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Chairperson’s Perspective
2011 Fourth Quarter Report

With the New Year, changes are coming for the Police Oversight commission (POC), the Albuquerque Police Department, and the citizens of Albuquerque.

The MGT review of the POC has been completed and its final report has been submitted to the City Council. The POC has received some commendations in the report, and some recommendations for change. Implementing certain recommendations will require coordination with other city entities such as the City Council, the Mayor’s office, or the Albuquerque Police Department. I have begun to work on other recommendations.

I believe that the citizens of Albuquerque will realize that implementation of our efforts to modify and improve our police oversight activity will take time; and that revising these practices and procedures is an ongoing process. The POC Commissioners will bring their best and most conscientious efforts to address and resolve the sensitive issues and changes which will be brought before them.

Bambi Folk

Chair

Police oversight Commission
Executive Summary

Mission Statement

The mission of the Police Oversight Commission (POC) is to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of all citizen complaints brought by individuals against the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), and to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices and procedures. William Deaton, the Independent Review Officer (IRO) works with the POC and APD to ensure that (1) citizen complaints are thoroughly investigated, (2) citizens have a fair opportunity to appeal the results, and that (3) APD policies are changed to prevent the recurrence of problems identified through the complaint process.

Complaints Filed During 2011

There were 51 complaints filed in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Policy Reviews

The Long Term Planning Committee reviews all complaints where the IRO and the Chief disagree before the cases are heard by the full POC. The committee also reviews all IRO reports on police shootings and makes a recommendation to the POC.

INFORMATION CONCERNING THE PROCESS

I. Responsibilities of the POC and IRO

The purpose of the police oversight system is to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of all citizen complaints brought by individuals against the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), and to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices and procedures.

The Police Oversight Commission

The Police Oversight Commission (POC) is composed of nine volunteers who broadly represent the diversity of the City. The POC has been charged to perform the following functions.

1. To promote a spirit of accountability and communication between the citizens and APD while improving community relations and enhancing public confidence.

2. To oversee the full investigation and/or mediation of all citizen complaints; audit and monitor all investigations and/or police shootings under investigation by APD’s Internal Affairs (IA).
3. To continue the cooperation of APD and solicit public input by holding regularly scheduled public meetings.

4. To review all work of the Independent Review Office (IRO) with respect to quality, thoroughness, and impartiality of investigations.

5. To submit periodic reports to the Mayor and City Council.

6. To submit all findings to the Chief of Police.

7. To engage in a long-term planning process through which it identifies major problems and establishes a program of policy suggestions and studies each year.

The Long Term Planning Committee:

The Long Term Planning Committee (LTPC) of the POC consists of three commissioners and is chaired by Bambi Folk. These meetings are open to the public. The LTPC conducts detailed reviews of issues referred to them by the POC.

The Independent Review Officer: William W. Deaton is an inactive attorney who manages the Independent Review Office and its staff. The IRO is given autonomy and performs the following duties under the supervision of the POC.

1. The IRO receives all citizen complaints directed against APD and any of its officers. The IRO will review the citizen complaints and assign them to be investigated by IRO independent investigators or by Internal Affairs.

2. The IRO will oversee, monitor and review all of those investigations and make findings for each. These findings are reviewed by the chain of command of the Albuquerque Police Department and, if agreement is reached by the Chief of Police and the Independent Review Officer, forwarded to the POC for their approval. When there is no agreement between APD and the IRO, the matter is presented to the Police Oversight Commission as a “non-concurrence”, and the Commission then makes its findings.

3. The IRO makes recommendations and gives advice regarding APD policies and procedures to the POC, City Council, APD, and the Mayor.

4. An impartial system of mediation may be used for certain complaints.

5. The IRO monitors all claims of excessive force and police shootings and is an ex-officio member of the Claims Review Board.

6. The IRO ensures that all investigations are thorough, objective, fair, impartial, and free from political influence.

7. The Independent Review Office maintains and compiles information sufficient to satisfy the POC’s quarterly reporting requirements.

8. The IRO and his/her staff shall play an active public role in the community and provide appropriate outreach to the community; publicizing the citizen complaint process and the locations within the community that are suitable for citizens to file complaints in a non-police environment.
II. Processing Complaints Against the Police

Any person may file a written complaint against APD or any of its officers. All complaints must be signed by the complainant as required by the union contract.

These written complaints can be sent to:

b. The IRO office at Room 813, Plaza del Sol, 600 2nd St, NW.
c. Mail to IRO, P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103, or
d. Internal Affairs, Albuquerque Police Department.

Complaint forms and the Ordinance establishing the POC and the IRO are available on the IRO website (see above). Complaint forms are also available at the IRO office, at City libraries, homeless shelters, police substations, and the Internal Affairs Unit of APD. The complaints may be filed with the city staff. All complaints will be forwarded to the IRO.

When the IRO receives a complaint, the complaint is entered into the IRO’s case management database and assigned a unique Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) number. The IRO reviews the complaint and assigns the case to the IRO investigators or Internal Affairs. Upon completion of the investigation, the IRO reviews the investigation for thoroughness, impartiality, and fairness. The IRO will also consider and determine recommendations by the investigators as to which APD Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) the citizen alleged to be violated; and what are the appropriate findings and conclusions based on the evidence developed in the investigation. Findings are based on a preponderance of the evidence.

Definitions of complaint dispositions are as follows:

1. **Sustained:** APD Member is determined to have committed the alleged violation.
2. **Not Sustained:** It cannot be determined by a preponderance of the evidence whether the member did or did not commit the alleged violation.
3. **Unfounded:** Member did not commit the alleged violation.
4. **Exonerated:** Member was justified in taking the course of action alleged and/or member was operating with the guidelines of the law or SOPs.
5. **Inactivated:** The complaint was determined to not merit further investigation. Complaints can be inactivated for several reasons, including; failure to allege a violation of SOPs, submitting a complaint over 90 days after the incident, complaint is not against APD members, the APD member cannot be identified, or the case was successfully mediated.
Mediation has been very successful. Chief Schultz formalized a mediation program. Lieutenant Jan Olstad is in charge of the mediation program. Five selected lieutenants and sergeants received mediation training. IRO investigators informally mediate some complaints. Mediated complaints are generally inactivated. Therefore, the number of inactivated complaints has increased substantially.

The IRO’s findings are reviewed by the Police chain of command and then, if there is agreement between the Chief of Police and the IRO; sent to the Police Oversight Commission in the form of a Public Record Letter to be sent to the citizen. If the Chief of Police disagrees with the IRO’s findings, the POC decides the matter after hearing both sides. A Public Record Letter stating the findings is then sent to the complainant by certified mail. The Chief of Police has sole disciplinary authority over police department personnel.

If the citizen who filed the complaint is dissatisfied with the findings, he may appeal that decision to the POC. The appeal must be made within ten business days from the date the citizen receives the aforementioned public record letter from the IRO or POC. Appeals and non-concurrences are heard during the POC’s monthly televised meetings which are open to the public. Upon appeal, the POC may adopt or change the findings and recommendations of the IRO and may make further recommendations to the Chief. If the citizen is still not satisfied with the action of the POC or the Chief of Police, the citizen may request a review by the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) within 10 business days.

This process is very transparent and it is subject to public scrutiny. The administration, city councilors, and citizens of Albuquerque have created a functioning and productive system of civilian oversight of the police department that they can be proud of. The passage of the amendments to the Police Oversight Ordinance in 2004 has improved the system. Our City Council has given us an ordinance which has put in place one of best operating oversight systems in the United States and it is unique to Albuquerque.

III. Timeliness of Investigating Citizen Complaints

In 2011 we have received 65 complaints. With our three investigators, the IRO office has investigated almost all complaints. A few complaints have been referred to Internal Affairs for investigation. The IRO sought extensions of time for investigation in fewer than 2% of the cases received during 2011.

IV. Sustained Allegations

In 2001, 53 complaints were sustained. 39 were sustained in 2002, while eighteen of those cases were sustained in the last three months of 2002. 49 complaints were Sustained from 2003 and 62 citizen complaints were sustained in 2004. Three police shooting investigations were sustained by the POC, but one was reversed on appeal by the CAO. In 2005, 68 citizen complaints and 8 police shootings have been sustained for procedural violations as of this report. In 2006, 73 complaints were sustained. In 2007, 89 complaints were sustained. In
2008, 48 complaints were sustained. In 2009, 55 complaints were sustained. In 2010, 95 complaints were sustained. In the fourth quarter of 2011, 13 complaints were sustained.

V. Public Outreach

In Second Quarter of 2010 the IRO office designed a pamphlet discussing the IRO and POC process.

A massive e-mail was sent to all Neighborhood Associations letting them know about the IRO/POC. An invitation was also attached for the IRO to present at their meetings.

IRO staff went to public library branches and distributed pamphlets and complaint forms.

A massive e-mail was sent to all APS High School Principals with an invitation for the IRO to present at their schools.

IRO pamphlets and complaint forms were delivered to the Islamic Center of New Mexico on Yale.

IRO staff went to community centers and senior centers and distributed pamphlets and complaint forms.

September 12, 2010 IRO presented at the Peppertree/Royal Oak Neighborhood association.

September 29, 2010 IRO staff presented at the School on Wheels Government/Civics Class.

Statistics

Quarterly and Year to Date Summary of Citizen Complaints.

