified an officer who was directing traffic but the officer did not seem interested in assisting the citizen. This case was inactivated after a successful informal resolution.

CPC 024-14 – A citizen alleged that he saw an APD SUV vehicle pass him at a high rate of speed in excess of the speed limit during his travels on I-40 near Holbrook, AZ. This case was inactivated after a successful informal resolution.

CPC 026-14 – A citizen alleged that she observed four to six vehicles merge onto Comanche running a stop sign. The citizen attempted to pass the last vehicle which was an SUV with government plates. The SUV vehicle stopped in the roadway, the armed driver exited the SUV and informed the citizen that the vehicles were in a protection escort. This case was inactivated after a successful resolution.

CPC 029-14 – A citizen complained about the conduct of APD officers who went to St. Martin's to arrest a client of St. Martin's. This case was inactivated after a successful resolution.

CPC 031-14 – A citizen wrote in with questions regarding APD's response to child abuse calls. The citizen posed several questions regarding the child abuse investigative process. This case was inactivated because the citizen confirmed that she was not filing a complaint but seeking information.

CPC 045-14 – A citizen complained about the actions of a Parking Enforcement Officer. This case was inactivated because by City Ordinance, we may only investigate complaints against APD Officers and APD employees.

CPC 046-14 – A citizen alleged that he was in a car accident and a Bernalillo County Sheriff's Deputy took the accident report. The citizen alleged that he had to spend a lot of time to get the report because the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Department does not share information with APD. This case was inactivated because by City Ordinance, we may only investigate complaints against APD Officers and APD employees.

IRO Hammer requested approval of CPC 008-14, CPC 024-14, CPC 026-14, CPC 029-14, CPC 031-14, CPC 045-14, and CPC 046-14

Chair Peterson moved to approve the consent agenda. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 186-12 – A citizen alleged that he was pulled over. The citizen alleged that Officer G. lied to the citizen's attorney during an interview, had a little girl with her while on duty, and failed to notify Officer J. about a suspicious vehicle in the area. The citizen alleged that Officer M. made the citizen complete a field sobriety test on an uneven surface and refused to provide medical assistance. The allegation of failing to notify Officer J. about a suspicious vehicle was exonerated against Officer G. The allegation of acting officiously was unfounded against Officer G. The allegation of failing to provide a report was unfounded against Officer G. The allegation of failing to properly administer a field sobriety test was exonerated against Officer M. The allegation of referring citizen to an attorney was sustained against Officer M. The allegation of handcuffing too tight was exonerated against Officer M. The allegation of failing to provide medical assistance was not sustained against Officer M. The allegation of failing to record the incident was exonerated against Officer M. Commissioner Shine moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 190-12 – A citizen alleged that while downtown a fight ensued. The citizen was in the crowd that gathered around the fight. The citizen alleged that an APD horse came through the crowd, knocked her down and stepped on her causing her toe to be cut off. The allegation of failing to consider the safety of the public was not sustained against Officer K. The allegation of failing to perform their duties in a manner that will maintain the established standard of efficiency was exonerated against Officer K. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 5 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine

Against: 1 – Siegel

CPC 222-12 – A citizen alleged that while he waited in a school parking lot for the last of his students to be picked up an APD officer contacted him. The citizen alleged that he started to leave when the officer pulled him over and ordered him out of the car. The citizen alleged that the officer handcuffed him, searched him, and put him in the back of the police car. Another officer arrived and determined that the citizen matched the description of a robbery suspect. The citizen was later released because the victim failed to identify the suspect. The allegation of

