

**THIS AMENDMENT PASSED ON A 6-3 VOTE.**  
**For: Bassan, Champine, Fiebelkorn, Grout, Lewis, Sanchez**  
**Against: Baca, Peña, Rogers**

**CITY COUNCIL  
of the  
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE**

**March 17, 2025**

**FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.      1                      TO      O-25-73**

**AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY: Dan Lewis**

1. Beginning on page #1, line 22, amend SECTION 1 as follows:

**SECTION 1. The IDO Section 6-4(U)(5) Appeal Costs is ~~[deleted in its entirety]~~ [amended as follows]:**

**6-4(U)(5) Appeal Costs:**

- (a) For an appeal to the LUHO related to an application that was denied, all parties shall be responsible for their own costs.**
- (b) For an appeal to the LUHO related to an application that was approved, if the appellant loses their appeal, they shall be responsible for paying ~~[the reasonable]~~ [a total of \$1,000 for the] costs [and fees]~~[, including attorneys' fees]~~ of the appellee. A finding on ~~[the reasonableness of proposed]~~ costs [and fees] shall be made by the LUHO.**

**THIS AMENDMENT PASSED ON A 5-4 VOTE.**

**For: Baca, Champine, Fiebelkorn, Grout, Lewis,  
Against: Bassan, Peña, Rogers, Sanchez**

**CITY COUNCIL  
of the  
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE**

**March 17, 2025**

**FLOOR AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO O-25-73**

**AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY: Dan Lewis**

1. Strike the WHEREAS clauses on page 1, lines 7-19, in their entirety.

~~[WHEREAS, the addition of Section 6-4(U)(5) Appeal Costs to O-24-69 disproportionately affected Neighborhood Associations; and  
—— WHEREAS, the 2022 amendments to the NARO prevent Neighborhood Associations from requiring fees for membership in their association; and  
—— WHEREAS, without the required collection of fees, it is difficult for Neighborhood Associations to cover their own legal fees and nearly impossible to cover an appellee's; and  
—— WHEREAS, O-24-69 now requires that a majority of property owners and tenants within 660 feet of the property in question for an appeal must sign a petition to allow the Neighborhood Association to appeal a project; and  
—— WHEREAS, Neighborhood Associations still must demonstrate that their property rights or other legal rights have been specially and adversely affected by the decision per the IDO.]~~

**THIS AMENDMENT PASSED ON A 5-4 VOTE.**

**For: Baca, Fiebelkorn, Peña, Grout, Rogers  
Against: Bassan, Champine, Lewis, Sanchez**

**CITY COUNCIL  
of the  
CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE**

**March 17, 2025**

**FLOOR AMENDMENT NO.      3      TO      O-25-73**

**AMENDMENT SPONSORED BY: Klarissa Peña**

1. Beginning on page #1, line 22, amend SECTION 1 as follows:

**SECTION 1. The IDO Section 6-4(U)(5) Appeal Costs is ~~[deleted in its entirety]~~ [amended as follows]:**

**6-4(U)(5) Appeal Costs:**

- (a) For an appeal to the LUHO related to an application that was denied, all parties shall be responsible for their own costs.**
- (b) For an appeal to the LUHO related to an application that was approved, if the appellant loses their appeal, they shall be responsible for paying ~~[the reasonable]~~ [a total of \$1,000 for the] costs [and fees]~~[, including attorneys' fees]~~ of the appellee. A finding on ~~[the reasonableness of proposed]~~ costs [and fees] shall be made by the LUHO [The \$1,000 amount shall not apply to any individual appellant that demonstrates hardship, low income or indigent status, or entity appellant that has a majority of its area within an area that has an overall score of 50 or greater on the City of Albuquerque's Social Vulnerability Index, as determined by the LUHO's discretion.]**