Cases Received This Quarter: 52
Cases Received Year to Date: 254
Mediated Cases This Quarter: 10
Mediated Cases Year to Date: 24
Total Pending Cases: 47

Complaints Received by IRO (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Jan: 21
Feb: 24
Mar: 20
Apr: 28
May: 22
June: 23
July: 8
Aug: 15
Sept: 14
Oct: 8
Nov: 8
Dec: 1
YTD: 192

Incident Occurrences by Month (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Jan: 26
Feb: 16
Mar: 25
Apr: 25
May: 23
June: 15
July: 8
Aug: 23
Sept: 12
Oct: 4
Nov: 8
Dec: 2
Unk: 25
YTD: 212
### YTD Incident Occurrences by Day of the Week (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Incidents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mon</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thu</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### YTD Incident Occurrences by APD Area (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Incidents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FH</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### YTD Incident Occurrences by Time of Day (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Incidents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 AM - 3 AM</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 AM - 6 AM</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 AM - 9 AM</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 AM - Noon</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noon - 3PM</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 PM - 6PM</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 PM - 9 PM</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 PM - 12 AM</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### YTD Count of Complaints by Citizen Gender (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
YTD Count of Complaints by Citizen Ethnicity (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

- Asian: 3
- Afro-American: 10
- Hispanic: 34
- Native-American: 3
- White: 38
- Other: 2
- Unknown: 196

YTD Count of Complaints by Citizen Age (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

- 18-23: 26
- 24-29: 35
- 30-35: 39
- 36-41: 38
- 42-47: 24
- 48-53: 29
- 54-59: 21
- 60-65: 11
- 66-71: 7
- 72-77: 2
- 78-83: 0
- 84-89: 0
- 90-95: 0
- 96-100: 0
- Unknown: 51

YTD Count of Complaints by Officer Gender (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

- Male: 141
- Female: 26
- Unknown: 1

YTD Count of Complaints by Officer Ethnicity (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

- Asian: 2
- Afro-American: 5
- Hispanic: 73
- Native-American: 2
- White: 82
- Other: 0
- Unknown: 4
### YTD Total Count of Complaints by SOP Violation Category and Investigation Resolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOP Category</th>
<th>SOP</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Sus</th>
<th>NSus</th>
<th>Just</th>
<th>Unf</th>
<th>Med</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acting Officiously</td>
<td>1-04-4N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrests</td>
<td>1-02-2-2B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrests</td>
<td>1-02-2-2D2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrests</td>
<td>2-14-14D7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrests</td>
<td>2-14-17C1&amp;3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrests</td>
<td>1-02-2B2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>1-04-4O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>2-47-1A(1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court</td>
<td>2-01-3A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to Civilian Property</td>
<td>2-02-2A1-3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving Behaviors</td>
<td>1-04-6N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Issues</td>
<td>3-12-6P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Safekeeping</td>
<td>2-48-3C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Safekeeping</td>
<td>2-08-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Safekeeping</td>
<td>2-08-12D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falsification of Documentation</td>
<td>1-04-4U1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-1F</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-4G1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-1G1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-4A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-4C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-4F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOP Category</td>
<td>SOP</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>Sus</td>
<td>NSus</td>
<td>Just</td>
<td>Unf</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-1C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-1A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-4B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-1G1&amp;2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-9F1&amp;3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-4D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-9A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-4Z</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-4A&amp;D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Conduct</td>
<td>1-04-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations/Documentation</td>
<td>2-24-3A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations/Documentation</td>
<td>2-24-3F5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations/Documentation</td>
<td>2-24-3 F5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations/Documentation</td>
<td>2-24-3F1-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations/Documentation</td>
<td>2-24-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations/Documentation</td>
<td>2-24-3F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language/Gestures</td>
<td>1-04-4P</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language/Gestures</td>
<td>1-04-1P</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing Name</td>
<td>1-02-3A</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial Profiling</td>
<td>1-03-2C</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial Profiling</td>
<td>1-03-2A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial Profiling</td>
<td>1-03-4C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOP Category</td>
<td>SOP</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>Sus</td>
<td>NSus</td>
<td>Just</td>
<td>Unf</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Writing Issues</td>
<td>1-04-4V</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Writing Issues</td>
<td>1-05-6D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Writing Issues</td>
<td>1-05-6I4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restraints and Transportation</td>
<td>2-19-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights of Observers</td>
<td>1-31-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights of Observers</td>
<td>1-31-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searches/Seizures</td>
<td>1-02-2BC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searches/Seizures</td>
<td>1-02-2B1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisory Issues</td>
<td>3-18-3G</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towing</td>
<td>2-48-2D1&amp;2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towing</td>
<td>2-48-3E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Enforcement Stops</td>
<td>2-47-1B1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Enforcement Stops</td>
<td>2-18-8B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Enforcement Stops</td>
<td>2-18-2A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truthfulness</td>
<td>1-04-4W</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use/Obtainment of Information</td>
<td>1-37-4C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use/Obtainment of Information</td>
<td>1-37-2C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use/Obtainment of Information</td>
<td>1-37-2A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use/Obtainment of Information</td>
<td>1-04-4Q</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of APD Vehicles</td>
<td>1-04-22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of APD Vehicles</td>
<td>1-19-10I1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of APD Vehicles</td>
<td>1-19-10K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOP Category</td>
<td>SOP</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>Sus</td>
<td>NSus</td>
<td>Just</td>
<td>Unt</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-3B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-2A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A3&amp;5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A2,3,</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-2B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Belt Recorders</td>
<td>1-39-1A2&amp;5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Force</td>
<td>2-52-2A</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Force</td>
<td>2-52-6B1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Force</td>
<td>2-52-7E2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Force</td>
<td>2-52-6D2A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Force</td>
<td>2-52-8G3A1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2-50-1B1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1-01-G1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**YTD Officers Involved in Multiple Complaints for the Current Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint Type</th>
<th>1 Complaint</th>
<th>2 Complaints</th>
<th>3 Complaints</th>
<th>Over 3 Complaints</th>
<th>Civilian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>351</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cases Received and Reviewed this Year

The Albuquerque Police Department provides for police protection, law enforcement, investigation, crime prevention and maintenance of order in the community.

In order to carry out their duties and responsibilities, the police are empowered with legal authority. To achieve success, the Department must win and retain the confidence and respect of the citizens it serves. Police officers do not act for themselves, but for the public. To that end, it is necessary to create and maintain a system through which the Department can be effectively directed and controlled. Written directives have been incorporated into Standard Operating Procedures (SOP’s) to guide and direct department personnel in the performance of their duties. Violations of these provisions may result in disciplinary charges against personnel.

Standard Operating Procedures are defined as written orders by the Chief of Police or a bureau, division, or section commander to define policy and direct procedures for specific situations of events.

The following section lists each of the Citizen Police Complaints (CPC) received for this specific quarter or in the case of an annual report, all of the CPC’s received year-to-date.

Each CPC entry is formatted with the CPC Number, the complainants City Council District, the complaints Neighborhood Association (NHA), the investigating bureau (IRO or IA), a brief synopsis of the complaint, the current case status, followed by each of the officers involved in the complaint including their assigned APD area. The Officers actual names have been omitted, and for any given complaint, are referred to using alphabetic letters (A-Z). Within each officer listing, is the SOP number involved, the SOP’s general category, the case finding, the Chief/IRO Decision and the case disposition. For any SOP non-concurrence between the Chief and IRO, additional levels of commentary relative to the POC, Chief and CAO are listed.
CPC-2010-094  District: 6  NHA: La Mesa  Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that two APD officers responded to her home, because she had called in to complain about a neighbor who had threatened to shoot her. One of the responding officers arrested her. She complains that the officer wanted to leave her home unsecured, that he left her wallet in her home when it should have been tagged into evidence, that she was improperly arrested, that she was handcuffed too tightly, that the officer drove her to the jail in a reckless and unsafe manner and when they got to the jail, he re-handcuffed her tightly and taunted her in front of jail personnel. She further complains that when she got out of jail, she was missing $350.00 from her wallet. She suspects that the assisting officer stole her money. She complains that the officer who arrested her and the neighbor she had the dispute with grew up together and that they are friends. Lastly she complains that when it came time for her to go to court, the officer failed to show up to prosecute the case.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 2-08-1 (Evidence Safekeeping)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-19-10I1 (Use of APD Vehicles)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 2-01-3A (Court)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B  APD Area: NW

SOP: 2-08-1 (Evidence Safekeeping)  Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None
Citizen alleged that her children told her about an incident that occurred with her husband where police beat him up, used racial slurs and threatened the children with being taken away if they said anything. She claimed her husband was severely injured. When her husband was interviewed he made several additional allegations such as inappropriate language and conduct. He also claimed damages were done to his vehicle.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A **  **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Exonerated  
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Not Sustained  
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Exonerated  
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Unfounded  
Disposition: None

**Officer: B **  **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  
IRO/Chefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Exonerated  
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-02-2A1-3 (Damage to Civilian Property)  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Unfounded  
Disposition: None

**Officer: C **  **APD Area:**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Exonerated  
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-02-2A1-3 (Damage to Civilian Property)  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Unfounded  
Disposition: None

**Officer: D **  **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Finding: Exonerated  
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-02-2A1-3 (Damage to Civilian Property)  
Finding: Unfounded
Citizen complains that he noticed a van speeding through a parking lot near a park where kids were present. He motioned for the van driver to slow down; and when the van was exiting the parking lot, citizen had a confrontation with the driver, and off-duty APD Lieutenant. Citizen alleges that the off duty Lieutenant pointed a gun at him and then left. Citizen claims the use of force was inappropriate and unnecessary. He also claims that when the Lieutenant returned to apologize that he was rude and not sincere.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: 
SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2010-166  District: 3  NHA: South Broadway  Investigator: IRO

Citizen alleges that on two occasions, one where she was present and one of which she had knowledge of, officers harassed and arrested clients of the harm reduction needle exchange program. Specifically, one officer during the encounter she was involved in was very rude and confrontational.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: VA
SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2010-170  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP
Citizen alleged that his ex girlfriend used her position with APD in order to harass him by sending officers out to detain him for a warrant that did not exist. Citizen claims that the parties involved conspired with citizen's ex-girlfriend against him. Citizen knew that one of the officers that went to his home was friendly with citizen's ex-wife and that officer used unnecessary force and inappropriate language against citizen.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A        APD Area:

SOP: 1-37-2A (Use/Obtainment of Information)    Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Terminated

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)    Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Terminated

SOP: 1-04-4W (Truthfulness)    Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Terminated

Officer: B        APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officiously)    Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Terminated

SOP: 1-04-4W (Truthfulness)    Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Terminated

Officer: C        APD Area: VA

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)    Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)    Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4U1 (Falsification of Documentation)    Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: None

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand
CPC-2010-173  District: 1  NHA: Westgate Heights  Investigator: IRO

The citizen complains that two officers from the APD pursued him without cause and chased him into his home. The officers entered his home without a warrant or consent and once inside they used excessive force in apprehending the citizen. He also alleges that the officers used foul language when dealing with him and his girlfriend's minor children.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: NE

- IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Officer: B  APD Area: SW

- IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2010-176  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP
Citizen claimed the officers did not investigate the accident or the subsequent drug paraphernalia charge adequately. Citizen stated his vehicle was searched without permission and he was not provided Miranda Warnings. He did not receive proper medical treatment because of the arrest; or when his condition worsened while he was kept in a hot police car. One officer was very rude in his comments to him and the other did not care about his situation. He was not transported safely due to careless driving and his not being placed in a seat belt.