being detained was exonerated against Officer R. and Officer P. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer R. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 013-13 – A citizen alleged that an officer yelled at her and demanded they go to her apartment to talk. Once inside, the citizen alleged that she was afraid and wanted to go out of her apartment, but the officer would not allow her to leave, and slammed the door shut with his boot. The citizen stated that she became hysterical and was crying. She called 911 and asked for a sergeant to come to the scene. The citizen alleged that the officer then opened the door and they both went outside. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer O. The allegation of use of force was unfounded against Officer O. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 029-13 – A citizen alleged that while on break she walked over to a nearby Walgreens, stopped at the back of the Walgreens to get her shopping list and smoke a cigarette. The citizen alleged she was contacted by an officer who told her she was loitering and to put her cigarette out. When she dropped her cigarette to extinguish it the officer then told her he now had her for littering. The allegation of not having knowledge of all laws of the State of New Mexico and the Ordinances of the City of Albuquerque was exonerated against Officer B. The allegation of misconduct was not sustained against Officer B. The allegation of being biased against the citizen's race was not sustained against Officer B. The allegation of failing to record the incident was not sustained against Officer B. Commissioner Shine moved to approve with the modification of sustaining on the lapel camera. Commissioner Shine then moved to disapprove the letter. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 090-13 – A citizen alleged that he was pulled over by an APD Sergeant. The citizen alleged that the Sergeant had a bad attitude and accused the citizen of swerving. The Sergeant administered a Field Sobriety Test. The allegation of misconduct was unfounded against Sergeant C. The allegation of being biased was unfounded against Sergeant C. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 104-13 – A citizen alleged that she called APD because she suspected her mother was deceased by way of suicide. The citizen alleged that when APD officers arrived they restrained her husband and flung him to the ground, sat on him, almost tased him, and handcuffed him. The allegation of use of force was

exonerated against Officer M. and Officer C. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 150-13 – A citizen alleged her car was stolen and when officers finally responded, one officer made her feel bad for not knowing her license plate number and was rude. The citizen alleged that she tried contacting the other officer to release the police hold on her car but the officer never called her back. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer F. The allegation of racial bias was unfounded against Officer F. The allegation of failing to accomplish the functions of the department efficiently was sustained against Officer K. Commissioner Shine moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 151-13 – A citizen alleged that he was involved in a minor traffic accident. The citizen stated that a motorcyclist who had just left a nearby bar hit his vehicle. The citizen stated that the motorcyclist appeared to be intoxicated, yet he was not arrested by the police. The citizen alleged that the officer, who arrived on scene to test the motorcyclist's sobriety, was a friend of the motorcyclist. The allegation of permitting personal feeling to influence the decision was unfounded against Officer O. Commissioner Shine moved to approve the findings of the IRO with modifications to the letter. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 157-13 – A citizen alleged that he was in a car accident and the responding officer told him to get over to his car or he would go to jail. The citizen also alleged that the officer did not tell him any information about the other driver, did not file a police report for six weeks and the officer's statements were inappropriate. The allegation of failing to properly investigate was exonerated against Officer M. The allegation of failing to report the incident accurately was exonerated against Officer M. The allegation of misconduct was exonerated against Officer M. The allegation of failing to turn in the report at the end of shift was not sustained against Officer M. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

CPC 223-13 – A citizen alleged that he was arrested after his ex-girlfriend made false accusations about him. The citizen also alleged that one of the officers treated him rudely by accusing him of having a disease. The citizen also alleged that the same officer was disrespectful and threatening. The citizen alleged that the other officer did not conduct a proper investigation. The allegation of failing

to conduct a preliminary investigation was exonerated against Officer M. The allegation of misconduct was not sustained against Officer B. The allegation of failing to record the incident was sustained against Officer B. The allegation of failing to write a supplemental report was sustained against Officer B. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 5 – Peterson, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel

Against: 1 – Barker

CPC 224-13 – A citizen alleged that an officer responding to her home the previous night was parked outside her place of employment. The citizen alleged that the officer made inappropriate statements and she had seen the officer patrolling around her place of employment on Thursday mornings. The allegation of misconduct was unfounded against Officer B. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 4 – Peterson, Barker, Foster, Shine,

Against: 1 – Barela

Commissioner Siegel stepped off the Dias as this case was being discussed and voted on.

CPC 042-14 – A citizen complained that on numerous occasions in the past a man whom she believed was an APD Detective abused and caused her problems. This case was inactivated because the person named is not an APD officer or APD employee. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 6 – Peterson, Barker, Barela, Foster, Shine, Siegel