CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY



	April 7, 2025						
	Via Email						
	Re: CPC # 151-23						
	COMPLAINT:						
PO Box 1293	C submitted a complaint on 06/23/2023 regarding Officer H misusing his status as a police officer regarding their private affairs and child custody issues.						
Albuquerque							
NM 87103							
www.cabq.gov	EVIDENCE REVIEWED:						
			CAD B. (1) Var				
		APD Report(s): Yes	CAD Report(s): Yes				
	Complainant Interviewed: Y	'es	Witness(es) Interviewed: No				
	APD Employee Interviewed: Yes						
	APD Employee Involved: Officer H						
	Other Materials: Email Communications, Citizen Evidence, & Employee Evidence.						
	Date Investigation Completed: April 4, 2025						

FINDINGS

Policies Reviewed: 1.1.5.C.3 (Misconduct)						
1. Unfounded . Investigation classification when the investigator(s) determines, by clear and convincing evidence, that alleged misconduct did not occur or did not involve the subject officer.						
Policies Reviewed:						
2. Sustained . Investigation classification when the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance evidence, the alleged misconduct did occur by the subject officer.	ce of the					
3. Not Sustained . Investigation classification when the investigator(s) is unable to determine on other, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether the alleged misconduct either occurred or did response to the evidence.						
4. Exonerated . Investigation classification where the investigator(s) determines, by a preponder evidence, that alleged conduct in the underlying complaint did occur but did not violate APD policiprocedures, or training.						
5. Sustained Violation Not Based on Original Complaint. Investigation classification wh investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, misconduct did occur that was not the original complaint (whether CPC or internal complaint) but that other misconduct was discove the investigation, and by a preponderance of the evidence, that misconduct did occur.	alleged in					
6. Administratively Closed. Investigation classification where the investigator determines: The violations of a minor nature and do not constitute a pattern of misconduct (i.e. a violation subject to sanction, -the allegations are duplicative; -the allegations, even if true, do not constitute misconduct investigation cannot be conducted because of the lack of information in the complaint, and further investigation would be futile.	to a class 7 ct; or -the					

Additional Comments:

It was determined that there was no evidence to support the allegation that Officer H was using his status as a police officer with the APD to influence others or situations in matters directly pertaining to his personal life.

You have the right to appeal this decision. If you are not satisfied with the findings and/or recommendations of the CPOA Executive Director within 30 calendar days (inclusive of holidays and weekends) of receipt of this letter, communicate your desire to have an appeal hearing before the CPOA Advisory Board in a signed writing addressed to the CPOA Director. Please send your request to P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103, or by email to CPOA@cabq.gov. Include your CPC number. Upon receipt of the communication, a hearing on the matter will be scheduled at the Board's next regularly scheduled meeting provided there is at least 14 business days between the receipt of the request and the next meeting. In order for the Advisory Board to modify the Director's findings, your appeal must demonstrate one or more of the following:

- 1) A policy was misapplied in the evaluation of the complaint;
- That the findings or recommendations were arbitrary, capricious or constituted an abuse of discretion; or
- 3) that the findings and recommendations were not consistent with the record evidence.

Administratively closed complaints may be re-opened if additional information becomes available. Please provide your additional information in writing to the CPOA Director as listed above.

If you are not satisfied with the final disciplinary decision of the Chief of Police or any matter relating to the Chief's handling of the complaint you may request a review of the complaint by the City's Chief Administrative Officer. Your request must be in writing and within 30 calendar days (inclusive of holidays and weekends) of receipt of this letter. Include your CPC number.

If you have a computer available, we would greatly appreciate your completing our client survey form at http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa/survey. There was a delay in the issuance of findings due to multiple staff changes including investigators and the Director along with a high volume of investigations and reviews to process. Thank you for your patience and participation in the process of civilian oversight of the police.