Case Status: Closed

**Officer: A  APD Area: VA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOP</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-50-1B1 (Other)</td>
<td>Exonerated</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)</td>
<td>Exonerated</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-04-1F (General Conduct)</td>
<td>Not Sustained</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-24-3F1-5 (Investigations/Documentation)</td>
<td>Exonerated</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Officer: B  APD Area: NE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOP</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-50-1B1 (Other)</td>
<td>Exonerated</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-04-1F (General Conduct)</td>
<td>Not Sustained</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-24-3F1-5 (Investigations/Documentation)</td>
<td>Exonerated</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-19-5 (Restraints and Transportation)</td>
<td>Not Sustained</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-04-6N (Receiving Special Privileges)</td>
<td>Not Sustained</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-39-1A (Use of Belt Recorders)</td>
<td>Exonerated</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The citizen had an altercation with his ex-girlfriend and the police were called. Neither party was arrested. The citizen alleges the officers treated him in an unprofessional manner once his ex-girlfriend told them he had a prior domestic violence case.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A  APD Area: NW**

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

**Officer: B  APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

Citizen stated he was taken to what he claimed was the wrong hospital and when he tried to leave, the hospital called security. An APD officer came to his room and tased him several times without cause, laughing and joking about it the whole time. Citizen claimed the same officer served a restraining order on him and was very mean and seemed to have something against him.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A  APD Area: NW**

SOP: 2-52-8G3A1 (Use of Force)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

**Officer: B  APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None
Citizen is an MDC employee. Citizen alleges that she received a harassing telephone call from APD Transport Officers. Citizen alleges that one officer threatened to commit a battery against citizen and the other officer yelled over the telephone at citizen and told her to keep her name out of "fucking mouth".

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1C (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Terminated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Terminated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-04-4B (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Terminated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-04-4W (Truthfulness)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Terminated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Officer: B  APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1C (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Resignation
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Resignation
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-04-4B (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Resignation
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-04-4W (Truthfulness)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Resignation
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2010-184  District: 3  NHA: Downtown  Investigator: IA

Citizen's complaint alleges that she was not allowed to enter the Noon Day Ministry facility and that she was pushed out by an APD officer.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPC-2010-186</th>
<th>District: U  NHA: Unknown</th>
<th>Investigator: IRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Case Status:</strong> Inactivated</td>
<td><strong>Inactivation Reason:</strong> No Officer Identified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Citizen complains that an APD officer confronted him while he was crossing the street. The officer taunted him with his police car, called him over to the car and threatened him, and then the officer grabbed him by the shirt and pushed him away from the car. The officer then drove away from the citizen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPC-2010-190</th>
<th>District: 3  NHA: Santa Barbara/Martineztown</th>
<th>Investigator: IRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Citizen complains that an APD officer confronted him while he was crossing the street. The officer taunted him with his police car, called him over to the car and threatened him, and then the officer grabbed him by the shirt and pushed him away from the car. The officer then drove away from the citizen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Officer: A  APD Area:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOP:</strong> 1-04-1F (General Conduct)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</strong> Agreed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **SOP:** 2-52-2A (Use of Force) | **Finding:** Not Sustained |
| **IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed | **Disposition:** None |

| **SOP:** 1-02-3A (Providing Name) | **Finding:** Not Sustained |
| **IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed | **Disposition:** None |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPC-2010-192</th>
<th>District: U  NHA: Unknown</th>
<th>Investigator: IRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Citizen stated that an on duty officer pulled him over based on wrong assumptions made by two off duty officers. Citizen's written complaint implicated both officers as unprofessional particularly because of their attire, but in his interview the attire was a secondary issue to the way that he was treated, specifically by one of the officers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Officer: A  APD Area: VA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOP:</strong> 1-04-1F (General Conduct)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRO/Chiefs Decision:</strong> Agreed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **SOP:** 1-01-G1 (Other) | **Finding:** Sustained |
| **IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed | **Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Officer: B  APD Area: VA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOP:</strong> 1-04-1G1&amp;2 (General Conduct)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Citizen complains that he was in the park just sitting there enjoying the day. He was approached by three police officers, two female and one male and he was searched by one of the female officers. He was then told by the other female officer that he could not stay there. When he protested and said that he was not breaking the law, the female officer told him to “shut up”. Citizen was then issued a Criminal Trespass warning and the female officer told him if he was seen in the park again, he would be arrested.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: NE

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Citizen stated that he was riding his bicycle in his neighborhood and did nothing wrong or suspicious to draw the attention of an officer. An officer approached him. Initially, the citizen did not know it was an officer until the officer identified himself. Citizen stated that there was no reason for the officer to approach him and the only reason he did so was that citizen is African-American. Citizen claimed the officer's contact with him was a violation because it was racially motivated.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area:

SOP: 1-02-2B1 (Searches/Seizures) Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling) Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None
The apartment manager learned of an incident between her administrative assistant and an individual that turned out to be a reserve officer with APD. She believed he abused his position by trying to use his position to intimidate. The officer’s conduct was inappropriate.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:**

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Sustained  **Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

**SOP:** 1-02-3A (Providing Name)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  **Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

The citizen stated an officer says he saw him flip him off and curse at him so the officer stopped him, illegally searched him, and shot him with a taser for no reason.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:** NW

**SOP:** 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  **Finding:** Sustained  **Disposition:** Letter of Reprimand

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

**SOP:** 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  **Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

Citizen was involved in a traffic accident with the husband of an APD dispatcher. Citizen believes that investigating officers were not dispatched promptly, and that the dispatcher was trying to "cover" for her husband. Citizen further states that she wanted a police report but that the officer and PSA referred her to the substation. Citizen contends that the other car’s driver should have been cited for "reckless driving" and no proof of current insurance; and that he was given preferential treatment because he was married to a dispatcher.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:**

**SOP:** 1-04-9F1&3 (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Unfounded  **Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed
Citizen alleges that during a domestic violence investigation, the officer showed a "conflict of interest" towards the complaintant and that the officer damaged walls while searching her trailer. Citizen also alleges that the officer used excessive force against her husband during a separate incident in which her husband was arrested.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: FH
SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None
SOP: 1-04-4D (General Conduct) Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None
SOP: 1-39-1A6 (Use of Belt Recorders) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Verbal Reprimand
SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force) Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

CPC-2010-206 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she was trying to get her elderly mother to her apartment but the streets had been blocked to restrict Balloon Fiesta Traffic from entering the neighborhood. Citizen ended up at Jefferson and Alameda where she was allegedly confronted by an irate APD officer who took her car key and threatened her with arrest. The officer eventually allowed her to proceed through the barricades and into the neighborhood, but only after she showed him her mother's identification. She believes the officer acted rudely and that he did so because she and her mother are Native Americans.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: FH
SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) Finding: Not Sustained
Citizen arrived at the scene of an accident involving her fiancé and another driver. The police were called and two different officers arrived at two different times. The first officer to arrive was rude, disinterested and refused to help the citizens. The first officer refused to write a police report, despite requests to do so. The second officer was very nice, wrote a report, and did everything the citizens expected the first officer to do.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: SW

SOP: 1-04-4O (Attitude) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Letter of Reprimand
SOP: 1-05-6D (Report Writing Issues) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2010-208 District: 3 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that after she was attacked by other women at Hotel the police officers responding to the call declined to arrest a person she pointed out as her assailant. She further alleges that she was not given medical help for the bite she received during the aforementioned attack. Citizen complains that although she was bleeding from her mouth and spitting, she declined to go to the hospital because her complaint was not being taken seriously and she was frustrated with the treatment she was receiving. She specifically complains that a black police officer, who talked to her, used profanity and told her she was stupid. She asked for the names of all the officers who had responded to the call; particularly, one officer. Citizen also alleges that one officer told her that she could get the names from the report which would be filed because citizen was going to jail. When citizen asked to speak to a supervisor officer told her that she could see a supervisor at the PTU since she was going to jail and had been taken into custody. At the PTU, citizen alleges that the same officer made inappropriate remarks about her, and that two officers had an inappropriate conversation about their “dating” activities while waiting for a supervisor. Citizen asserts that she was arrested in retaliation for asking for the identity of the two officers and that she was discriminated against because she is African-American.
Case Status: Closed

Officer: A       APD Area: SW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)          Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed             Disposition: None

SOP: 2-24-3 (Investigations/Documentation) Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed             Disposition: None

