POLICE OVERSIGHT BOARD AGENDA

Tuesday, May 17, 2016 – 5:00 PM
Vincent E. Griego Chambers

I. Welcome and call to order.

II. Pledge of Allegiance – Dr. Carlotta Garcia

III. Approval of the Agenda

IV. Introduction The Honorable Judge Lorenzo Garcia

V. Public Comments

VI. Review and Approval of Minutes

VII. Consent Agenda Cases:
   i. Administratively Closed Cases
      220-15  244-15  246-15  249-15  252-15
      008-16  015-16  016-16  019-16  020-16
      022-16  023-16  024-16  026-16  033-16
      035-16  038-16  050-16  063-16  064-16
      069-16  070-16  071-16
   ii. Cases more than 120 Days
      219-14  231-14  064-15  078-15  103-15
      209-15  215-15  236-15
   iii. Cases less than 120 Days
      255-15  003-16  004-16  009-16  014-16
      047-16

VIII. Case to be heard by the POB:  013-16

IX. Reports from Sub-Committees
   a. Outreach Sub-committee – Leonard Waites
      i. NACOLE
   b. Policy and Procedure Review Sub-Committee – Susanne Brown
i. Report - NACOLE Training in New York

ii. Policy Development - 3-29 APD’s Policy Development Process

iii. After hour/Weekend - Notice to Probation Dept.

c. Case review Sub-committee – Joanne Fine

i. Appeals:

1. CPC 207-13: The request for appeal fails to meet any of the criteria for an appeal. Therefore the request is denied.

2. CPC 014-14: The complainant stated that the information in the report was inaccurate and that witnesses weren’t interviewed. The investigation showed that the Officer followed protocol. There is no new evidence and the request does not meet the criteria for an appeal. Therefore, the request is denied.

3. CPC 002-15: The request for appeal fails to meet the criteria for an appeal. The investigation showed that APD followed protocol, and any further action would need to be handled as a civil matter. Therefore the request is denied.

4. CPC 028-15: The request refers to a typo in the letter as reason for an appeal; however, the typo does not change the context of what was being said. The request fails to meet the criteria for an appeal. Therefore, the request is denied.

5. CPC 157-15: The request for appeal does not meet any of the criteria for an appeal. Therefore the request is denied.

6. CPC 191-15: The citizen stated that he was falsely arrested and that it cost him his job. The investigation showed that the arrest for domestic violence was proper and no misconduct occurred. Appeal request denied as it does not meet the criteria for an appeal.

X. Reports from City Staff

a. APD
   i. FAST Training for POB

b. City Council

c. Mayor’s Office

d. City Attorney

e. Community Policing Councils

f. CPOA – Paul Skotchdopole, Assistant Lead Investigator

XI. Meeting with Counsel re: Closed session to discuss matters subject to the attorney-client privilege pertaining to threatened or pending litigation in
which the CPOA is or may become a participant - Board Attorney Mark Baker.

Matters subject to the attorney-client privilege pertaining to threatened or pending litigation in which the public body is or may become a participant pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 10-15-1(H)(7).

XII. Other Business

XIII. Adjournment- Next Regularly scheduled POB meeting will be on June 9, 2016 at 5 p.m. in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers.

(POB will be taking a dinner break prior to Committee Reports, if possible.)