Sincerely,

The Civilian Police Oversight Agency by

Diane McDermott Executive Director

(505) 924-3770

CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE

CIVILIAN POLICE OVERSIGHT AGENCY



	April 7, 2025						
	Via Email						
	Re: CPC # 151-23						
	COMPLAINT:						
PO Box 1293	C submitted a complaint on 06/23/2023 regarding Officer T misusing his status as a police officer regarding private affairs and child custody issues.						
Albuquer <mark>que</mark>							
NM 87103							
ww <mark>w.cabq.gov</mark>							
	EVIDENCE REVIEWED:						
	Video(s): Yes	APD Report(s): Yes	CAD Report(s): Yes				
	Complainant Interview	red: Yes	Witness(es) Interviewed: No				
	APD Employee Interviewed: Yes Witness(es) Interviewed: No						
	APD Employee Involved: Officer T						
	Other Materials: Email Communications, Citizen Evidence, & Employee Evidence. Date Investigation Completed: April 4, 2025						

FINDINGS

Policies Reviewed: 1.1.5.C.3 (Misconduct)	
1. Unfounded . Investigation classification when the investigator(s) determines, by clear and convincing evidence, that alleged misconduct did not occur or did not involve the subject officer.	V
Policies Reviewed:	and
2. Sustained . Investigation classification when the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, the alleged misconduct did occur by the subject officer.	
3. Not Sustained . Investigation classification when the investigator(s) is unable to determine one way or the other, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether the alleged misconduct either occurred or did not occur.	
4. Exonerated . Investigation classification where the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that alleged conduct in the underlying complaint did occur but did not violate APD policies, procedures, or training.	
5. Sustained Violation Not Based on Original Complaint. Investigation classification where the investigator(s) determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, misconduct did occur that was not alleged in the original complaint (whether CPC or internal complaint) but that other misconduct was discovered during the investigation, and by a preponderance of the evidence, that misconduct did occur.	
6. Administratively Closed. Investigation classification where the investigator determines: The policy violations of a minor nature and do not constitute a pattern of misconduct (i.e. a violation subject to a class 7 sanction, -the allegations are duplicative; -the allegations, even if true, do not constitute misconduct; or -the investigation cannot be conducted because of the lack of information in the complaint, and further investigation would be futile.	

Additional Comments:

It was determined that there was no evidence to support the allegation that Officer T was using his status as a police officer with the APD to influence others or situations in matters directly pertaining to the personal lives of those involved. Officer T sending brief private messages while on duty did not rise to a level of misconduct or interfere with his official duties. Even if perceived as inappropriate, Officer T had a right to his own opinion in matters not associated with his official position.

You have the right to appeal this decision. If you are not satisfied with the findings and/or recommendations of the CPOA Executive Director within 30 calendar days (inclusive of holidays and weekends) of receipt of this letter, communicate your desire to have an appeal hearing before the CPOA Advisory Board in a signed writing addressed to the CPOA Director. Please send your request to P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103, or by email to CPOA@cabq.gov. Include your CPC number. Upon receipt of the communication, a hearing on the matter will be scheduled at the Board's next regularly scheduled meeting provided there is at least 14 business days between the receipt of the request and the next meeting. In order for the Advisory Board to modify the Director's findings, your appeal must demonstrate one or more of the following:

- 1) A policy was misapplied in the evaluation of the complaint;
- 2) That the findings or recommendations were arbitrary, capricious or constituted an abuse of discretion; or
- 3) that the findings and recommendations were not consistent with the record evidence.

Administratively closed complaints may be re-opened if additional information becomes available. Please provide your additional information in writing to the CPOA Director as listed above.

If you are not satisfied with the final disciplinary decision of the Chief of Police or any matter relating to the Chief's handling of the complaint you may request a review of the complaint by the City's Chief Administrative Officer. Your request must be in writing and within 30 calendar days (inclusive of holidays and weekends) of receipt of this letter. Include your CPC number.

If you have a computer available, we would greatly appreciate your completing our client survey form at http://www.cabq.gov/cpoa/survey. There was a delay in the issuance of findings due to multiple staff changes including investigators and the Director along with a high volume of investigations and reviews to process. Thank you for your patience and participation in the process of civilian oversight of the police.

Sincerely,

The Civilian Police Oversight Agency by

Diane McDermott Executive Director

(505) 924-3770