Officer: B       APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)        Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed             Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4F (General Conduct)          Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed             Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-210  District: U   NHA: Unknown                Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated        Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-212  District: 2   NHA: Unknown                Investigator: IRO

Citizen and his friend were in the parking lot of Boston's Pizza conducting business when an officer approached, immediately acting aggressive and angry. The officer made several inappropriate comments and humiliated citizen by berating him. The officer was physically aggressive when he searched and handcuffed citizen and then forced him to the ground despite his numerous physical ailments. The officer used profanity throughout the incident and searched citizen’s truck without permission.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A       APD Area: VA

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)              Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed             Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)          Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed             Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)        Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed             Disposition: None
SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  
**Finding:** Not Sustained  
**Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

SOP: 1-05-6I4 (Report Writing Issues)  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

---

**CPC-2010-214**  
District: 2  
NHA: Downtown  
Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she was stopped by an APD officer for an alleged traffic violation. She complains that the officer was rude and unprofessional and that he treated citizen disrespectfully. When she asked for the officer's name and badge number, the officer did not provide it in a cordial manner.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:** VA

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
**Finding:** Not Sustained  
**Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)  
**Finding:** Not Sustained  
**Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

---

**CPC-2010-215**  
District: 5  
NHA: Taylor Ranch  
Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated he was treated differently because of his and his lowrider car's appearance. Citizen claimed he was stopped without cause, he and his car searched without reason and he was subjected to numerous unnecessary questions. Citizen claimed the officer manhandled him for no reason and that the officer should have taken the time to check citizen's good record before dealing with him.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:** NW

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  
**Finding:** Exonerated  
**Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  
**Finding:** Exonerated  
**Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)  
**Finding:** Unfounded  
**Disposition:** None

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed
Citizen was driving his motorcycle when he observed a semi truck smoking very badly in front of him in the right lane. The truck was being followed by a police car. Citizen observed a second police car which was northbound. The second police car turned on its lights, made a u-turn, and drove up in the second lane beside the first police car. As citizen drove along behind the two police cars he could see them laughing and talking to each other car-to-car, and slowing down traffic by driving slower than regular traffic in two lanes of southbound traffic. Citizen pulled his motorcycle up in the third lane beside the second police car and told the driver, that the cops think they can do anything they want to do. Citizen alleges that the officer responded, "you are damned right I can do whatever I want Whenever I want, however I want, and if you don't lit it pull over and I'll find a reason to cite you and arrest you". Citizen, noting that the officer had seen the USMC identification on citizen’s motorcycle, then details derogatory remarks allegedly made by the officer about citizen's Marine Corps service.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct) Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1P (Language/Gestures) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-217 District: 8 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2010-218 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-220 District: 1 NHA: Stinson Tower Investigator: IRO

Two employees of KOB-TV were reporting from a scene when the Sgt approached them. They allege the Sgt grabbed one of the Citizens roughly and pushed him away while telling him to leave before cursing that no media should be in the area.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: SW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures) Finding: Sustained
Citizen claimed the officer that responded to a family dispute did not treat her well or investigate the issue because of her Hispanic last name.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:**

**SOP:** 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Finding:** Unfounded  
**Disposition:** None

**SOP:** 1-39-2B (Use of Belt Recorders)  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand

The citizen was involved in a traffic accident. The citizen alleges the officer did not interview her about the accident and did not investigate the circumstances completely.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:** SE

**SOP:** 2-18-8B (Traffic Enforcement Stops)  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Finding:** Exonerated  
**Disposition:** None

Citizen complains that an APD officer responded to her home to investigate a complaint of domestic violence. She claims that the officer was intimidating and unprofessional in his behavior, and that the officer looked at her body in a way that made her feel uncomfortable.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:** NE

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Finding:** Exonerated  
**Disposition:** None

Citizen complains that when she was arrested and incarcerated for domestic violence her purse and a makeup kit were seized from her and not tagged into evidence or returned to her when she was released.
from jail. When she called the arresting officer to inquire about her missing property, the officer was rude to her.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A  APD Area: FH**

SOP: 2-08-1 (Evidence Safekeeping)  
**Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** None

SOP: 1-04-9A (General Conduct)  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-39-1A8 (Use of Belt Recorders)  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand

**Officer: B  APD Area: NE**

SOP: 2-08-1 (Evidence Safekeeping)  
**Finding:** Unfounded  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** None

CPC-2010-226 District: 5  NHA: Skies West  
**Investigator:** IA

Citizen complains that an APD officer was rude and disrespectful to her when responding to a call by the citizen reporting a man who had threatened to kiss her and her family. Citizen repeatedly and incorrectly tried to link the incident prompting the citizen's call to a fight at a party down the street from citizen's house. Officer did not take citizen accusation seriously, and she failed to perform a proper preliminary investigation or to go to the residence of the alleged perpetrator of the threats.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A  APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
**Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Unknown  
**Disposition:** None

SOP: 2-24-3F (Investigations/Documentation)  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-228 District: 7  NHA: Jerry Cline Park  
**Investigator:** IRO

The citizen and her husband were having an argument and someone called APD. When APD arrived they arrested her husband even though she claims he never hit her. The citizen alleges the officers were not professional when dealing with her.

**Case Status:** Closed
**Officer: A APD Area: SE**

**Finding:** Exonerated  
**Disposition:** None

**CPC-2010-229**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

**Officer: A APD Area: NW**

**Finding:** Exonerated  
**Disposition:** None

**CPC-2010-235**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

The citizen was pulled over for speeding. The citizen alleges the officer was very rude to her during the traffic stop.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A APD Area: VA**

**Finding:** Not Sustained

**Officers stopped the vehicle that citizen was riding in after the officers had received a call that occupants of the car were firing shots into the windows of homes in the area. Citizen alleged that the officer screamed at her and did not cordially provide his man number when she asked for it.**

**Case Status:** Closed

**CPC-2010-230**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IA

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Officer Identified

**CPC-2010-233**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

**CPC-2010-232**  
District: 3  NHA: Downtown  
Investigator: IA

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

**CPC-2010-234**  
District: 1  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IA

**CPC-2010-232**  
District: 3  NHA: Downtown  
Investigator: IA

**CPC-2010-233**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

**CPC-2010-235**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Officer Identified

**CPC-2010-230**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IA

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Officer Identified

**CPC-2010-233**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

**CPC-2010-234**  
District: 1  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IA

**CPC-2010-232**  
District: 3  NHA: Downtown  
Investigator: IA

**CPC-2010-233**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

**CPC-2010-234**  
District: 1  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IA

**Officer: A APD Area: VA**

**Finding:** Not Sustained
Citizen complains about three incidents. All three incidents involve police harassment of clients of the syringe exchange program where citizen is a harm reduction specialist. Citizen did not observe any of the incidents.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4A (General Conduct)  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Finding: Unfounded  Disposition: None

CPC-2010-236  District: 1  NHA: West Mesa  Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that while at the Metropolitan Courthouse, she had just left a court hearing and was followed out by an APD officer who had been involved in the hearing. The officer allegedly approached her in an angry manner and threatened to press charges on her for filing a false police report. She complains that she was addressed by the officer in a threatening and unprofessional manner.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None

CPC-2010-238  District: 6  NHA: South San Pedro  Investigator: IRO

The citizen stated that an APD officer was rude and aggressive with her. The officer maintained his aggressive attitude and unnecessarily called a second officer for back up. The first officer should have
known she posed no threat. He denied her the opportunity to use the restroom despite her discomfort. The first officer did not provide his name and he was vindictive in issuing citizen four tickets. Citizen believed it was the first officer that was aggressive with her husband, but her husband said it was the second officer.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officiously)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

Officer: B  APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2010-239  District: 3  NHA: Downtown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-240  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-241  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2010-242  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that an APD officer was driving an issued car erratically an at times at high speeds on Coors NW. The vehicle exited in Rio Rancho where the driver was using his cell phone and texting.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-4Z (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Letter of Reprimand
SOP: 1-04-6N (Driving Behaviors)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Letter of Reprimand
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2010-243  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Other Agency

CPC-2010-244  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
The citizen was pulled over for speeding. The citizen alleges the officer was rude and treated her like a murderer/rapist during the incident.
Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area:
SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Unfounded  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2010-245  District: 3  NHA: Pat Hurley  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-246  District: 3  NHA: Downtown  Investigator: IA
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Other

CPC-2010-247  District: 5  NHA: Ventana Ranch  Investigator: IRO
Officer came to citizen's home in order to investigate a claim of domestic violence. In his written complaint, citizen alleges that when officers detained him in handcuffs, they applied the handcuffs too tightly, causing injury.
Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: NW
SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: Unknown
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Officer: B  APD Area: NW
SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Exonerated
Citizen complains that he was illegally stopped while driving through Albuquerque with his father in a rental car on I-25. Citizen alleges that the car was searched without his consent and that after a large sum of money was seized from his luggage by the officer and an unidentified woman, the car was towed. The woman later identified herself as an agent from Homeland Security. After he telephoned his wife, citizen's father tried to make a call on the same cell phone and the officer grabbed the phone. Citizen requested a supervisor and an APD Sgt. came to the scene. Citizen and his father asked to be taken to the police station and the request was refused. Citizen and his father were taken to the rental agency at the airport. When they protested the police giving a narrative concerning the rented car, the police left the rental office. The rental agency informed citizen and his father that the rental agreement had been cancelled.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area:

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None
Citizen alleges that police who responded to a domestic violence call at a house she owns failed to secure the residence after arresting her son, for assaulting his girlfriend, who had been house-sitting and tending to a dog at the residence. Citizen alleges that the girlfriend attacked her son with a knife. She also alleges the girlfriend stole various items from the house, and that the house was burglarized and vandalized as the result of being left open after her the girlfriend was removed from the house and her son was wrongfully put in jail.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A    APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-4A&D (General Conduct)    Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: None

Citizen complains that she and her husband were stopped at Yale and Central by two APD officers for an alleged traffic violation which citizen says did not occur. Her car was towed and impounded. She claims that the officer was rude and provocative and that he was unprofessional in his behavior. She believes that the officer racially profiled her husband and that is why the traffic stop occurred.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A    APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)    Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling)    Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: Unknown
### CPC-2010-260
- **District:** U
- **NHA:** Unknown
- **Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

The citizen was involved in an argument with another party and APD was called. The citizen alleges the officer cursed at her while dealing with her.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:** SW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOP</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
<td>Letter of Reprimand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOP</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-39-1A3 (Use of Belt Recorders)</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
<td>Letter of Reprimand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CPC-2010-261
- **District:** 2
- **NHA:** McKinley
- **Investigator:** IA

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

### CPC-2010-262
- **District:** 5
- **NHA:** Unknown
- **Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:** SW

### CPC-2010-263
- **District:** U
- **NHA:** Unknown
- **Investigator:** IA

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

### CPC-2010-264
- **District:** 2
- **NHA:** Unknown
- **Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:** NE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOP</th>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-04-4O (Attitude)</td>
<td>Not Sustained</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CPC-2010-265
- **District:** U
- **NHA:** Unknown
- **Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution
CPC-2010-266  District: 5  NHA: Skies West  Investigator: IRO

The citizen was arrested for Domestic Violence against his wife. The citizen alleges that the officer had been having an inappropriate sexual relationship with the citizen’s wife prior to this and that led to his arrest. The citizen also alleges the officer took the citizen’s private property and gave it to his wife at the time of his arrest.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2010-267  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

The citizen stated despite committing no infraction he was pulled over for a traffic stop. The officer questioned him about drinking and subjected him to a sobriety test for no reason. Citizen alleged the stop was racially motivated and that if he had been a caucasian he would not have been treated in that manner.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-03-2A (Searches/Seizures)  Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2010-268  District: 3  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that she contacted an APD Sgt. by telephone to inquire about her son, who had been stabbed, and was hospitalized at UNMH; and that the Sgt. was rude and unprofessional in dealing with her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-4Q (Use/Obtainment of Information)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand
CPC-2010-269  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2010-270  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2010-271  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2010-272  District: 3  NHA: Downtown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-001  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

Officer: A  APD Area: SE

CPC-2011-002  District: 7  NHA: Sombre Del Monte  Investigator: IRO

Citizen feels the Officer is out of control and abuses his power
Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-003  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-004  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Other
Citizen was the victim of identity theft at his bank and after dealing with an out-of-town police department wanted to file a report with APD. The citizen alleges the officer who responded was hostile and refused to write a report for him.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:** SW

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Exonerated  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

---

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

**CPC-2011-006**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:** NW

**SOP:** 1-04-4O (Attitude)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Exonerated  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

**SOP:** 1-04-4G1 (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Unfounded  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

---

**Officer:** B  **APD Area:** NW

**SOP:** 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None
Citizen was upset at how officers handled a situation in response to false allegations his girlfriend made. He was particularly upset that his life was in danger by officer pointing weapons at him, the lack of accurate information provided to him and how he was disrespected, especially in how officers treated his personal property. On later occasions that same day, his ex-girlfriend harassed him by coming to the house with police despite the fact she had no entitlement to be there. Some of the officers that arrived later were demanding and rude.

Case Status: Closed

**Officer: A**  APD Area: VA

- SOP: 1-04-4A (General Conduct)
- IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown
- Finding: Exonerated
- Disposition: None

**Officer: B**  APD Area: SW

- SOP: 1-04-4A (General Conduct)
- IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
- Finding: Exonerated
- Disposition: None

- SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
- IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
- Finding: Exonerated
- Disposition: None

**Officer: C**  APD Area: SW

- SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
- IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
- Finding: Not Sustained
- Disposition: None

**Officer: D**  APD Area: SE

- SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
- IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
- Finding: Not Sustained
- Disposition: None

**CPC-2011-009**  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

Citizen is a student at Valley High School. He was approached by officers and began to argue with them. When Officer attempted to arrest him they all went to the ground and the citizen alleges he was maced and punched.

Case Status: Closed
Officer: A    APD Area:

SOP: 1-39-1A2 (Use of Belt Recorders)    Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)    Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: None

Case Status: Inactivated    Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-012    District: U    NHA: Unknown    Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated    Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution


Citizen claimed she was stopped because of her race and the neighborhood she was coming from for an alleged violation she did not commit. The officer treated her badly, detained her for an excessive amount of time, and subjected her to an invasive search. Smith claimed the female officer who actually performed the search sexually assaulted her and used unnecessary force against her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A    APD Area:

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)    Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)    Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling)    Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown    Disposition: Unknown

Officer: B    APD Area: NE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)    Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)    Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed    Disposition: Unknown
CPC-2011-014  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-015  District: 2  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that on 12/24/10 at about 6:00 p.m., her family placed a 911 call for an ambulance to come to her mother's home. Her mother was in need of medical attention and needed to be transported to the hospital. Two APD Officers arrived prior to the arrival of the ambulance and one of the officers immediately searched every room. When citizen voiced her objections to the search, the officer put his hand in her face and told her that it was standard procedure to do what he was doing. In the mean time, the officer did not even acknowledge that her mother was ill. The officer allegedly told citizen that if she had a problem with what he was doing they could go outside and talk.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-017  District: 3  NHA: Downtown  Investigator: IRO

The Citizen alleges the Officer was rough and threatened him with violence

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: VA

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 2-19-5 (Restraints and Transportation)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2011-018  District: U   NHA: Unknown
Investigator: IRO

Citizen felt officer was threatening and hostile.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A       APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2011-019  District: U   NHA: Unknown
Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated
Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-020  District: 3   NHA: Downtown
Investigator: IRO

Citizen claims APD Officer used excessive force when dealing with her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A       APD Area: VA

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2011-021  District: 6   NHA: South San Pedro
Investigator: IRO

Officers rushed in citizen's home in the middle of the night and didn't give an explanation as to why or who they were looking for. Citizen feels violated.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A       APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 1-39-1A9 (Use of Belt Recorders)
Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Unknown
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2011-022  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Citizen believes Officer is abusive of his squad car privileges and tax payer money.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-22 (Use of APD Vehicles)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-023  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-024  District: 6  NHA: Fair West  Investigator: IRO

Citizen believes her rights were violated by officers.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Unknown

Officer: B  APD Area: FH

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Unknown

Officer: C  APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-025  District: 6  NHA: Victory Hills  Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that on January 5, 2011, at about 2:00 p.m., he saw an elderly man hit his vehicle and when he confronted the man, the man left the scene of the accident. An APD officer responded and gathered all the information for the report and said he would be following up on the accident. Days later when there was no report found, citizen contacted the officer who told him that he had not completed the
report and since the other driver was elderly and had no insurance that he should just drop the matter. Citizen insisted that the report be made and when he obtained the report he was shocked to find that the officer took no enforcement action. The lack of enforcement action caused problems for citizen with his insurance company.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A**  
**APD Area:** SW

**SOP:** 1-04-4V (Report Writing Issues)  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** Suspension  

**CPC-2011-026**  
District: U  
NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

**CPC-2011-027**  
District: U  
NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

**CPC-2011-028**  
District: 3  
NHA: University Heights  
Investigator: IRO

Citizen claims an unknown person circulated her booking photo via mobile phone picture messaging and also noticed her iPod was missing from her vehicle. She claims the officer never itemized her belongings on the tow end sheet.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A**  
**APD Area:** SE

**SOP:** 2-48-3C (Evidence Safekeeping)  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand

**Officer: B**  
**APD Area:** SE

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** Verbal Reprimand

**CPC-2011-029**  
District: 3  
NHA: Broadway Central Corridor Partnership Inc.  
Investigator: IRO

The citizen was arrested and taken to the PTC. At the PTC the citizen alleges the officers were rude to her and when they let her use the restroom they used excessive force and took her to the ground for no reason.

**Case Status:** Closed
Citizen alleges that an argument with her ex-boyfriend became physical and that he attacked her in the garage. A male friend who was present intervened; and citizen scratched her ex to get him away from her. Citizen then called the police and the ex and friend left the house. When officers arrived, citizen asked one officer to photograph bruises on her neck from a previous attack, and the officer refused to do so, saying that they were not sufficiently visible. Citizen also alleged that her ex was told that if he went to the NW substation and gave a statement against her that he would not go to jail. Citizen complains that when her ex went to the substation he was strip searched and forced to give a statement against her.

**Case Status:** Closed

Citizen was upset that the officer told him that despite the court order giving him custody of his child, the officer could not guarantee that citizen would get his child if his ex-wife did not permit it.

**Case Status:** Closed
Officer: A  APD Area: FH

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 2-48-2D1&2 (Towing)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Letter of Reprimand
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 2-48-3E (Towing)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Letter of Reprimand
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Officer: B  APD Area: FH

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Officer: C  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

CPC-2011-034  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-035  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-036  District: 2  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-037  District: 1  NHA: S.R. Marmon  Investigator: IA
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

CPC-2011-038  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP
Case Status: Inactivated  
Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-040  
District: 1  
NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  
APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
Finding: Exonerated  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-041  
District: 9  
NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  
Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-042  
District: U  
NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  
Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-043  
District: U  
NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  
Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-044  
District: 1  
NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

Citizen is involved in a dispute with her neighbors and APD has been called numerous times. The citizen alleges that one officer failed to investigate the issue properly and that another officer was rude when he came to the residence.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  
APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-4F (General Conduct)  
Finding: Unfounded  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: Unknown

Officer: B  
APD Area: VA

SOP: 2-24-3A (Investigations/Documentation)  
Finding: Exonerated  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-045  
District: 1  
NHA: West Mesa  
Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  
Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint
Citizen complains that an APD officer towed her mother's car from the street near the back of their residence. When her mother went out to protest the towing of the car, the officer arrested her mother for disorderly conduct. She complains that the officer's conduct was unprofessional and that her mother was dragged from the scene resulting in injuries to her mother's barefoot feet.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A       APD Area: FH

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force) Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

CPC-2011-050 District: 1 NHA: West Bluff Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-051 District: 6 NHA: La Mesa Investigator: IRO

Officers arrived to retrieve the citizen's young son from him and to give the child to his mother. The citizen alleges that the Sgt was rude, and that the small child was transported by APD without a car seat as required by law.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A       APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-1C (General Conduct) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Suspension

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) Finding: Sustained
Citizen complains that she had dated a man who is married to a civilian employee of APD. When the man apparently ended their relationship, the citizen sent an email to the man demanding the money that the man owed her and threatened to lien his wife's paycheck if he did not pay her back. On 3/14/11 citizen received a call from an APD officer who told citizen that her behavior in sending the email and making phone calls was harassment and that the matter was being investigated as a criminal matter. Citizen alleges that the officer's behavior in dealing with her over the phone was rude and unprofessional. Citizen also alleged that the civilian employee accessed available secure computer databases and that she obtained personal information about her from those databases and she used that information for personal use.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: NE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-37-4C (Use/Obtainment of Information)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Suspension

SOP: 1-37-2C (Use/Obtainment of Information)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Suspension

Officer: B  APD Area:

SOP: 1-37-2A (Use/Obtainment of Information)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Suspension

CPC-2011-054  District: 7  NHA: ABQ-Park  Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated that officers from the previous day prevented his ex-girlfriend from taking his car. However, when his ex called police the next day, the responding officer did not investigate the situation, allowing her to take the car. The officer used inappropriate language with him and the officer's decisions were based in part, because the officer was biased against him. A subsequent altercation between him, his ex-girlfriend, and another family member of hers resulted in his arrest even though he was the victim. Another officer responding to his call for assistance intimidated him with the showing of a weapon and used inappropriate language.
Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: NE

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B  APD Area: NE

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-055  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

The citizen was pulled over for speeding and drugs were found in the car. The citizen alleges the officer handcuffed her in back even though she was pregnant and that the officer did not handle the incident properly.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2011-056  District: 3  NHA: Barelas  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

Officer: A  APD Area: VA
CPC-2011-057 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Officer Identified

CPC-2011-058 District: 8 NHA: Matheson Park Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-059 District: 6 NHA: South San Pedro Investigator: IRO

Staff complained that the officer was very rude and intimidating when he responded to their 911 call about a patient.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-060 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Citizen was driving north on Rio Grande Blvd. when she stopped for a red light at the eastbound ramp for I-40. The man in the car behind her honked his horn and motioned for her to go ahead and turn east on to the ramp. Citizen still had a red light and was annoyed by the direction from the man in the car behind her so she “flipped him off.” The unmarked car behind her then turned on his emergency lights and had citizen pull over to the side of the ramp. The man, who was wearing a Police academy jacket and tee shirt approached citizen's stopped car and asked for her insurance and license, which she provided.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area:

SOP: 2-47-1A(1) (Communications) Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Verbal Reprimand


Citizen responded to an officer's Facebook request by telephoning the officer. In 2001, citizen and the officer had worked together in the New Mexico State Police, and citizen alleges that the officer asked him if he were the one who had “ratted him out” in connection with an excessive force incident during that time. Citizen alleges that the officer used profanity during the conversation, and he was concerned at being contacted so many years later in a situation where he felt he had been exonerated.

Case Status: Closed
Officer: A  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2011-062  District: 3  NHA: Pat Hurley  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-063  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

Officer: A  APD Area: VA

CPC-2011-064  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-065  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

CPC-2011-066  District: 9  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-067  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-068  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrawed Complaint

CPC-2011-069  District: 7  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

The citizen was arrested for Domestic Violence against her husband. The citizen complained to MDC personnel (and that complaint was forwarded to the IRO) stating that officers failed to take pictures of her bruises during her arrest.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-39-1A6 (Use of Belt Recorders)  Finding: Sustained
Citizen was sitting in his parked vehicle on private property with an open alcohol container. He was viewed as being impaired after physical test but he passed a breath test and was released. His vehicle was towed. He was released from custody by the arresting officer, and then rearrested and booked into jail after he expressed concerns about his safety since he did not have a shirt and had left his identification and glasses in his towed car.

Case Status: Closed

CPC-2011-070 District: 6 NHA: Fair West Investigator: IA

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-071 District: 4 NHA: Academy Acres North Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-072 District: 7 NHA: Jerry Cline Park Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-073 District: 7 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-074 District: 7 NHA: Sombre Del Monte Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

CPC-2011-075 District: 1 NHA: West Bluff Investigator: IRO

The citizen owns a business and he alleges that an APD Commander has conspired to violate his rights and those of his customers.

Case Status: Closed
Officer: A  APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)  Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2011-076  District: 3  NHA: University Heights  Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she saw an incident wherein an APD officer threw a woman into a truck and hit a man with a baton at least twice before throwing the man to the ground. The officer then stood over the man with a taser. The officers on scene were allegedly rude and antagonistic towards the many bystanders who were watching and filming the incident.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown  Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown  Disposition: None

Officer: B  APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Counseling

CPC-2011-077  District: 6  NHA: Trumbull Village  Investigator: IRO

The citizen was in a car driven by her son when they were pulled over by the officer. The car was searched and the officer stated he found a baggie with meth in it and the citizen's son was arrested. The citizen believes the meth was not in the car when they were driving it.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2011-078  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she saw a man down on the ground in the Smith's parking lot having a seizure and an officer was bent down beside the man and the officer had handcuffed the man while he was seizing. When citizen approached she told the officer that someone should not be handcuffed while they are seizing. The officer responded rudely and arrogantly and told citizen "they seize when they steal."
paramedics took a long time to arrive and when citizen approached a second officer on scene to ask him if he could check on their whereabouts, that officer responded rudely and said, "can't you see I'm busy?"

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A**  APD Area: NE

- SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
  - Finding: Sustained
  - Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

**Officer: B**  APD Area: NE

- SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
  - Finding: Not Sustained
  - Disposition: None

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer: A**  APD Area: VA

- SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)  
  - Finding: Not Sustained
  - Disposition: None

- SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officiously)  
  - Finding: Not Sustained
  - Disposition: None

- SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  
  - Finding: Not Sustained
  - Disposition: None

- SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)  
  - Finding: Sustained
  - Disposition: Verbal Reprimand
Officer: B  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-081  District: 5  NHA: Riverfront Estates Inc  Investigator: IRO

Citizen claims she was stopped and not provided an explanation as to why she was pulled over. She states the officer was aggressive towards her because she is African-American. The officer did not fulfill simple requests for information and escalated a situation needlessly. The officer called for additional officers to support his position and the two additional officers did not take the time to assess the situation before reacting. One of the additional officers used excessive force causing injury and damages to the citizen’s property. She alleges that she was arrested for no reason.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: NW

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling)  Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A3&5 (Use of Belt Recorders)  Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

Officer: B  APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

Officer: C  APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None
Citizen alleges his adult son was questioned by two APD detectives. He alleges that the questioning lasted for over two hours and that his son was never offered a break, nor was he allowed to have counsel present, and he was not advised of his Miranda Warnings. He alleges that the detectives "brow beat" his son and that they ridiculed and intimidated him and that they made rude and ridiculous comments to him. He alleges that the Detectives "bashed" his son's religion and that they used profane language during the interview. In a follow up complaint, the citizen alleges that the detectives also questioned his employment as a Sergeant for the California Highway Patrol and that they questioned his integrity, morals, and ethics saying that he had put his career in jeopardy.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: 

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B  APD Area: 

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2011-085  District: 2  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Citizen claims that he was barred from attending a VIN Inspection Class and denied access to materials he placed in the classroom by the Detective, who was the instructor. Citizen had flown in from Arizona to take the class, and had driven a vehicle with dealer Demo plates to the class site. The Detective allegedly told the citizen that he was "sick" of dealers abusing their Demo plates; cursed citizen; barred
him from the class; and prevented citizen from coming back into the classroom to recover his sun
glasses.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:**

**SOP:** 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

**SOP:** 1-04-1P (Language/Gestures)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

**CPC-2011-086**  District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

**CPC-2011-087**  District: 3  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated that when he tried to provide his current automobile registration the officer would not accept it and then cited him. The officer was aggressive, threatened citizen’s life, and told him he was going to make things harder on him in court. The officer was very intimidating and as he departed he laughed at him.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:** NW

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

**CPC-2011-088**  District: 3  NHA: Downtown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** Frivolous or Harassment

**CPC-2011-089**  District: 6  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** No Officer Identified

**CPC-2011-090**  District: 6  NHA: South San Pedro  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint
CPC-2011-091  District: 3  NHA: Citizen Imp Comm. of Martineztown  Investigator: IA

Officers were checking the public housing complex for parking violations. While verifying information on a blue Lincoln Town Car, that was backed into a parking stall. While conducting their investigation the owner of the car was watching them. The officers were aware of this and asked him if the vehicle belonged to him. He responded that it did, so they continued their investigation and contacted him. Upon running the vehicle and registered owners information through NCIC/MVD, it was determined that there was a possible outstanding warrant for citizen’s arrest and the vehicle was not registered to the listed property. During the interaction, the citizen was displaying a hostile demeanor and one officer made some comments. Citizen’s wife is alleging that one of the officers was threatening her family, was passing judgment upon them and retaliated against them.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: FH

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-092  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

The citizen was pulled over by the officer for speeding and talking on her cell phone. After obtaining her information the officer asked her to walk over to his motorcycle to get her citations. The citizen has MS and walking is difficult. The citizen believes the officer should never have asked her to exit her vehicle and walk to where he was.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2011-093  District: 5  NHA: Alban Hills  Investigator: IA

Citizen was stopped by an officer for driving without his headlights on. There were also 2 other officers present during the traffic stop. Citizen alleges that officers found a marijuana pipe and a butterfly knife (which he didn’t know was illegal). Citizen stated two of the officers told him that he needed to become a snitch if he didn’t want to go to jail. He state the officer told him if he didn’t call him with a drug dealer’s exact address, he knew where he lived and was going to come and arrest him and tell the inmates in jail that he was a snitch. Citizen stated he was cited for reckless driving and forced to walk a mile and a half home while his friends drove his vehicle home.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SW
Citizen complains that she witnessed a friend of hers being arrested by an APD officer and her friend was obviously upset and crying. When citizen walked over to her friend to console her, the officer began to yell and scream at her for entering his crime scene and he threatened her with arrest. She alleges that the officer’s behavior was rude, unprofessional, and unsympathetic. She also alleges that the officer refused to give his first name to her.

**Case Status:** Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SW

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
**Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** None

**SOP:** 1-02-3A (Providing Name)  
**Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** None

**SOP:** 1-39-1A9 (Use of Belt Recorders)  
**Finding:** Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** Counseling

**CPC-2011-096**  District: 1  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

**CPC-2011-097**  District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

**CPC-2011-098**  District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP
**CPC-2011-099**  District: 2  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

**CPC-2011-100**  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

**CPC-2011-101**  District: 5  NHA: Ventana Ranch  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

**CPC-2011-102**  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

**CPC-2011-103**  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

**CPC-2011-104**  District: 3  NHA: Downtown  Investigator: IRO

Citizen and friends claimed officers used excessive force and behaved inappropriately with him downtown. The officers told citizen's friends to leave when they were concerned for his safety and they refused to identify themselves. Citizen received several injuries because of the officer's actions

Case Status: Closed

**Officer: A  APD Area: VA**

-SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

-SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

-SOP: 2-52-7E2 (Use of Force)  Finding: Sustained  Disposition: Letter of Reprimand
  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

-SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
  IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

-SOP: 1-31-1 (Rights of Observers)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A2,3.5 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

Officer: B APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-6B1 (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

Officer: C APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-31-4 (Rights of Observers)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-105 District: 3 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-106 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO
Citizen complains that she was involved in an accident. She alleges that the Police Service Aide who responded to the accident treated her unfairly and in a biased and discriminatory manner. She further alleges that the Service Aide failed to submit a fair and unbiased police report.
Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-24-3F5 (Investigations/Documentation)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None
CPC-2011-107 District: 8 NHA: Matheson Park Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-108 District: 3 NHA: Pat Hurley Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: Frivolous or Harassment


Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP


Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-111 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint


Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-113 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-114 District: 3 NHA: Downtown Investigator: IRO

Citizen claims that he and some friends had been at a club downtown when they were assaulted by the bouncers and thrown out of the club. Citizen flagged down some passing APD officers and told them about the incident. He complains that the officers acted as if the matter was petty or insignificant to them and that one officer in particular acted that way. When he asked for that officer’s name and man number, the officer refused to give it to him.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-4O (Attitude) Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name) Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None
CPC-2011-115  District: 6   NHA: Trumbull Village  Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that the officer was rude and sarcastic, and that he acted improperly when he stopped the vehicle in which citizen and her fiancé were traveling.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A    APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: None

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: None


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Other

CPC-2011-117  District: U   NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated an officer treated her daughter very rudely, called her insulting names and threatened harm to her over a traffic stop.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A    APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: None


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Frivolous or Harassment

CPC-2011-119  District: 6   NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Citizen alleges he was pulling up to a gas pump when the officer came up to his car with his weapon drawn and began cursing at him.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A    APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  Finding: Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand
Citizen complains that while at the Sunshine Theater, she and her friends and some family members were assaulted by the Security Guards there. They were escorted out and encountered two APD police officers, one of who began to escort her juvenile son from the scene. She complains that when she approached the officer, she was pushed down to the ground. A short time later when she demanded the officer's name, the officer called her over and arrested her. She claims that the officer was rude and condescending, used foul language, and that he never did give her his name.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:** VA

**SOP:** 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  **Disposition:** None
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

**SOP:** 1-02-3A (Providing Name)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  **Disposition:** None
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

**SOP:** 1-02-2B2 (Arrests)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  **Disposition:** None
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Sustained  **Disposition:** Suspension
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

**SOP:** 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)  **Finding:** Sustained  **Disposition:** Suspension
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

**SOP:** 1-39-1A2&5 (Use of Belt Recorders)  **Finding:** Sustained  **Disposition:** Suspension
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed

**CPC-2011-122**  **District:** U  **NHA:** Unknown

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

**CPC-2011-123**  **District:** 3  **NHA:** Silver Hill

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

**CPC-2011-124**  **District:** 1  **NHA:** Orchards at Anderson Heights Sub Assoc.  **Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP
Citizen claims officer was unprofessional and that he gave her an ultimatum during a traffic stop.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  
**APD Area:** VA

**SOP:** 2-47-1B1 (Traffic Enforcement Stops)  
**Finding:** Not Sustained

**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** None

**CPC-2011-126**  
**District:** 1  
**NHA:** Unknown  
**Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Mediation-- Supervisor Resolution

**CPC-2011-127**  
**District:** U  
**NHA:** Unknown  
**Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

**CPC-2011-128**  
**District:** 3  
**NHA:** Downtown  
**Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Other

**CPC-2011-129**  
**District:** U  
**NHA:** Unknown  
**Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

**CPC-2011-130**  
**District:** 9  
**NHA:** Unknown  
**Investigator:** IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

**CPC-2011-131**  
**District:** U  
**NHA:** Unknown  
**Investigator:** IA

**Case Status:** Inactivated  
**Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

**CPC-2011-132**  
**District:** 7  
**NHA:** North Eastern Assoc of Residents  
**Investigator:** IA

Citizen was stopped for a traffic violation. He was contacted by the officer who stopped him and was advised why he was stopped. His required documents were collected, and the officer returned back to his vehicle. Citizen stated two other police vehicles arrived and he was contacted by an unknown officer. He stated the unknown officer explained a warning citation to him, asked him to sign it, and then requested that he exit the vehicle. He was arrested on an outstanding warrant and stated the officer was rough with him and verbally abusive. Citizen stated he was spoken to rudely by officers and was teased by them when he was asked if he could pay for the warrant and then not allowed to. He believes one of the officers kept his TAG Heuer watch after being placed in handcuffs. One officer took possession of the
watch and placed the watch inside the citizen’s vehicle. The vehicle was then turned over to citizen’s friend.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:** NE

**SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  **Finding:** Not Sustained  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

**CPC-2011-133**  
District: 4  NHA: Del Norte  
Investigator: IRO

The citizen is the Principal at an Albuquerque Public School. She alleges the SRO filed obstruction charges against her regarding the alleged rape of a student at the school because the SRO has bad feelings against her and her staff after a different incident earlier in the year.

**Case Status:** Closed

**Officer:** A  **APD Area:**

**SOP:** 1-04-4N (Acting Officiously)  **Finding:** Exonerated  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  **Disposition:** None

**CPC-2011-134**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** Other Agency

**CPC-2011-135**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IA

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

**CPC-2011-136**  
District: 2  NHA: Thomas Village  
Investigator: IA

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

**CPC-2011-137**  
District: U  NHA: Unknown  
Investigator: IRO

**Case Status:** Inactivated  **Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

**CPC-2011-138**  
District: 2  NHA: Greater Gardner  
Investigator: IA

Citizen had discovered that his vehicle had been broken into. Citizen reported a .22 caliber rifle missing from the vehicle. The weapon was later recovered by APD and placed into evidence. Citizen was informed of the recovery and preceded to the Evidence Unit to claim the weapon. A background was done on citizen, which revealed that the citizen had 2 to 3 outstanding warrants out of Las Cruces, New Mexico. He was informed he had to clear those warrants up first and then could claim his weapon.
Citizen claims the Detective had no right to hold his weapon from him and that he was rude to him because he hung up on him during phone conversations and did not call him back when asked to.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: FH

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct) Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

CPC-2011-139 District: 7 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-140 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-141 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-143 District: 2 NHA: Bel Air Investigator: IRO
Case Status: Inactivated Inactivation Reason: Criminal--Sent to IA

CPC-2011-145 District: 9 NHA: Singing Arrow Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that he and his wife stopped their vehicle in front of their son's apartment building to drop off his son. He complains that a police car approached with its lights engaged and the officer in the car told them to stay in the vehicle. The officer allegedly told the citizen that they were parked unsafely and that they could get rear ended. When they told the officer that there were no signs prohibiting them from parking there, the officer allegedly became so hostile that the citizen and his wife were in fear for their lives. They allege that the officer had an attitude and that there was no probable cause or reasonable suspicion for the officer to approach and detain them.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: FH

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed Disposition: None

CPC-2011-147 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

The citizen was involved in a traffic accident. The citizen alleges the responding officer was rude to him and failed to put the name and phone number for the witness on the police report.
Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2011-150  District: 5  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-151  District: 1  NHA: Westgate Heights  Investigator: IA

On July 28, 2011 an APD officer arrived at citizen's home while he was building a fence. The officer told the citizen to remove the fence or he would be arrested for damaging private property. The officer declined to inspect tendered documents which citizen claimed would show his ownership of the property on which the fence was being built. The officer also told the citizen that he was doing childish things and would not gain much land by his actions.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: SW

SOP: 1-04-1 (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None

CPC-2011-152  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-156  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-157  District: 3  NHA: Downtown  Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that an APD officer came to his home to tell him about some complaints that the neighbors had made about his children. The officer asked for Citizen's name and he refused to provide it and when citizen tried to close his front yard gate to terminate the contact, the officer prevented him from doing so. The officer threatened to arrest the citizen but did not. An hour or so after the officer left, he returned to citizen’s home and placed a parking ticket on a vehicle that was allegedly parked on private property. Citizen alleges that the officer was arrogant and threatening and that he should not have ticketed the vehicle parked on private property in front of his home.

Case Status: Closed
Officer: A  APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 2-18-2A (Traffic Enforcement Stops)  Finding: Not Sustained  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Other

CPC-2011-160  District: 5  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Jurisdiction

CPC-2011-161  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-166  District: 7  NHA: Indian Moon  Investigator: IRO

The citizen stated that while at a restaurant an APD Sgt approached them about the dogs they had in their vehicle and the Sgt threatened to arrest them and acted unprofessional. After the vehicle with the dogs was removed the citizen alleges the Sgt approached her in the restaurant and pushed her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: NE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-171  District: 8  NHA: High Desert Residential  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days
The citizen was involved in a domestic violence incident with his girlfriend. The citizen was arrested. The citizen alleges the officer gave all his personal belongings to his girlfriend when he was arrested.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A  APD Area: FH

SOP: 2-08-12D (Evidence Safekeeping)  Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  Disposition: None
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-188  District: 5  NHA: Skies West  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-190  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-192  District: 1  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Officer Identified


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-200  District: 1  NHA: West Bluff  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-201  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-203  District: 6  NHA: South Los Altos  Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case ID</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>NHA: Unknown</th>
<th>Investigator</th>
<th>Case Status</th>
<th>Inactivation Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-204</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>No Allegation of SOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-206</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>Frivolous or Harassment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-207</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>No Allegation of SOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-208</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>Mediation-Procedural Clarification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-212</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>Mediation--Supervisor Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-216</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>No Allegation of SOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-218</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>No Allegation of SOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-220</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>No Signature Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-223</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>No Signature Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-224</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-227</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td>No Allegation of SOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPC-2011-228</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>IRO</td>
<td>Inactivated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-229  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days


Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-234  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation-Procedural Clarification

CPC-2011-236  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-255  District: U  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated  Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days
Appealed Cases Resolved This Year

This Section lists the appeals requested to be heard by the Police Oversight commission (POC). Pursuant to paragraph 9-4-1-9(A) of the Ordinance, citizens who were dissatisfied with the outcome of their complaint as contained in the dispositional letter from the Chief of Police, could appeal to the POC.

Each appeal entry is formatted with the CPC Number, the complainants City Council District, the complaint Neighborhood Association (NHA), the investigating bureau (IRO or IA), a brief synopsis of the complaint, and the current case status.

This is followed by a timeline of when the dispositional letter by the Chief was issued, the date the Citizen requested an appeal, when it was first set, if it was reset and then when the case was heard. The date of the decision of the POC is indicated and when a request was made for the CAO to review the decision of the POC.

This is followed by each of the officers involved in the complaint including their assigned APD area. The Officers actual names have been omitted, and for any given complaint, are referred to using alphabetic letters (A-Z). It should be noted that no officer ever appeared before the POC.

Within each officer listing, is the SOP number involved, the SOP’s general category, the case finding, the Chief/IRO Decision and the case disposition.
Citizen complains that she met with some APD officers so they could assist her in a child custody exchange. She claims that one officer was rude and threatening; and that when his Sergeant arrived, he was even worse in his behavior towards her and her children. She claims that the Sergeant was not only rude and threatening but he took her ten year old child to the ground, handcuffed him and detained him, all because the child did not want to go with the child's father. She claims the use of force was unnecessary and excessive.

**Appeal Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposition</th>
<th>Request For</th>
<th>Appeal</th>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Appeal</th>
<th>POC</th>
<th>Request For</th>
<th>CAO</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>Appeal</td>
<td>Set</td>
<td>Reset/Heard</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>CAO Review</td>
<td>Decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01/14/2011</td>
<td>01/14/2011</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td>03/30/2011</td>
<td>03/30/2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case Status:** Closed-Appealed

**Officer: A  APD Area: VA**

- **SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
  - **IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
  - **Finding:** Sustained  
  - **Disposition:** Letter of Reprimand

- **SOP:** 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  
  - **IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
  - **Finding:** Unfounded  
  - **Disposition:** None

- **SOP:** 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  
  - **IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
  - **Finding:** Exonerated  
  - **Disposition:** None

- **SOP:** 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)  
  - **IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
  - **Finding:** Sustained  
  - **Disposition:** Letter of Reprimand

**Officer: B  APD Area: SE**

- **SOP:** 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  
  - **IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
  - **Finding:** Not Sustained  
  - **Disposition:** None
Citizen complains that she came out of the grocery store to find that her car had been struck by another vehicle. She called the police and an officer arrived to take a police report. Citizen claims that the other driver was given preferential treatment because the other driver was Hispanic as was the responding officer. When she told the officer that she was going to complain about the preferential treatment, the officer called his supervisor to the scene. Citizen claims that the supervisor intimidated her and that he discouraged her from filing a complaint.

**Appeal Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposition Letter</th>
<th>Request For POC</th>
<th>Appeal Set</th>
<th>Appeal Reset/Heard</th>
<th>POC Decision</th>
<th>Request For CAO Review</th>
<th>CAO Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/14/2011</td>
<td>02/05/2011</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td>03/10/2011</td>
<td>03/21/2011</td>
<td>03/23/2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case Status:** Closed-Appealed

**Officer: A**  
**APD Area:** SE

**SOP:** 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)  
**Finding:** Exonerated  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** None

**Officer: B**  
**APD Area:**

**SOP:** 1-03-4C (Racial Profiling)  
**Finding:** Exonerated  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** None

CPC-2010-156  
District: 6  NHA: Fair West  
Investigator: IRO

The citizen was arrested for possession of heroin. The citizen alleges he was strip-searched in public and that the officers searched his house without a warrant. His mother filed the complaint.

**Appeal Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposition Letter</th>
<th>Request For POC</th>
<th>Appeal Set</th>
<th>Appeal Reset/Heard</th>
<th>POC Decision</th>
<th>Request For CAO Review</th>
<th>CAO Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Case Status:** Closed-Appealed

**Officer: A**  
**APD Area:**

**SOP:** 1-04-1A (General Conduct)  
**Finding:** Exonerated  
**IRO/Chiefs Decision:** Agreed  
**Disposition:** None
Citizen's are upset that APD surrounded their home and ordered them out of their home at gunpoint. Both were taken into custody and detained. They allege that their detention was unlawful, that there was no probable cause to detain either one of them, and that excessive and unnecessary force was used during the incident.

**Officer: B  APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)  
Finding: Exonerated  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: None

**CPC-2010-221  District: 7  NHA: Indian Moon  Investigator: IRO**

**Appeal Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposition Letter</th>
<th>Request For</th>
<th>Appeal Request</th>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Appeal Reset/Heard</th>
<th>POC Decision</th>
<th>Request For CAO</th>
<th>CAO Review</th>
<th>CAO Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/19/2011</td>
<td>06/03/2011</td>
<td>07/14/2011</td>
<td>09/08/2011</td>
<td>09/08/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case Status:** Closed-Appealed

**Officer: A  APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  
Finding: Exonerated  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: Unknown

**Officer: B  APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  
Finding: Exonerated  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  
Finding: Exonerated  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: Unknown

**Officer: C  APD Area:**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)  
Finding: Exonerated  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  
Finding: Exonerated  
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed  
Disposition: Unknown

**Officer: D  APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-02-2BC (Searches/Seizures)  
Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed                      Disposition: Unknown

Officer: E  APD Area: 

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed                             Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2010-249  District: 9  NHA: Unknown                             Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she had taken her car in for service at the Karl Malone Toyota Dealership. The Assistant Service Manager refused to service the car and she asked to speak to the Service Manager. As she was waiting, an APD officer and the Assistant approached her and they both refused to let her speak with the Manager. When citizen refused to move her car, the officer began telling her disparate stories, and he told her if she left there would be no incident or case number. The officer then changed his mind, lost his sense of composure and became hostile and aggressive towards citizen. The officer then grabbed her by her right hand and slapped handcuffs on her right wrist and he attempted to yank her arm behind her body causing her great pain and injury. When citizen told the officer that she would leave if he unhandcuffed her, he did and she left immediately. Citizen alleges that the officer is psychologically hampered and that he used excessive and unnecessary force when he handcuffed her. Citizen later filed additional paperwork that alleged that the report on the incident contained false statements.

### Appeal Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposition Letter</th>
<th>Request For Appeal</th>
<th>Appeal Set</th>
<th>Appeal Reset/Heard</th>
<th>POC Decision</th>
<th>Request For CAO Review</th>
<th>CAO Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Case Status: Closed-Appealed

Officer: A  APD Area: FH

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)                       Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed                             Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)                             Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed                             Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-24-3 F5 (Investigations/Documentation)           Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed                             Disposition: Unknown

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed                             Disposition: Verbal Reprimand
CPC-2011-095  District: 7  NHA: Unknown  Investigator: IRO

Citizen claims the responding officer was aggressive and out of line in her behavior with him when he approached in a non-threatening manner. When citizen told the officer to calm down she felt the need to assert her authority by performing a mock pat search. When the officer performed the search, she kicked him unnecessarily in the ankle.

Appeal Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposition Letter</th>
<th>Request For Appeal</th>
<th>Appeal Set</th>
<th>Appeal Reset/Heard</th>
<th>POC Decision</th>
<th>Request For CAO Review</th>
<th>CAO Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Case Status: Closed-Appealed

Officer: A  APD Area: NE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)  Finding: Exonerated  Disposition: None
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed