

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:	Don Harris, Council President
FROM:	Jon K. Zaman, Council Finance Officer
SUBJECT:	Report to City Council from the 2010 Redistricting Committee
DATE:	November 4, 2011
CC:	All Councilors
	Laura Mason, Director of Council Services

Attached please find the report from the 2010 Redistricting Committee to the City Council providing the Committee's recommendations for potential redistricting alignments for the City's nine Council Districts. The report contains the eight maps recommended to the Council by the Committee, an outline of the constitutional and other legal provisions that the Committee adhered to in developing the maps, minutes of the Committee's meetings, and a summary of the public comments received at the Committee's five neighborhood meetings.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

X:\SHARE\Legislation\Other Communications (OC)\2010 Redistricting Committee Report.doc

Á	ALBUQUERQUE NEW MEXICO	CITY	Tel: (505) Fax: (505)	ouncil ox 1293 o, NM 87103 768-3100		President Don Ha District 9 e President Rey Ga District 6	
Ken Sanchez	Debbie O'Malley	Isaac Benton	Brad Winter	Dan Lewis	Michael D. Cook	Trudy E. Jones	Laura Mason
District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 7	District 8	Council Directo

November 3, 2011

The Honorable Don Harris, President Albuquerque City Council One Civic Plaza NW Albuquerque, NM 87103

Dear President Harris:

On behalf of the 2010 Redistricting Committee, attached please find the Committee's redistricting map recommendations. As you know, the Committee has met 15 times and has worked many hours to complete this project on schedule. Our sessions were characterized by a bipartisan and cooperative spirit that allowed us to enjoy our association with one another as we moved forward with our task of providing meaningful redistricting options to the City Council.

In our deliberations we adhered to the criteria established by the City Council Resolution R-10-109 as well as constitutional principles and judicial decision governing voting rights, population considerations and other established guidelines.

The 2010 Redistricting Committee consisted of nine members and nine alternates representing the nine City Council districts. Each and every Committee member contributed meaningfully to our final product and adhered to the highest standards of public service, and I am proud to have chaired this effort.

The 2010 Redistricting Committee would also like to commend Council staff for all their hard work, which made it possible for us to do our job well. Additionally Research & Polling provided invaluable support and technical assistance throughout this assignment, and went the extra mile to provide staff support for all our community meetings even while they were working the state legislative redistricting process.

The 2010 Redistricting Committee would like to thank the citizens of Albuquerque that came out to our meetings to give public comment. Many came to multiple meetings and gave us meaningful feedback throughout the process. This type of participation was critically important to our democratic process.

On behalf of the entire Committee, thank you for the opportunity to serve the City Council and our community. Let us know if we can provide you with any additional information prior to your final Council deliberations.

Sincerely,

Michael Montoya, Chairman 2010 Redistricting Committee

2010 Redistricting Committee Map Votes

		201	0	led	ist	rict	ing	S	mo	E	tte	2010 Redistricting Committee Map Votes	ap	20	tes	10					
			_	_			N	ap L	Map Letter		_										
Council District & Member Name	A	B	U	Q	ш	Ш	1 5	H	_	K	L L	M	Z	0	٩	a	R	S	F	Э	>
1 - Linda Lopez										-		2	.,	33							
2 - Bernadette Miera						_							.,	e	_						2
3 - Phillip Baca																2			_		e
4 - Robert Gurule	~											2					e		•		
5 - Sander Rue										~		2					e				
6 - Michael Montoya														-		2					e
7 - Wayne Johnson	-							+		_		2					e				
8 - Barbara Morgan	-											2			_		e				
9 - David Harris	-								-			2					3				
Total Points Per Map	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	12	0	2	_	4 16	0	0	0	0	8

Name District 1 Voltan Members District 1 Unda Lopez District 2 Benadelte Miera District 2 Phillip Baca District 3 Beb Gurute District 4 Sander Rue District 6 Michael Montoya District 7 Hal Stratton District 8 David Harris District 9 Mary Rose Chowsz District 9 Claudia Isaac District 9 Mary Rose Twohig District 1 Mary Rose Twohig District 5 Berly Vades District 6 Mary Rose Twohig District 5 Mary Rose Twohig District 6 <th></th> <th>Redistricting Committee</th> <th>20-Oct-11</th>		Redistricting Committee	20-Oct-11
	Name		
	Voting Members		
	Linda Lopez		
	Bernadette Miera	District 2	
	Phillip Baca	District 3	
	Bob Gurule	District 4	
	Sander Rue		
	Michael Montoya	District 6	
	Kathie Leyendecker	Disrict 7	
	Hal Stratton	District 8	
	David Harris	District 9	
	Alternate Members		
	Vanessa Chavez		
	Susan Johnson	District 2	
	Claudia Isaac	District 3	
	Mary Rose Twohig	District 4	
	Betty Valdez		
	Sandra Ortsman	District 6	
	Wayne Johnson	Disrict 7	
	Barbara Morgan	District 8	
	Bob Martinez	District 9	

Selected Maps







Albuquerque City Council Plan A

2010 Census Redistricting

									Noi	Non-Hispanic Origin	c Origin				Γ
								Native					-	Other	
District	Pop	Deviation	u	Hispanic	nic	White	te	American	can	Black	¥	Asian	5	Races	s
-	59,843	-832	-1.4%	37,167	62.1%	16,381	27.4%	2,570	4.3%	1,600	2.7%	677	1.6%	1,148	1.9%
18+	43,677			25,415	58.2%	13,692	31.3%	1,836	4.2%	1,260	2.9%	774	1.8%	700	1.6%
2	62,392	1,717	2.8%	35,239	56.5%	21,543	34.5%	2,170	3.5%	1,425	2.3%	855	1.4%	1,160	1.9%
18+	50,398			26,351	52.3%	19,449	38.6%	1,793	3.6%	1,199	2.4%	749	1.5%	857	1.7%
e	63,475	2,800	4.6%	51,253	80.7%	7,970	12.6%	1,549	2.4%	1,459	2.3%	428	0.7%	816	1.3%
18+	41,475			32,060	77.3%	6,440	15.5%	1,079	2.6%	1,083	2.6%	350	0.8%	463	1.1%
4	58,380	-2,295	-3.8%	18,612	31.9%	32,150	55.1%	2,386	4.1%	1,290	2.2%	2,667	4.6%	1,275	2.2%
18+	45,298			13,182	29.1%	26,655	58.8%	1,722	3.8%	1,009	2.2%	1,965	4.3%	765	1.7%
5	62,729	2,054	3.4%	27,141	43.3%	28,644	45.7%	1,989	3.2%	1,944	3.1%	1,348	2.1%	1,665	2.7%
18+	44,413			17,586	39.6%	22,090	49.7%	1,419	3.2%	1,413	3.2%	1,035	2.3%	873	2.0%
9	60,695	20	0.0%	29,715	49.0%	22,266	36.7%	3,455	5.7%	2,298	3.8%	1,533	2.5%	1,428	2.4%
18+	47,392			20,467	43.2%	20,160	42.5%	2,585	5.5%	1,838	3.9%	1,359	2.9%	983	2.1%
2	61,520	845	1.4%	22,621	36.8%	31,764	51.6%	2,616	4.3%	1,555	2.5%	1,614	2.6%	1,350	2.2%
18+	49,366			16,482	33.4%	27,533	55.8%	1,934	3.9%	1,255	2.5%	1,298	2.6%	864	1.8%
ø	58,939	-1,736	-2.9%	13,360	22.7%	39,743	67.4%	1,411	2.4%	1,286	2.2%	1,802	3.1%	1,337	2.3%
18+	47,965			9,676	\$ 20.2%	33,936	70.8%	1,085	2.3%	1,040	2.2%	1,448	3.0%	780	1.6%
6	58,099	-2,576	-4.2%	20,043	34.5%	29,579	50.9%	2,485	4.3%	2,026	3.5%	2,456	4.2%	1,510	2.6%
18+	45,111			13,689	30.3%	25,434	56.4%	1,696	3.8%	1,506	3.3%	1,871	4.1%	915	2.0%
Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	1 46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	13,680	2.5%	11,689	2.6%
18+	415,095			174,908	8 42.1%	195,389	47.1%	15,149	3.6%	11,603	2.8%	10,849	2.6%	7,200	2.0%

Research & Polling, Inc.

Aug 1, 2011







Albuquerque City Council Plan J

2010 Census Redistricting

									Nc	Non-Hispanic Origin	c Origin				
								Native	/e					Other	ar
District	Pop	Deviation	ion	Hispanic	nic	White	te	American	can	Black	¥	Asian	an	Races	s
+	62,527	1,852	3.1%	38,811	62.1%	17,569	28.1%	2,331	3.7%	1,628	2.6%	989	1.6%	1,199	1.9%
18+	45,436	State of	(Filler	26,491	58.3%	14,592	32.1%	1,623	3.6%	1,257	2.8%	772	1.7%	701	1.5%
2	59,048	-1,627	-2.7%	35,243	59.7%	18,551	31.4%	2,197	3.7%	1,374	2.3%	654	1.1%	1,029	1.7%
18+	47,106	The second second	Contraction of the second	26,058	55.3%	16,779	35.6%	1,776	3.8%	1,152	2.4%	578	1.2%	763	1.6%
ę	62,359	1,684	2.8%	50,925	81.7%	7,256	11.6%	1,522	2.4%	1,444	2.3%	410	0.7%	802	1.3%
18+	40,525			31,809	78.5%	5,805	14.3%	1,058	2.6%	1,070	2.6%	332	0.8%	451	1.1%
4	61,058	383	0.6%	18,177	29.8%	35,395	58.0%	2,095	3.4%	1,259	2.1%	2,830	4.6%	1,302	2.1%
18+	47,997			13,059	27.2%	29,479	61.4%	1,568	3.3%	1,000	2.1%	2,104	4.4%	787	1.6%
5	60,045	-630	-1.0%	25,497	42.5%	27,456	45.7%	2,228	3.7%	1,916	3.2%	1,336	2.2%	1,614	2.7%
18+	42,654		The second s	16,510	38.7%	21,190	49.7%	1,632	3.8%	1,416	3.3%	1,037	2.4%	872	2.0%
9	60,695	20	0.0%	29,715	49.0%	22,266	36.7%	3,455	5.7%	2,298	3.8%	1,533	2.5%	1,428	2.4%
18+	47,392			20,467	43.2%	20,160	42.5%	2,585	5.5%	1,838	3.9%	1,359	2.9%	983	2.1%
7	59,363	-1,312	-2.2%	21,651	36.5%	30,488	51.4%	2,853	4.8%	1,528	2.6%	1,479	2.5%	1,364	2.3%
18+	48,050	「日本の一日」		15,971	33.2%	26,654	55.5%	2,090	4.3%	1,236	2.6%	1,217	2.5%	882	1.8%
80	61,750	1,075	1.8%	14,707	23.8%	40,864	66.2%	1,429	2.3%	1,383	2.2%	1,968	3.2%	1,399	2.3%
18+	49,948		AN THE	10,573	21.2%	34,785	69.6%	1,100	2.2%	1,110	2.2%	1,560	3.1%	820	1.6%
6	59,227	-1,448	-2.4%	20,425	34.5%	30,195	51.0%	2,521	4.3%	2,053	3.5%	2,481	4.2%	1,552	2.6%
18+	45,987		Contraction of the second	13,970	30.4%	25,945	56.4%	1,717	3.7%	1,524	3.3%	1,890	4.1%	941	2.0%
Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	13,680	2.5%	11,689	2.6%
18+	415,095	No. Control	No.	174,908	42.1%	195,389	47.1%	15,149	3.6%	11,603	2.8%	10,849	2.6%	7,200	2.0%

Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	2.7% 13,680	2.5%	2.5% 11,689
18+	415,095	No. Astron	- Constantin	174,908	42.1%	195,389	47.1%	15,149	3.6%	3.6% 11,603	2.8%	10,849	2.6%	7,200

Aug 17, 2011

Research & Polling, Inc.







Albuquerque City Council Plan L

2010 Census Redistricting

									No	Non-Hispanic Origin	c Origin				
					-			Native						Other	-
District	Pop	Deviation	ion	Hispanic	nic	White	te	American	can	Black	×	Asian	u.	Races	s
	59,843	-832	-1.4%	37,167	62.1%	16,381	27.4%	2,570	4.3%	1,600	2.7%	677	1.6%	1,148	1.9%
18+	43,677	「四里大		25,415	58.2%	13,692	31.3%	1,836	4.2%	1,260	2.9%	774	1.8%	700	1.6%
2	63,508	2,833	4.7%	35,567	56.0%	22,257	35.0%	2,197	3.5%	1,440	2.3%	873	1.4%	1,174	1.8%
18+	51,348	Printer in		26,602	51.8%	20,084	39.1%	1,814	3.5%	1,212	2.4%	767	1.5%	869	1.7%
ę	62,359	1,684	2.8%	50,925	81.7%	7,256	11.6%	1,522	2.4%	1,444	2.3%	410	0.7%	802	1.3%
18+	40,525	-Vala -Out		31,809	78.5%	5,805	14.3%	1,058	2.6%	1,070	2.6%	332	0.8%	451	1.1%
4	58,380	-2,295	-3.8%	18,612	31.9%	32,150	55.1%	2,386	4.1%	1,290	2.2%	2,667	4.6%	1,275	2.2%
18+	45,298			13,182	29.1%	26,655	58.8%	1,722	3.8%	1,009	2.2%	1,965	4.3%	765	1.7%
5	62,729	2,054	3.4%	27,141	43.3%	28,644	45.7%	1,989	3.2%	1,944	3.1%	1,348	2.1%	1,665	2.7%
18+	44,413			17,586	39.6%	22,090	49.7%	1,419	3.2%	1,413	3.2%	1,035	2.3%	873	2.0%
9	60,695	20	0.0%	29,715	49.0%	22,266	36.7%	3,455	5.7%	2,298	3.8%	1,533	2.5%	1,428	2.4%
18+	47,392		- ANNO- THE	20,467	43.2%	20,160	42.5%	2,585	5.5%	1,838	3.9%	1,359	2.9%	983	2.1%
7	61,520	845	1.4%	22,621	36.8%	31,764	51.6%	2,616	4.3%	1,555	2.5%	1,614	2.6%	1,350	2.2%
18+	49,366			16,482	33.4%	27,533	55.8%	1,934	3.9%	1,255	2.5%	1,298	2.6%	864	1.8%
80	58,939	-1,736	-2.9%	13,360	22.7%	39,743	67.4%	1,411	2.4%	1,286	2.2%	1,802	3.1%	1,337	2.3%
18+	47,965	A STATE		9,676	20.2%	33,936	70.8%	1,085	2.3%	1,040	2.2%	1,448	3.0%	780	1.6%
6	58,099	-2,576	-4.2%	20,043	34.5%	29,579	50.9%	2,485	4.3%	2,026	3.5%	2,456	4.2%	1,510	2.6%
18+	45,111			13,689	30.3%	25,434	56.4%	1,696	3.8%	1,506	3.3%	1,871	4.1%	915	2.0%
															ſ
Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	13,680	2.5%	11,689	2.6%
18+	415,095	NEW TAN	The second	174,908	42.1%	195,389	47.1%	15,149	3.6%	11,603	2.8%	10,849	2.6%	7,200	2.0%

Research & Polling, Inc.

Aug 23, 2011







Albuquerque City Council Plan N

2010 Census Redistricting

									No	Non-Hispanic Origin	ic Origin				
								Native	ve					Other	r
District	Pop	Deviation	ion	Hispanic	nic	White	ite	American	can	Black	¥	Asian	'n	Races	S
۲	59,843	-832	-1.4%	37,167	62.1%	16,381	27.4%	2,570	4.3%	1,600	2.7%	977	1.6%	1,148	1.9%
18+	43,677			25,415	58.2%	13,692	31.3%	1,836	4.2%	1,260	2.9%	774	1.8%	700	1.6%
2	58,891	-1,784	-2.9%	33,104	56.2%	20,026	34.0%	2,893	4.9%	1,072	1.8%	712	1.2%	1,084	1.8%
18+	46,209		11000	24,256	52.5%	17,645	38.2%	2,144	4.6%	852	1.8%	594	1.3%	718	1.6%
ю	58,104	-2,571	-4.2%	30,576	52.6%	19,231	33.1%	2,930	5.0%	2,511	4.3%	1,554	2.7%	1,302	2.2%
18+	45,296			21,390	47.2%	17,402	38.4%	2,208	4.9%	2,034	4.5%	1,358	3.0%	904	2.0%
4	62,721	2,046	3.4%	18,573	29.6%	36,597	58.3%	2,218	3.5%	1,347	2.1%	2,662	4.2%	1,324	2.1%
18+	49,326			13,346	27.1%	30,506	61.8%	1,659	3.4%	1,065	2.2%	1,952	4.0%	798	1.6%
5	62,729	2,054	3.4%	27,141	43.3%	28,644	45.7%	1,989	3.2%	1,944	3.1%	1,348	2.1%	1,665	2.7%
18+	44,413		No. No.	17,586	39.6%	22,090	49.7%	1,419	3.2%	1,413	3.2%	1,035	2.3%	873	2.0%
9	61,133	458	0.8%	23,774	38.9%	30,085	49.2%	2,595	4.2%	1,571	2.6%	1,650	2.7%	1,458	2.4%
18+	49,904			17,329	34.7%	26,838	53.8%	2,005	4.0%	1,292	2.6%	1,415	2.8%	1,025	2.1%
7	62,359	1,684	2.8%	50,925	81.7%	7,256	11.6%	1,522	2.4%	1,444	2.3%	410	0.7%	802	1.3%
18+	40,525			31,809	78.5%	5,805	14.3%	1,058	2.6%	1,070	2.6%	332	0.8%	451	1.1%
8	60,419	-256	-0.4%	13,708	22.7%	40,604	67.2%	1,436	2.4%	1,328	2.2%	2,004	3.3%	1,339	2.2%
18+	49,157			9,964	20.3%	34,631	70.4%	1,095	2.2%	1,079	2.2%	1,601	3.3%	787	1.6%
6	59,873	-802	-1.3%	20,183	33.7%	31,216	52.1%	2,478	4.1%	2,066	3.5%	2,363	3.9%	1,567	2.6%
18+	46,588	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Non and a second	13,813	29.6%	26,780	57.5%	1,725	3.7%	1,538	3.3%	1,788	3.8%	944	2.0%
Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	13,680	2.5%	11,689	2.6%

Research & Polling, Inc.

Page 1

7,200 2.0%

2.8% 10,849 2.6%

174,908 42.1% 195,389 47.1% 15,149 3.6% 11,603

415,095

18+







Albuquerque City Council Plan O

2010 Census Redistricting

									No	Non-Hispanic Origin	c Origin				
								Native	/e					Other	L.
District	Pop	Deviation	ion	Hispanic	nic	White	te	American	can	Black	×	Asian	an	Races	S
٢	60,428	-247	-0.4%	33,747	55.8%	20,129	33.3%	2,199	3.6%	1,806	3.0%	1,179	2.0%	1,370	2.3%
18+	42,197			22,096	52.4%	15,645	37.1%	1,520	3.6%	1,345	3.2%	901	2.1%	693	1.6%
2	58,891	-1,784	-2.9%	33,104	56.2%	20,026	34.0%	2,893	4.9%	1,072	1.8%	712	1.2%	1,084	1.8%
18+	46,209	A State		24,256	52.5%	17,645	38.2%	2,144	4.6%	852	1.8%	594	1.3%	718	1.6%
e	58,851	-1,824	-3.0%	23,882	40.6%	27,720	47.1%	2,296	3.9%	1,853	3.1%	1,622	2.8%	1,478	2.5%
18+	48,923	「三人の日	- AND	17,948	36.7%	25,051	51.2%	1,846	3.8%	1,560	3.2%	1,421	2.9%	1,097	2.2%
4	62,721	2,046	3.4%	18,573	29.6%	36,597	58.3%	2,218	3.5%	1,347	2.1%	2,662	4.2%	1,324	2.1%
18+	49,326		Contract of	13,346	27.1%	30,506	61.8%	1,659	3.4%	1,065	2.2%	1,952	4.0%	798	1.6%
5	62,144	1,469	2.4%	30,561	49.2%	24,896	40.1%	2,360	3.8%	1,738	2.8%	1,146	1.8%	1,443	2.3%
18+	45,893	and the second		20,905	45.6%	20,137	43.9%	1,735	3.8%	1,328	2.9%	908	2.0%	880	1.9%
9	60,017	-658	-1.1%	30,720	51.2%	20,363	33.9%	3,310	5.5%	2,259	3.8%	2,060	3.4%	1,305	2.2%
18+	45,559	NULL PAR		20,811	45.7%	18,047	39.6%	2,396	5.3%	1,783	3.9%	1,677	3.7%	845	1.9%
7	63,475	2,800	4.6%	51,253	80.7%	7,970	12.6%	1,549	2.4%	1,459	2.3%	428	0.7%	816	1.3%
18+	41,475	and the second	Hart Mart	32,060	77.3%	6,440	15.5%	1,079	2.6%	1,083	2.6%	350	0.8%	463	1.1%
80	61,214	539	0.9%	15,789	25.8%	39,138	63.9%	1,639	2.7%	1,430	2.3%	1,821	3.0%	1,397	2.3%
18+	49,584			11,425	23.0%	33,462	67.5%	1,242	2.5%	1,152	2.3%	1,458	2.9%	845	1.7%
6	58,331	-2,344	-3.9%	17,522	30.0%	33,201	56.9%	2,167	3.7%	1,919	3.3%	2,050	3.5%	1,472	2.5%
18+	45,929			12,061	26.3%	28,456	62.0%	1,528	3.3%	1,435	3.1%	1,588	3.5%	861	1.9%
Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	13,680	2.5%	11,689	2.5%

Research & Polling, Inc.

Sep 1, 2011

Page 1

7,200 1.9%

2.8% 10,849 2.6%

195,389 47.1% 15,149 3.6% 11,603

174,908 42.1%

415,095

18+







Albuquerque City Council Plan P

Redistricting 2010 Census

					L				N	Non-Hispanic Origin	c Origin				
								Native	/e					Other	-
District	Pop	Deviation	ion	Hispanic	nic	White	te	American	can	Black	×	Asian	5	Races	S
٢	59,476	-1,199	-2.0%	36,390	61.2%	17,109	28.8%	2,271	3.8%	1,582	2.7%	982	1.7%	1,142	1.9%
18+	43,052			24,648	57.3%	14,183	32.9%	1,574	3.7%	1,220	2.8%	765	1.8%	662	1.5%
2	61,780	1,105	1.8%	30,615	49.6%	24,397	39.5%	3,227	5.2%	1,324	2.1%	1,008	1.6%	1,209	2.0%
18+	48,594		South States	22,264	45.8%	21,353	43.9%	2,317	4.8%	1,062	2.2%	828	1.7%	770	1.6%
ю	58,615	-2,060	-3.4%	32,549	55.5%	19,502	33.3%	2,438	4.2%	1,726	2.9%	1,199	2.0%	1,201	2.0%
18+	47,681			24,257	50.9%	17,905	37.6%	2,042	4.3%	1,473	3.1%	1,079	2.3%	925	1.9%
4	59,691	-984	-1.6%	14,171	23.7%	39,175	65.6%	1,157	1.9%	1,005	1.7%	2,951	4.9%	1,232	2.1%
18+	47,220			10,116	21.4%	32,546	68.9%	871	1.8%	797	1.7%	2,179	4.6%	711	1.5%
5	60,045	-630	-1.0%	25,497	42.5%	27,456	45.7%	2,228	3.7%	1,916	3.2%	1,336	2.2%	1,614	2.7%
18+	42,654	P. C. C. C. C. C.	and the second	16,510	38.7%	21,190	49.7%	1,632	3.8%	1,416	3.3%	1,037	2.4%	872	2.0%
9	62,893	2,218	3.7%	29,332	46.6%	25,109	39.9%	3,283	5.2%	2,193	3.5%	1,510	2.4%	1,466	2.3%
18+	48,982	A CONTRACTOR		20,181	41.2%	22,386	45.7%	2,438	5.0%	1,722	3.5%	1,284	2.6%	971	2.0%
7	62,939	2,264	3.7%	18,758	29.8%	37,163	59.0%	2,095	3.3%	1,712	2.7%	1,697	2.7%	1,514	2.4%
18+	50,532			13,502	26.7%	31,773	62.9%	1,584	3.1%	1,363	2.7%	1,371	2.7%	939	1.9%
80	58,509	-2,166	-3.6%	47,575	81.3%	6,881	11.8%	1,477	2.5%	1,414	2.4%	402	0.7%	760	1.3%
18+	37,842		「「「「	29,560	78.1%	5,472	14.5%	1,018	2.7%	1,045	2.8%	324	%6:0	423	1.1%
6	62,124	1,449	2.4%	20,264	32.6%	33,248	53.5%	2,455	4.0%	2,011	3.2%	2,595	4.2%	1,551	2.5%
18+	48,538	Nation of the second	N. N. N.	13,870	28.6%	28,581	58.9%	1,673	3.4%	1,505	3.1%	1,982	4.1%	927	1.9%
Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	13,680	2.5%	11,689	2.5%
18+	415,095	and the second	The state	174,908	42.1%	195,389	47.1%	15,149	3.6%	11,603	2.8%	10,849	2.6%	7,200	1.9%

Research & Polling, Inc.

Oct 13, 2011







Albuquerque City Council Plan Q

2010 Census Redistricting

									N	Non-Hispanic Origin	c Origin				
								Native	/e					Other	r
District	Pop	Deviation	ion	Hispanic	nic	White	te	American	can	Black	¥	Asian	u	Races	S
+	62,043	1,368	2.3%	36,848	59.4%	18,808	30.3%	2,436	3.9%	1,627	2.6%	1,036	1.7%	1,288	2.1%
18+	45,269	STATE OF		25,227	55.7%	15,454	34.1%	1,742	3.8%	1,264	2.8%	813	1.8%	769	1.7%
2	63,508	2,833	4.7%	35,567	56.0%	22,257	35.0%	2,197	3.5%	1,440	2.3%	873	1.4%	1,174	1.8%
18+	51,348			26,602	51.8%	20,084	39.1%	1,814	3.5%	1,212	2.4%	767	1.5%	869	1.7%
с	62,359	1,684	2.8%	50,925	81.7%	7,256	11.6%	1,522	2.4%	1,444	2.3%	410	0.7%	802	1.3%
18+	40,525			31,809	78.5%	5,805	14.3%	1,058	2.6%	1,070	2.6%	332	0.8%	451	1.1%
4	58,380	-2,295	-3.8%	18,612	31.9%	32,150	55.1%	2,386	4.1%	1,290	2.2%	2,667	4.6%	1,275	2.2%
18+	45,298			13,182	29.1%	26,655	58.8%	1,722	3.8%	1,009	2.2%	1,965	4.3%	765	1.7%
5	60,529	-146	-0.2%	27,460	45.4%	26,217	43.3%	2,123	3.5%	1,917	3.2%	1,289	2.1%	1,525	2.5%
18+	42,821			17,774	41.5%	20,328	47.5%	1,513	3.5%	1,409	3.3%	966	2.3%	804	1.9%
9	60,695	20	0.0%	29,715	49.0%	22,266	36.7%	3,455	5.7%	2,298	3.8%	1,533	2.5%	1,428	2.4%
18+	47,392	Link with		20,467	43.2%	20,160	42.5%	2,585	5.5%	1,838	3.9%	1,359	2.9%	983	2.1%
7	61,520	845	1.4%	22,621	36.8%	31,764	51.6%	2,616	4.3%	1,555	2.5%	1,614	2.6%	1,350	2.2%
18+	49,366			16,482	33.4%	27,533	55.8%	1,934	3.9%	1,255	2.5%	1,298	2.6%	864	1.8%
8	58,939	-1,736	-2.9%	13,360	22.7%	39,743	67.4%	1,411	2.4%	1,286	2.2%	1,802	3.1%	1,337	2.3%
18+	47,965			9,676	20.2%	33,936	70.8%	1,085	2.3%	1,040	2.2%	1,448	3.0%	780	1.6%
6	58,099	-2,576	-4.2%	20,043	34.5%	29,579	50.9%	2,485	4.3%	2,026	3.5%	2,456	4.2%	1,510	2.6%
18+	45,111	and the second	Pre- Pre-	13,689	30.3%	25,434	56.4%	1,696	3.8%	1,506	3.3%	1,871	4.1%	915	2.0%
Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	13,680	2.5%	11,689	2.6%
18+	415,095		The second	174,908	42.1%	195,389	47.1%	15,149	3.6%	11,603	2.8%	10,849	2.6%	7,200	2.0%

Oct 13, 2011







Albuquerque City Council Plan V

2010 Census Redistricting

									No	Non-Hispanic Origin	ic Origin				
								Native	ve					Other	er
District	Pop	Deviation	tion	Hispanic	nic	White	te	American	can	Black	×	Asian	an	Races	se
+	60,899	224	0.4%	36,863	60.5%	17,563	28.8%	2,631	4.3%	1,648	2.7%	1,016	1.7%	1,178	1.9%
18+	44,365			25,053	56.5%	14,647	33.0%	1,866	4.2%	1,289	2.9%	802	1.8%	708	1.6%
2	57,962	-2,713	-4.5%	33,038	57.0%	19,470	33.6%	2,694	4.6%	1,014	1.7%	688	1.2%	1,058	1.8%
18+	45,184	T. B. B.		24,069	53.3%	17,102	37.8%	1,952	4.3%	802	1.8%	570	1.3%	689	1.5%
3	58,108	-2,567	-4.2%	27,173	46.8%	24,009	41.3%	2,245	3.9%	1,807	3.1%	1,511	2.6%	1,363	2.3%
18+	47,704			20,237	42.4%	21,734	45.6%	1,853	3.9%	1,535	3.2%	1,328	2.8%	1,017	2.1%
4	62,721	2,046	3.4%	18,573	29.6%	36,597	58.3%	2,218	3.5%	1,347	2.1%	2,662	4.2%	1,324	2.1%
18+	49,326		ALC A	13,346	27.1%	30,506	61.8%	1,659	3.4%	1,065	2.2%	. 1,952	4.0%	798	1.6%
5	58,622	-2,053	-3.4%	25,024	42.7%	27,002	46.1%	1,868	3.2%	1,850	3.2%	1,302	2.2%	1,578	2.7%
18+	41,341			16,105	39.0%	20,726	50.1%	1,340	3.2%	1,347	3.3%	1,000	2.4%	826	2.0%
9	63,026	2,351	3.9%	30,552	48.5%	23,972	38.0%	3,330	5.3%	2,269	3.6%	1,520	2.4%	1,383	2.2%
18+	49,040	The state		21,085	43.0%	21,451	43.7%	2,484	5.1%	1,794	3.7%	1,304	2.7%	922	1.9%
7	58,509	-2,166	-3.6%	47,575	81.3%	6,881	11.8%	1,477	2.5%	1,414	2.4%	402	0.7%	760	1.3%
18+	37,842	市時に		29,560	78.1%	5,472	14.5%	1,018	2.7%	1,045	2.8%	324	0.9%	423	1.1%
8	62,547	1,872	3.1%	14,407	23.0%	41,863	66.9%	1,498	2.4%	1,368	2.2%	2,037	3.3%	1,374	2.2%
18+	50,833		the second	10,450	20.6%	35,700	70.2%	1,138	2.2%	1,111	2.2%	1,626	3.2%	808	1.6%
6	63,678	3,003	4.9%	21,946	34.5%	32,683	51.3%	2,670	4.2%	2,166	3.4%	2,542	4.0%	1,671	2.6%
18+	49,460	and the second	and the second se	15,003	30.3%	28,051	56.7%	1,839	3.7%	1,615	3.3%	1,943	3.9%	1,009	2.0%
Totals	546,072	Ideal:	60,675	255,151	46.7%	230,040	42.1%	20,631	3.8%	14,883	2.7%	13,680	2.5%	11,689	2.6%
18+	415,095		No. No.	174,908	42.1%	195,389	47.1%	15,149	3.6%	11,603	2.8%	10,849	2.6%	7,200	2.0%

Research & Polling, Inc.

Oct 14, 2011

Page 1

Principles of Districting By Research & Polling, Inc.

Principles of Districting

Equal population

Minority Voting Rights

D Compactness

Contiguity

D Communities of interest

					З
Constitutional Mandate to Redistrict	Gray v. Sanders, 1963 "One person, one vote"	WERE Jentle	Congress: as equal as possible	D State legislature, other entities: 10% spread, if there's a good reason	Research & Polling, Inc.

chanted?
Cou
Gets
Who

Everyone, including, but not limited to:

- D Adults
- D Children
- D Citizens
- College students in dorms
- Description Description Description
- Don-citizens
- Undocumented immigrants
- Foreign students
- Foreign workers (e.g. German Air Force at Holloman AFB)

OT
t1
la
n
р
2
pr
Ы
[I]

Equal population = equal representation One person, one-vote principle Ideal population = total population / # of districts City of Albuquerque: 448,607 / 9 = 49,845 Example using 2000 Census

(continued)
Population
Equal

- Districts must be "substantially equal"
- No more than 10% total deviation
- Within ±5% of the ideal population
- City of Albuquerque example, 2000 Census
- Population of each district
- 49,845 ± 2,492 range: 47,353 52,337

	1			7
Minority Voting Strength	Give the minority population an opportunity to elect a candidate of their choice	 Do not dilute voting strength of ethnic/language minority groups (Voting Rights Act, Section 2): Native Americans African Americans Hispanics 	Do not create districts in which race is the predominant criterion in subordination of traditional districting principles (Shaw v. Reno, 509 U.S. 630 (1993))	Research & Polling, Inc.

 \sim

 Minority Voting Strength - cont. Three criteria that need to meet to require singlemember districts under federal case law (Thornburg v. Gingles, 478 U.S. 30 (1986)) Minority population is compact and large enough to constitute a majority in a single-member district. Minority population is politically cohesive Bloc voting by White population

Minority Voting Strength Dilution

DackingCracking

Dilute through Packing

- Concentrate as much of a minority group into as few districts as possible to minimize the number of districts in which the minority could elect a candidate of their choice
- Avoid packing



Blue – minority areas

Dilute Through Cracking

- Split up a minority into as many districts as possible to minimize influence in any given district
- Avoid cracking



Blue – minority areas

Neither Packed nor Cracked

- In the example, provides two minority districts whereby the minority group has a chance to elect a candidate of their choice
- Blue minority areas



Compactness
Different ways to measure compactness
None are perfect Refers to shape, not geographic size
 Could have a very large district in area that is compact in shape
D A jurisdiction's irregular outer boundary can affect the compactness measures of a
district
Research & Polling, Inc.



Compact:





44




Research & Polling, Inc.





Research & Polling, Inc.

16



- No islands of territory
- One distinct part, not two or more
- Contiguous:

Not Contiguous:





Research & Polling, Inc.

 Communities of Interest all other factors which determine where and how a district boundary could be drawn 	 Includes, but not limited to: Neighborhoods Cultural / historical traditions Respecting political subdivisions (e.g. avoid precinct splits) Maintaining core of existing districts / incumbent residency Geographic boundaries 	Can be considered as long as previous districting principles are not violated
---	---	---

Research & Polling, Inc.

18

Redistricting Minutes

SUMMARY MINUTES OCTOBER 20, 2011

Voting Members Present:	District 1	Linda Lopez
	District 2	Bernadette Miera
	District 3	Phillip Baca
	District 4	Bob Gurule
	District 5	Sander Rue
	District 6	Michael Montoya
	District 9	David Harris
Alternate Members Present:	District 1	Vanessa Chavez
	District 2	Susan Johnson
	District 3	Claudia Isaac
	District 6	Sandra Ortsman
	District 7	Wayne Johnson
	District 8	Barbara Morgan
	District 9	Bob Martinez
Others Present:	Laura Mason	Director of Council Services
	Jon Zaman	Council Staff
	Sandy Chavez	Council Staff
	Brian Sanderhoff	Research & Polling, Inc.

Call to Order and Approval of Agenda

The meeting of the Redistricting Committee was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by Chairman Montoya.

The agenda was approved as presented.

Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the October 14, 2011 meeting were approved.

Public Comment

The Committee heard public comment from Merideth Paxton, Alan Paxton, Evelyn Feltner, Barbar Grothus, and Michael Waywork.

Selection of Maps to Resent to the City Council

General Discussion of Maps to Recommend to the City Council

Jon Zaman reviewed the method was decided at the October 14th meeting to be used by the Committee to select preferred maps. The process is more mechanical in nature and allows each voting member to prioritize their top three maps. Each member's top map would be assigned 3 points, their second priority map would be assigned 2 points, and their third priority would be assigned 1 point. At the end of the process the points for each map would be totaled, and the maps receiving the most points would be recommended to Council. The number of maps recommended would be at the discretion of the Committee.

The vote was called as follows: Linda Lopez, voting member for District 1: First choice Map N, Second choice Map L, and Third choice Map J.

Bernadette Miera, voting member for District 2: First choice Map N, Second choice Map V, and Third choice Map O.

Phillip Baca, voting member for District 3: First choice Map V, Second choice Map P, and Third choice Map Q.

Bob Gurule voting member for District 4: First choice Map Q, Second choice Map L, and Third choice Map A.

Sander Rue voting member for District 5: First choice Map Q, Second choice Map L, and Third choice Map J.

Michael Montoya voting member for District 6: First choice Map V, Second choice Map P, and Third choice Map N.

Wayne Johnson voting-alternate member for District 7: First choice Map Q, Second choice Map L, and Third choice Map A.

Barbara Morgan voting-alternate member for District 8: First choice Map Q, Second choice Map L, and Third choice Map A.

David Harris voting member for District 9: First choice Map Q, Second choice Map L, and Third choice Map A.

Jon Zaman totaled the pointes as: Map Q received sixteen points, Map L received twelve points, Map V received 8 points, Map N received seven points, Map A received four points, Map A received four points, Map P received four points, Map J received two points and Map O received one point.

Other Business:

The Committee discussed how the chosen maps would be presented and it was agreed that Chairman Montoya would present the eight maps to the City Council and Research & Polling would summarize each of the selected maps.

Adjourn

There being no further business before the Committee the meeting adjourned at 7:30 p.m.

SUMMARY MINUTES DRAFT OCTOBER 14, 2011

Voting Members Present:	District 2	Bernadette Miera
5	District 3	Phillip Baca
	District 4	Bob Gurule
	District 5	Sander Rue
	District 6	Michael Montoya
	District 7	Kathie Leyendecker
	District 8	Hal Stratton
	District 9	David Harris
Alternate Members Present:	District 1	Vanessa Chavez
	District 2	Susan Johnson
	District 3	Claudia Isaac
	District 4	Mary Rose Twohig
	District 6	Sandra Ortsman
	District 7	Wayne Johnson
	District 9	Bob Martinez
Others Present:	Laura Mason	Director of Council Services
	Jon Zaman	Council Staff
	Sandy Chavez	Council Staff
	Michael Sharp	Research & Polling, Inc.

Call to Order and Approval of Agenda

The meeting of the Redistricting Committee was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by Chairman Montoya.

The agenda was approved as presented.

Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the August 18, 2011 meeting were approved.

Hal Stratton asked Chairman Montoya what was the intension of the meeting, being that seven new maps (O-V) were being presented. Chairman Montoya stated that Michael Sharp will give a presentation of the new maps, and then the Committee will discuss ideas as to how to proceed regarding the selection of maps to recommend to the City Council.

Public Comment

The Committee heard public comment from Clyde Wheeler, Christopher Frenchette, Alvria Lopez, Steven Abeyta, Carol Renfro, Norman Mason, Gary Morris, Javier Benavidez, Susan Michie, Alan Paxton, Merideth Paxton, Joseph Aquirre, Don Hancock, Bonnie Anderson, and Michael Block.

Presentation of New Maps P-V - Michael Sharp, Research & Polling, Inc.

Michael Sharp gave a presentation explaining each of the newly proposed maps, O-V.

General Discussion of Maps to Recommend to the City Council

David Harris made a motion, seconded by Mary Rose Twohig, not to accept any more new maps. The motion carried with a vote of 11 to 3. (The motion re-calculated with voting members only carried with a 6 to 3 vote.)

Wayne Johnson and Hal Stratton questioned when it is appropriate for alternate members to vote. After discussion, the Committee decided to ask its legal consultant to research the question and get back to the Committee. (Please see the attached e-mail from Luis Stelzner responding to that request.)

Jon Zaman outlined a method that might be used by the Committee to select preferred maps. The process is more mechanical in nature and allows each voting member to prioritize their top three maps. Each member's top map would be assigned 3 points, their second priority map would be assigned 2 points, and their third priority would be assigned 1 point. At the end of the process the points for each map would be totaled, and the maps receiving the most points would be recommended to Council. The number of maps recommended would be at the discretion of the Committee.

After a discussion of whether the Committee should vote yes or no on each map individually, use the process outlined above, or use some hybrid process, a motion was made by Phil Baca and seconded by Bernadette Miera to accept the process that Jon Zaman described. The motion carried on a 5 to 4 vote of voting members only (or alternates in the absence of their voting member).

Adjourn

The next meeting of the Redistricting Committee will be Thursday, October 20, 2011 from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers, basement level of the Albuquerque/Bernalillo County Government Center, located at One Civic Plaza NW.

There being no further business before the Committee the meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.

SUMMARY MINUTES AUGUST 18, 2011

Voting Members Present:	District 1	Linda Lopez	
0	District 2	Bernadette Miera	
	District 3	Phillip Baca	
	District 4	Bob Gurule	
	District 5	Sander Rue	
	District 6	Michael Montoya	
	District 7	Kathie Leyendecker	
	District 8	Hal Stratton	
	District 9	David Harris	
Alternate Members Present:	District 1	Vanessa Chavez	
	District 2	Susan Johnson	
	District 3	Claudia Isaac	
	District 4	Mary Rose Twohig	
	District 5	Betty Valez	
	District 6	Sandra Ortsman	
	District 7	Wayne Johnson	
	District 8	Barbara Morgan	
Others Present:	Laura Mason, I	Director of Council Services	
	Jon Zaman, Council Staff		
	Sandy Chavez, Council Staff		

Call to Order and Approval of Agenda

The meeting of the Redistricting Committee was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by Chairman Montoya.

Chairman Montoya asked Jon Zaman to give the Committee an update on the decisions made by the City Council at their meeting on August 15th.

Mr. Zaman stated that the Council agreed to extend the Redistricting Committee deadline until October 3rd and also confirmed the appointment of David Harris as voting member for District 9.

The agenda was approved as presented.

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Stratton asked that the comments from the ACLU member Mr. Ruiz be added to the Minutes of August 11th. The minutes were accepted as presented with the amendment to be added.

Brian Sanderoff, Research & Polling, Inc.

Public Comment

Roger Mickelson made concerned comments regarding the districts as drawn. Sterling Fluharty suggested posting the maps for discussion on the website at least twenty-four hours in advance of meetings.

Discussion of proposed maps

Jon Zaman stated that the maps on the website were changed to scaleable PDF files showing locations of precincts boundaries, neighborhood association boundaries, precincts with and without APS high schools, and Plan J submitted by Mr. Hendrix.

Susan Johnson suggested the possibility of having City Planning develop a map indicating at what point and time various areas of the City were annexed.

The Committee discussed at length, whether to present all proposed maps to the public or to eliminate some of the maps at this meeting. Hal Stratton made a motion, seconded by Linda Lopez to present all proposed maps at the scheduled public meetings. The motion passed with an 8-1 vote.

Bernadette Miera made a motion to add a public meeting in the North Valley to the public meeting schedule. The motion carried by acclimation.

Discussion of upcoming meetings

Adjourn

The next meeting of the Redistricting Committee will be Thursday, August 25, 2011 from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. at the West Mesa Community Center located at 5500 Glenrio Road NW.

There being no further business before the Committee the meeting adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

SUMMARY MINUTES AUGUST 11, 2011

Voting Members Present:	District 2 District 3 District 5	Bernadette Miera Phillip Baca Sander Rue
	District 6	Michael Montoya
	District 9	David Harris (will be appointed at the 8/15 Council Mtg.)
Alternate Members Present:	District 1	Vanessa Chavez
	District 2	Susan Johnson
	District 3	Claudia Isaac
	District 4	Mary Rose Twohig
	District 5	Betty Valez
	District 6	Sandra Ortsman
	District 7	Wayne Johnson
	District 8	Barbara Morgan
Others Present:	Laura Mason, Director of Council Services Jon Zaman, Council Staff Sandy Chavez, Council Staff Luis Stelzner, Stelzner Law Firm Michael Sharp, Research & Polling, Inc.	

Call to Order and Approval of Agenda

The meeting of the Redistricting Committee was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by Chairman Montoya

Chairman Montoya welcomed David Harris who will be appointed to represent District 9 at the August 15th City Council Meeting.

The agenda was accepted as presented.

Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the August 3.2011 Redistricting meeting were approved as presented.

Public Comment

Sterling Fluharty suggested that it would be helpful to the public if the maps were made larger so that the major street names, precincts, and neighborhood associations can be read.

Nathan Hendrix presented a map and statistics to the Committee, which basically suggested moving District 3 West of the river, moving District 2 into District 3's place, and enlarging Districts 4,6,7,8, & 9.

Discussion of proposed maps

Luis Stelzner was present to answer the concerns and questions of having an even numbered district on the Westside. He stated that the motion by the ACLU is still pending before Judge Nash and although he does not believe that they will appeal the decision, the ACLU and Judge Nash are following the redistricting process.

Mr. Stelzner cited paragraphs nineteen, twenty, and thirty-one of Judge Nash's decision which are relevant and directive to the issue of having an even numbered districted on the Westside.

Paragraph 19: "It is undisputed that the existing Council districts are mal-apportioned under the one person, one vote standard. The malapportionment is significant and results in a server dilution of Plaintiffs votes and representation. This under representation is not cured because Plaintiffs do not elect a city councilor in their district this election cycle. Allowing the election of city councilors in overrepresented districts perpetuates the harm to Plaintiffs because it perpetuates the over-representation of other city voters."

Paragraph 20: "The harm to Plaintiffs will continue if the October 4, 2011, election goes forward without redistricting.

Paragraph 31: On balance, while the process would be extremely difficult, the threatened injury to Plaintiff's outweighs the harm it would cause the Defendants.

Mr. Stelzner add that if an even number district is added to the Westside, the residents in that district would not be able to vote in a City Council election for four years and if an odd numbered district was added to the Westside residents in that new district could not vote in a City Council election for two years.

Neither delay is unconstitutional or illegal, but should an even numbered district be added to the Westside the probability of a law-suit is likely.

Michael Sharp, Vice President of Research and Polling presented detailed information for each of three additional proposed maps labeled G, H, & I, each was accompanied by a data table.

David Urias, of the Boyde Law Firm accompanied by Laura Ives, attorney's spoke with the ACLU spoke with the Committee. Mr. Urias stated that the Plaintiff's concern is under representation on the Westside and how long that under representation was going to last. He is glad that the Redistricting Committee is moving forward and hopes the results of the Committee will take affect immediately. Mr. Urias expressed concern about Mr. Stelzner's comment regarding an even numbered district on the Westside and said that he would like to meet with Mr. Stelzner to discuss this matter. Mr. Stelzner agreed to meet with Mr. Urias.

Discussion of upcoming meetings

Jon Zaman made the recommended that the Committee study the proposed maps and data, and select four or five maps on which the Committee will concentrate on for discussion at the August 18th meeting.

Adjourn

The next meeting of the Redistricting Committee will be Thursday, August 18, 2011 from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers

There being no further business before the Committee the meeting adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

SUMMARY MINUTES AUGUST 3, 2011

Voting Members Present:	District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8	Linda Lopez Bernadette Miera Phillip Baca Bob Gurule Sander Rue Michael Montoya Kathie Leyendecker Hal Stratton
Alternate Members Present:	District 3 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8 District 9	Claudia Isaac Betty Valez Sandra Ortsman Wayne Johnson Barbara Morgan Bob Martinez
Others Present:	Laura Mason, Director of Council Services Jon Zaman, Council Staff Sandy Chavez, Council Staff Michael Sharp, Research & Polling, Inc.	

Call to Order and Approval of Agenda

The meeting of the Redistricting Committee was called to order at 6:05 p.m. by Chairman Arnold-Jones.

Chairman Arnold-Jones stated, since she is running for Congress, she asked Councilor Cook to replace her. She welcomed Kathie Leyendecker, whom Councilor Cook appointed as the new voting member in District 7.

In order to transition the Committee, Chairman Arnold-Jones stated that she would open the meeting and follow the agenda through the election of a new Chair and Vice Chair.

Chairman Arnold-Jones thanked the Committee and Council staff for their work on this very important project and wished everyone well.

With the addition of Public Comment, the agenda was accepted as presented.

Public Comment

Public comment was heard from Javier Benavides. As a resident of the Barelas Community he encouraged the Committee not to dilute the communities of interest, to amplify the voices of those who are too often under represented, and to create districts that enable our City Councilors to be effective in representing our neighborhoods and our economy.

Public comment was also heard from Sterling Fluharty who suggested that the maps being discussed should be available to the public prior to the meeting date in order to be reviewed.

Approval of Minutes of August 3, 2010 Meeting

The minutes of the August 3, 2011 meeting were accepted as presented.

Selection of Chair and Vice Chair

Bernadette Meira, made a motion to elect Michael Montoya as Chairman of the Redistricting Committee. The motion passed with a unanimous vote.

Sander Rue made a motion to elect Bob Gurule as Vice Chair, the motion with a unanimous vote.

Discussion of proposed maps

Michael Sharp, Vice President of Research and Polling presented detailed information for each of the six proposed maps labeled A-F, each was accompanied by a data table. He explained the guidelines of the redistricting process and stated that all nine districts must be modified to accommodate the twenty-two percent increase of population growth.

Discussion of upcoming meetings

The Committee reviewed the work to be done and agreed to meet in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers on August 11 and August 18, 2011. Community meetings will held August 25 at the West Mesa Community Center, September 1st, at Manzano Mesa, September 15, at Taylor Ranch Community Center, and September 22nd at the North Domingo Baca Community Center. The final meeting of the Redistricting Committee will take place in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers on Monday, September 26, 2011, with a tentative meeting scheduled for September 29th.

Other business

The next meeting of the Redistricting Committee will be Thursday, August 11, 2011 from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers.

Adjourn

There being no further business before the Committee, Mr. Stratton made a motion for adjournment. The meeting adjourned at 7:30 p.m.

SUMMARY MINUTES JULY 20, 2011

Voting Members Present:	District 1	Linda Lopez
0	District 2	Bernadette Miera
	District 3	Phillip Baca
	District 4	Bob Gurule
	District 5	Sander Rue
	District 6	Michael Montoya
	District 7	Janice Arnold-Jones
	District 8	Hal Stratton
	District 9	Mickey Barnett
Alternate Members Present:	District 1	Vanessa Chavez
	District 3	Claudia Isaac
	District 5	Betty Valez
	District 6	Sandra Ortsman
	District 7	Wayne Johnson
	District 8	Barbara Morgan
	District 9	Bob Martinez
Others Present:	Jon Zaman, Co	uncil Staff
	Sandy Chavez, Council Staff	
	Luis Stelzner, Stelzner Law Firm	
	Robert D. Kidd, Interim City Attorney	
	Amy Bailey, Cit	

Call to Order and Approval of Agenda

The meeting of the Redistricting Committee was called to order at 6:08 p.m. by Chairman Arnold-Jones.

Chairman Arnold-Jones announced that Vice Chair, Roberta Rael, voting member for District 2 and alternate member, Lissa Knudsen also from District 2 will not be able to continue to serve committee.

Chairman Arnold-Jones also stated that she will be running for Congressional District 1 and to avoid the appearance of impropriety has decided to step down from this committee. She asked the Committee to strike the selection of a new Vice-Chair from this agenda and proposed the election of new officers at the next meeting.

Chairman Arnold-Jones proposed change to the agenda was unanimously accepted.

Approval of Minutes of December 2, 2010 Meeting

Chairman Arnold-Jones stated that there were no additions or corrections to the December 2, 2010 minutes and approved them as presented.

Public Comment

Roger Mickelson, Sterling Fluharty, Carole Eberhardt, and Art Gonzales expressed their interest and concerns regarding the process of the Redistricting, the election, under representation, and the difficulty in locating information on the website. There was a request for better communication to inform the public of times, dates and locations of meetings, with a request for posted signs in City Hall, also accessibility if maps, data, and any other documents related to this process.

Update on ruling re: Redistricting litigation

Luis Stelzner of the Stelzner Law Firm gave an overview of Judge Nash's ruling in the lawsuit brought by the ACLU against the City of Albuquerque (City). Judge Nash ruled against the motion for preliminary injunction against the City, which means the October 4th, 2011 election will go forward as planned, under the current district lines.

The Judge found that the City's plan for redistricting is a "rational approach to readjustment" and thus it is unlikely Plaintiffs could show that the plan is unconstitutional.

Most courts have refused to enjoin impending elections in similar circumstances, finding that a temporary departure from the one-person-one-vote standard due to the timing of redistricting may be constitutional.

Granting an injunction would be contrary to the public interest, due to the disruption, delay, confusion and expense of redistricting before the impending election.

While Judge Nash concluded that Plaintiffs' City Council districts (1 and 5) are significantly underrepresented, she found that they failed to satisfy all the factors necessary to obtain a preliminary injunction.

Mr. Stelzner stated, in his opinion Judge Nash was extremely thorough and thoughtful, and clearly listened to all evidence presented from both parties.

Key to this case is the creditability of the witnesses of the council; Councilors Trudy Jones, Debbie O'Malley, City Clerk, Amy Bailey, Redistricting Committee Vice Chair, Roberta Rael, and Research and Polling, Brian Sanderoff.

Judge Nash was clearly interested and asked great questions and was fair to both the Plaintiff and Defendants.

There were four points the plaintiffs had to show in order for the preliminary injunction to be granted.

- 1. that there was irreparable injury to the plaintiffs.
- that the threat and injury to the plaintiffs out weighted any harm that the injunction would cause to the defendants.
- 3. that the injunction did not cause adverse to the public interest
- 4. that they had a substantial likelihood of having success on the merits.

Judge Nash found there would be injury to the Plaintiffs living in the two Westside districts by living in under represented districts. She also found that there would be serious injury to the Defendants and found the threat of injury to the Plaintiffs outweighed injury to the Defendants and that an injunction would be contrary the public's best interest.

Mr. Stelzner also stated that the Plaintiffs can appeal or seek a Rite of Mandamus from Supreme, but does not believe that further action will be taken by the Plaintiffs.

Discussion of Redistricting process-e.g. frequency of meetings, public participation

The Committee discussed and asked questions of Mr. Stelzner and Mr. Kidd as to the affects of creating a district that would not have Council representation. It was decided that at this stage there were not any clear answers and the Committee will attempt to redistrict without creating that situation, but should it occur a clear answer will follow.

Senator Lopez stated the importance of sharing the conceptual maps that are being considered by the Committee with the public in the upcoming community meetings to be held on the Westside and Eastside of the river.

Mr. Zaman recommended that the committee submit a list to him in the next two days of concepts they would like to see created by Research and Polling to be discussed at the next meeting. He also stated that because of Michael Sharp's schedule it will take about two weeks to create the requested maps.

Ms. Isaac recommended that all data, maps, and tables be sent by email as soon as they are available and also post to the Redistricting website.

7.20.11 Summary Minutes Page 2 of 3 Ms. Miera asked if there was a clear process in which the public and neighborhood associations would be notified of the available information and data produced by the Committee. Mr. Zaman explained that Council staff will be working with the Office of Neighborhood Coordination (ONC) to distribute information to over six hundred contacts and also place articles in the ONC newsletter, staff will also post conceptual maps, documents and meeting agendas on the Redistricting website.

The Committee stated that they would like to preserve the Historic areas as they now stand, not to split established traditional neighborhoods, and would like continuity in the demographic shift. They would like informational and directional signs around City Hall to inform the public of Redistricting meetings and to also notify the media, 311, and the neighborhood and homeowner's associations.

Other business

The next meeting of the Redistricting Committee will be Wednesday, August 3, 2011 from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. in the Vincent E. Griego Chambers.

Adjourn

There being no further business before the Committee, Mr. Gurule made a motion for adjournment and was confirmed at 8:55 p.m.

SUMMARY MINUTES DECEMBER 2, 2010

Voting Members Present:	District 2	Roberta M. Rael, Vice-Chair
	District 3	Phillip Baca
	District 4	Bob Gurule
	District 5	Sander Rue
	District 6	Michael Montoya
	District 8	Hal Stratton
	District 9	Mickey Barnett
Alternate Members Present:	District 1	Vanessa Chavez
	District 3	Claudia Isaac
	District 6	Sandra Ortsman
	District 7	Wayne Johnson
	District 8	Barbara Morgan
	District 9	Bob Martinez
Others Present:	Laura Mason, l	Director, Council Services
	Jon Zaman, Co	ouncil Staff
	Diana Trujeque	e, Council Staff
	Mandi Hinojos, Council Staff	
	Luis Stelzner, Stelzner Law Firm Michael Sharp, Research & Polling Inc.	
	Amy Bailey, Ci	
	5.50 K.61	24 19

Call to Order and Approval of Agenda

The meeting was called to order at 6:04 pm by Vice-Chair Rael. Mr. Gurule moved approval of the agenda, Mr. Rue seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Approval of Minutes of November 18, 2010 Meeting

Ms. Isaac asked that the November 18, 2010 summary minutes be amended to add a question she asked regarding when the American Community Survey (ACS) data would be available.

Mr. Gurule moved approval of the November 18, 2010 Summary Minutes as amended, Mr. Johnson seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Public Comment

Mr. Sterling Fluharty addressed the Committee. He asked about what measures will be taken to make districts as balanced as possible. He further asked how people would go about identifying precinct numbers and suggested all information be made readily available for the protection of incumbents of the upcoming elections. He asked whether number of eligible voters or voter history was more influential when redistricting in trying to avoid gerrymandering. He believes this will be an issue in the upcoming municipal election.

Discussion of 2011 Election Timeline and Milestone Dates

Vice-Chair Rael asked Ms. Bailey to give an abbreviated version of her presentation from the last meeting. Ms. Bailey reviewed the timelines within her presentation from the last meeting. She stated the next municipal election will be held on Tuesday, October 4, 2011, and will focus on even numbered City Council districts, as well as any bond issues or City Charter Amendments. In working backwards from the election date of October 4, 2011, she stated the deadlines begin in March 2011. Ms. Bailey stated that the exploratory period begins Tuesday, March 15, 2011 pursuant to the City

Charter, which is when potential candidates can begin to gather in-kind contributions and seed monies to begin to finance their potential campaigns. The qualifying period begins Sunday, May 1, 2011 and applicant candidates can only accept contributions from registered voters from within the district they wish to represent. If redistricting is not complete by May 1, 2011, she stated that candidates will not be able to accurately collect funds and potentially harm their ability to qualify for public financing.

Ms. Bailey also stated she will be working with the County Clerk to improve the technology involved in elections to include the redistricted districts.

Mr. Rue asked how long it would take the County Clerk to get the districts configured where candidates can begin to draw voter information in targeted mail pieces and other campaign related tasks. Ms. Bailey stated the best answer she can offer is not long, about a week or so.

Vice-Chair Rael thanked Ms. Bailey for her presentation.

Discussion of Voting Rights Act and Legal Principles of Redistricting

Vice-Chair Rael welcomed Luis Stelzner of the Stelzner Law Firm and Michael Sharp of Research and Polling, Inc. who will be providing an overview of the requirements of the Federal Voting Rights Act and other legal principles of redistricting.

Mr. Stelzner stated he and Mr. Sharp will be talking about broad guidelines, both constitutional and statutory, that govern the redistricting process at all levels. He stated the committee members have copies of his presentation and Mr. Sharp will be illustrating with slides to complement the presentation. He hopes to give practical perspective on principles and how they are implemented in redistricting by looking at some current districts and looking at them in light of the 2000 census data and how they were based the last time around.

Mr. Stelzner stated probably the clearest principle is "one person, one vote". Districts must be substantially equal in population. The practical meaning of that is what is tolerated by the courts is a 10% total deviation. What has been done typically is New Mexico is to try to keep deviations to plus or minus 5%. Minority voting rights prohibits any state or political subdivision from imposing a voting qualification or prerequisite to voting or standard, practice or procedure in a manner which results in the denial or abridgement of the right to vote on account of race or color. He pointed out that Congress has made it clear that, under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, intent to discriminate is not required to find a violation of Section 2. The impact is what is important, not the intent behind the impact. The objectives are to avoid the dilution of voting power of ethnic minority groups and giving minority populations an opportunity to elect candidates of their choice. The courts have developed a three-part test, sometimes called the Gingles test, requiring a minority group which is challenging a redistricting on Section 2 grounds to prove that it is sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority in a single member district, that it is politically cohesive, and in the absence of special circumstances block voting by the White majority usually defeats the minority's preferred candidate. Practices that are suspect under Section 2 include "cracking" and "packing".

Mr. Sharp described "cracking" and "packing". Following his PowerPoint presentation, he explained "packing" as concentrating as much of a minority group into as few districts as possible to minimize the number of districts in which the minority could elect a candidate of their choice. He went on to describe "cracking" as the splitting up of a minority into as many districts as possible to minimize influence in any given district. Mr. Sharp stated one of the goals of redistricting is to avoid both "cracking" and "packing" and provide two minority districts whereby the minority group has a chance to elect a candidate of their choice.

Mr. Stelzner explained his next point, Traditional Districting Principles. He described five policies that have been judicially recognized as traditional districting principles starting with compactness and contiguity.

Mr. Sharp stated compactness refers to shape, not geographical size and a jurisdiction's irregular outer boundary can affect the compactness measures of a district. He showed illustrations of compact and not compact districts. He went on to briefly explain contiguity as having one distinct part, not two or more.

Mr. Sharp went on to discuss preservation of communities of interest. Factors include cultural and historical traditions which go hand in hand with the neighborhoods, respect to political subdivisions by trying to avoid precinct splits,

maintaining the core of existing districts by not changing the districts so much that a lot of the voters are moved from one district to another and taking into account incumbency. All these factors can be considered as long as the previous principles discussed are not violated.

Mr. Stelzner stated another concept that has come up in other cases are state and local governments have the right to draw majority/minority districts even if they are not required to by Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act. That issue has been settled by the United States Supreme court. The corollary to that is a federal district court cannot create majority/minority districts unless there is a violation of Section 2 so that concept applies to this committee. He stated the courts also prohibit racial gerrymandering. He explained gerrymandering as redistricting that is so bizarre as to be unexplainable other than for strictly racial grounds. Another point he made was partisan gerrymandering can be an issue that could come before the courts but there is no standard currently set forth.

Mr. Baca asked what the difference would be in a non partisan election. Mr. Stelzner stated it would be less applicable in a non partisan election in regards to the courts.

Mr. Stelzner went over Attachment A, which diagrams demographic data on the current districts, using the 2000 census data.

Mr. Sharp stated when looking at equal representation, its based on total population and not voter registration. Total population includes everyone regardless of immigration status or nationality and includes prisoners and students.

Mr. Rue asked when looking in areas where the most population growth will be, is that anticipated in the standard deviation. Mr. Sharp stated yes, it is something that can be considered and the intent was to estimate on the low end to accommodate growth.

Mr. Baca asked about the timing of the redistricting. Mr. Stelzner stated that was attorney/client privileged communication but he has given his opinion to Council staff.

Mr. Barnett stated according to the last redistricting process, the committee waited until all the census data was received.

Mr. Gurule asked who made the aforementioned estimates. Mr. Sharp stated that they were census data and his own estimates.

Ms. Isaac asked whether shared income levels play a role as a community of interest. Mr. Stelzner stated in his experience it is a legitimate community of interest. Ms. Isaac asked if there have been any court challenges where income based communities of interest was based. Mr. Stelzner stated he would look into that and get back to the committee.

Mr. Martinez asked what impact redistricting has on the Charter given there is no data yet and is the amount of precincts that are in each district taken into account. Mr. Sharp stated precincts are the building blocks and would supply that information to the city council and the amount of precincts per district does not really have an impact.

Ms. Bailey stated the process to change the Charter can be done either by Charter amendment by super majority by the City Council or put to the voters. Ms. Mason stated that in this case, new data received can be added by appendix by the City Clerk as she prepares the election materials.

Vice-Chair Rael thanked Mr. Stelzner and Mr. Sharp for their presentation and reminded everyone that all the information will be on the website.

Next Steps

Vice-Chair Rael reminded the Committee that in an effort to give more time to gather data, the next meeting is scheduled for January 6, 2011 and a meeting schedule will be determined based on the data received after the first of the year.

Ms. Isaac asked if someone could direct her to the right website to the redistricting maps. Mr. Zaman stated he would be happy to direct her to the website and should have an interactive map as well as Council incumbent addresses on the redistricting committee website by tomorrow.

Vice-Chair Rael acknowledged the alternate members sitting in the audience, Mary Rose Twohig and Barbara Morgan, and thanked them for attending.

Adjourn

There being no further business before the Committee, Mr. Stratton moved to adjourn the meeting at 7:18 pm, Mr. Johnson seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

SUMMARY MINUTES NOVEMBER 18, 2010

Voting Members Present:	District 1	Linda Lopez
0	District 2	Roberta M. Rael, Vice-Chair
	District 4	Bob Gurule
	District 5	Sander Rue
	District 6	Michael Montoya
	District 7	Janice Arnold-Jones, Chair
Alternate Members Present:	District 1	Vanessa Chavez
	District 2	Lissa Knudsen
	District 3	Claudia Isaac
	District 6	Sandra Ortsman
	District 7	Wayne Johnson
	District 8	Barbara Morgan
Others Present:	Laura Mason, Diree	ctor, Council Services
	Jon Zaman, Council Staff	
	Diana Trujeque, Council Staff Crystal Ortega, Council Staff Tim Karpoff, Karpoff & Associates Inc. Michael Sharp, Research & Polling Inc.	
	Robert Kidd, City /	Attorney's Office
	Amy Bailey, City Cl	erk

Call to Order and Approval of Agenda

The meeting was called to order at 6:03 pm by Chair Arnold-Jones. Mr. Gurule moved approval of the agenda, Mr. Rue seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Approval of Minutes of November 4, 2010 Meeting

Mr. Rue moved approval of the November 4, 2010 Summary Minutes, Ms. Isaac seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Public Comment

Mr. Sterling Fluharty addressed the Committee. His comments included the addition of two Council Districts, and the examination of the American Community Survey (ACS) data. Mr. Fluharty provided a copy of his comments to staff for the record.

Mr. Rue thanked Mr. Fluharty for his comments and asked staff about the addition of two Council districts. Mr. Zaman responded that the Charter requires the City be divided into nine Council districts and in order for that portion of the Charter to be amended it would require approval by the voters.

Mr. Rue asked if the ACS data mentioned by Mr. Fluharty is data that is being looked at by Mr. Sharp in his analysis. Mr. Sharp responded yes, it is something he is looking at with respect to estimating the populations at the precinct level.

Overview of the Redistricting Committee Web Site (under construction)

Mr. Zaman gave a brief demonstration of the Redistricting Committee web site and its current links and features.

Vice-Chair Rael asked how members of the community can contact Committee members. Mr. Zaman responded that if the Committee members so wish, their email addresses can be added to the web site. He added that a comment box will soon be added to the web site.

Mr. Gurule requested information regarding the Committee's work and web site be provided to the Office of Neighborhood Coordination (ONC).

Discussion of 2011 Election Timeline and Milestone Dates

Chair Arnold-Jones pointed out the importance for citizens to understand why the legislation was set up the way it was and why the Committee will be working with anticipated data. She asked Ms. Bailey to go through the upcoming unofficial election timeline and pointed out that the deadlines relate to the work of the Committee.

Ms. Bailey presented the Abbreviated Unofficial 2011 Election Calendar. She stated the next municipal election will be held on Tuesday, October 4, 2011 and will focus on even numbered City Council districts, as well as any bond issues or City Charter Amendments. In working backwards from the election date of October 4, 2011, she stated the deadlines begin in March 2011.

Ms. Bailey stated that the exploratory period begins Tuesday, March 15, 2011 pursuant to the City Charter. The qualifying period begins Sunday, May 1, 2011 and applicant candidates can only accept contributions from registered voters from within the district they wish to represent. If redistricting is not complete by May 1, 2011, she stated that candidates will not be able to accurately collect funds and potentially harm their ability to qualify for public financing.

Mr. Johnson asked if the 2% requirement is still in place for qualification signatures. Ms. Bailey stated that for City Council it is 1% of the registered voters within the district they want to qualify for.

Ms. Bailey reviewed the timelines within her presentation. She added that the work of the Committee will affect the ability to carry off the requirements of the Open and Ethical Elections Code.

Chair Arnold-Jones thanked Ms. Bailey for her presentation and requested Ms. Bailey give an abbreviated version of her presentation at the next meeting.

Mr. Rue asked if there were any statutorial or other deadlines placed the on the Committee to have its work completed. Mr. Kidd stated that the City Charter requires the Commission and the Redistricting process occur after the Federal Census, but there are no hard deadlines stated in the City Charter.

Ms. Knudsen asked if this is the first Redistricting Committee that has worked on these deadlines due to the public financing changes. Ms. Bailey responded yes.

Chair Arnold-Jones pointed out that it is possible the Committee will not receive the final census data until April 1 and asked if it is reasonable to believe that if we get the Committee's recommendations to the Council on April 15, will they adopt it that quickly. She discussed the challenge at hand and the importance of making the citizens understand. She added that it is unusual for any Committee to function while using estimated data.

Mr. Zaman agreed that it will be a compressed schedule toward the end and that the Committee will be using estimated data right up until the end. He added that Mr. Sharp's best guess is for the Committee to receive the data the last week of March.

Mr. Zaman stated that it is important for the Committee to have their concepts solidified and have the theory behind the maps solidified so that once the data is received and dropped in, the Committee does not have to discuss or debate concepts and it will just be a matter of rearranging for the numbers.

Mr. Johnson expressed the need for transparency in this process and concern regarding an inadequate amount of time to allow for public review and comment. He noted that if the Committee provides its recommendation by April 15, the next regularly scheduled Council meeting is April 18. He asked about the possibility of having additional Council meetings in April to allow for more time for public review. Mr. Zaman stated the City Council has the ability to schedule Special Council meetings and the plans will also be posted on the Committee web site as soon as possible.

11.18.10 Summary Minutes Page 2 of 4 Vice-Chair Rael asked about keeping Councilors informed of the work of the Committee so they can in turn let their constituencies know the timeline and the reason behind the timeline. Mr. Zaman responded that staff will be working with the Councilors to keep them apprised of the Committee's work, and added that the expectation is for each Committee member to work with the Councilor that appointed them and it will then be up to the Councilors how and in what manner they want to rollout that information to their constituents. He added that the resolution creating the Committee has the Committee's preliminary recommendations going to the Council on March 1, so beginning March 1 there will be some concepts available for the public to look at and for Councilors to take to their constituents.

Vice-Chair Rael requested a timeline be provided that includes the Committee's process deadlines as well as the municipal election deadlines.

Mr. Gurule stressed the importance of transparency and getting the neighborhoods involved early on in the process.

Vice-Chair Rael asked where else will the timeline be available for viewing by citizens. Mr. Zaman stated the timeline could be placed in the Neighborhood Newsletter as well as in Ms. Bailey's office. Ms. Bailey stated the information will also be available on the City Clerk's web site.

Baseline Demographic Data

Mr. Sharp reviewed the estimates of current overall City population and demographics based on 2009 American Community Survey data. The information provided included growth patterns exhibited from 1991-2000 and the similarity to the growth patterns observed from 2001-2010.

Mr. Sharp's presentation is attached to these Summary Minutes.

2010 Population Estimates

Mr. Sharp explained the various methods of estimating the population data that will be used in the redistricting process.

Mr. Sharp stated the base data to be used for the Committee's work will be the 2000 census data as well as the new residential building permits issued from 2000-2009. He added that postal service data and voter registration data will also be used. He stated those were the four primary sources of data that will be used.

Mr. Johnson asked about potential changes to precinct shapes and how it affects the work of the Committee and when will that data be provided. Mr. Sharp stated that the precincts, if they are changed at all, would not happen until after the release of the census data. He added that if it is anything like past redistricting years that any changes to the precincts would be done as soon as possible because of legislative redistricting, city redistricting and county redistricting.

Chair Arnold-Jones asked how this will be synced up considering that the legislative process will not have begun. Ms. Lopez responded that at this past legislative session a bill was passed where all the precincts have been frozen between now and until the end of the redistricting session, which should happen in August or September of 2011.

Chair Arnold-Jones expressed concern that this Committee will be creating Council districts without the visibility of precincts. She asked Mr. Zaman to go back to the Council to ask them if they are sure that this is the timeline they want the Committee to follow.

Mr. Zaman stated he would work with Legal staff to look into the issue of whether or not doing it this way with the accelerated timeline at the end, or the alternative, which is putting off this process to the 2013 election and doing the redistricting when all the data is available. Mr. Zaman will work with Legal staff to look into this issue. Ms. Lopez agreed with Chair Arnold-Jones concerns.

Chair Arnold-Jones stated that the message she would like the City Council to hear is that the Committee is ready, willing and able to serve but again requested Mr. Zaman go back to the Council to be sure that they have anticipated the questions that are being asked. Ms. Isaac inquired about what the process would be if the Committee waited an electoral cycle and the schedule changed and what are the legal requirements. Mr. Zaman stated that there may be a difference of opinion about the underlying legal language, but the Charter says that following the receipt of census data the City must form a Redistricting Committee and redistrict. He will discuss these issues with both Mr. Stelzner as well as the City Legal Department. He stated that if the analysis shows that perhaps it is better to put this off to a later date, the resolution can be rescinded or modified to reflect that, but he did not want to speculate what Legal Counsel would say at this point.

Ms. Isaac asked when the ACS data would be available. Mr. Sharp responded it would be available in December.

Ms. Isaac asked about postal service data and the volatility that exists with regard to vacant homes. Mr. Sharp stated he will take the new building permits and send them through the postal service database, which will then flag those addresses that are not deliverable or are vacant. He stated that should give him a good idea where the foreclosures are, and where building permits were issued, but nothing has been built yet and he will exclude those.

Vice-Chair Rael asked when the postal service data will be available. Mr. Sharp responded that he will have the data within the next day or so.

Vice-Chair Rael requested the legislative redistricting timeline be provided to the Committee. She added it would be helpful to have the full picture at one time versus piece by piece.

Chair Arnold-Jones requested that a list of all the acronyms being used by the Committee be placed on the web site. Mr. Zaman responded that he would add a glossary of terms to the Committee's web site.

Mr. Gurule asked whether or not illegal immigrants are being counted. Mr. Sharp responded that they are being counted even though lower numbers exist due to non-reporting, but regardless of citizenship, everyone is counted and considered for redistricting.

Mr. Gurule asked which data is the most reliable and which is least reliable. In order of reliability of data, Mr. Sharp stated the 2000 census data is the most reliable, then building permits, then voter registration.

Mr. Gurule inquired about the estimation process for calculating persons per household. Mr. Sharp stated he is using the block group census data as the basis for estimating persons per household, not precinct level data.

Mr. Sharp's presentation regarding the estimation process is attached to these Summary Minutes.

Next Steps

Chair Arnold-Jones reminded the Committee that the next meeting is scheduled for December 2, 2010 and added that although she would prefer a different meeting setup, the December 2 meeting will again be data intensive, therefore it will be held in the Council Chambers.

The Committee was reminded to forward their brief biographies to staff for inclusion on the Committee's web site.

Mr. Zaman informed the Committee of the change in membership for the District 3 appointee. He stated Mr. Phillip Baca will replace Mr. Ted Martinez as the District 3 voting member.

Chair Arnold-Jones and Vice-Chair Rael expressed appreciation for staff and the data they prepared for tonight's meeting.

Adjourn

There being no further business before the Committee, Mr. Gurule moved to adjourn the meeting at 7:52 pm, Ms. Lopez seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

SUMMARY MINUTES NOVEMBER 4, 2010

Voting Members Present:	District 1	Linda Lopez
0	District 2	Roberta M. Rael
	District 4	Bob Gurule
	District 5	Sander Rue
	District 6	Michael Montoya
	District 7	Janice Arnold-Jones
	District 8	Hal Stratton
	District 9	Mickey Barnett
Alternate Members Present:	District 1	Vanessa Chavez
	District 2	Lissa Knudson
	District 3	Claudia Isaac
	District 6	Sandra Ortsman
	District 7	Wayne Johnson
	District 8	Barbara Morgan
	District 9	Bob Martinez
City Councilors Present:	Ken Sanchez, City	Council President, District 1
	Trudy Jones, City	Council Vice-President, District 8
Others Present:	Laura Mason, Dire	ector, Council Services
	Jon Zaman, Cound	
	Diana Trujeque, C	ouncil Staff
	Crystal Ortega, Co	
		poff & Associates Inc.
	Michael Sharp, Res	search & Polling Inc.
	Luis Stelzner, Stelz	ener Law Firm
	Robert Kidd, City	Attorney's Office
	Amy Bailey, City Clerk	

The meeting was called to order at 6:07 pm by Mr. Karpoff. In the absence of a Chair, Mr. Karpoff served as facilitator for the first meeting.

Welcome

Council President Ken Sanchez and Council Vice-President Trudy Jones welcomed the Committee members and expressed gratitude for their willingness to serve.

Introductions

Mr. Karpoff introduced himself and welcomed all members of the Committee. Committee members and staff introduced themselves and spoke briefly regarding their experience and professional backgrounds.

As a procedural matter, Mr. Karpoff asked the Committee members how they would like to address each other during meetings. Committee members responded they preferred addressing one another on an informal first name basis.

Overview of the Resolution

Mr. Zaman gave an overview of the authorizing legislation for the Committee, the Committee structure and responsibilities, and the process timeline.

In reviewing the process timeline, Mr. Zaman stated the Committee will not have the 2010 census data at the time of making its recommendations, but Mr. Sharp will provide preliminary data to work from. When the final data is released by the Census, the Committee can modify their preliminary plans. Once the Committee receives the final census data, the resolution gives the Committee fifteen days to take the final data and filter it back through the preliminary plans and come up with final recommendations to the Council.

Mr. Zaman noted that all meetings of the Committee are public meetings and any documents distributed at the meetings are subject to the Inspection of Public Records Act. He added that the resolution states that meetings will generally be conducted in accordance with the Council Rules of Procedure.

Mr. Zaman pointed out that the resolution requires a majority vote for its final recommendation, but he added that the resolution also allows for a minority report.

Mr. Gurule requested information from the City Charter regarding the 2000 population data by Council district.

A copy of Mr. Zaman's presentation is attached to these minutes.

Overview of the Process

Mr. Sharp reviewed the process and guidelines for collecting, presenting and managing data, and the milestone dates. Mr. Sharp discussed the census data that will be used for the preliminary plans. He stated that a database will be built using the estimated population data and preliminary plans will be developed based on that data and from input received by the Committee.

With regard to procedure and disclosure, Mr. Sharp pointed out that there may be a number of plans proposed by the Committee members and he wanted to make it clear that each Committee member will be credited with their plan suggestions. He added that plan proposals should go through him as a single repository, allowing him to input them into the system and present them to the Committee. As a matter of logistics, he feels it is simpler to have them all reside in one location.

Mr. Sharp stated the final 2010 census data can be released within a two month window, but he expects it to be released within the last two weeks of March.

Mr. Sharp stated that when the final census data is released, the Committee will then take that data and apply it to the preliminary plans and adjustments will be made to the plans based on constitutional and legal requirements. Once the final census data is received, the Committee will have fifteen days to submit their final redistricting recommendation to the City Council. He anticipates all preliminary work should be completed by March 1. He added that April 1 is the drop dead deadline for release of the final census data and that theoretically, the last day the Committee can give its recommendation to the City Council is April 15.

A copy of Mr. Sharp's outline is attached to these minutes.

Operational/Procedural Guidelines

Ms. Arnold-Jones asked if the voter registration information from the City Clerk was available and if that information is predictive enough. Mr. Sharp responded that the voter registration information is not predictive enough because voter registration patterns vary so much from one part of the city to another. He does not anticipate using voter registration data at all.

Ms. Ortsman asked about receiving information regarding redistricting guidelines. Mr. Stelzner responded that he would be happy to get the Committee an abbreviated version of the guidelines, as the entire version is extremely lengthy. He will provide it within the next couple of weeks. He will also get information to the Committee regarding where a full version can be obtained if any of the Committee members wish to see it in its entirety. Mr. Martinez noted the need for population data in order to accomplish the work of the Committee. Mr. Sharp responded that he will have his estimated population redistricting database put together by the end of November., which will be a table at precinct level with all the demographics that the Committee will use to redistrict.

Mr. Karpoff raised several questions for discussion with regard to procedural operations and guidelines for the Committee to follow, including public involvement, media relations and gathering of information.

Ms. Lopez suggested that in addition to the Committee's regular meetings, there should be one meeting held in each Council District to involve and engage the public and receive their input. Mr. Barnett pointed out the compressed timeline and pointed out that the frequency of meetings and time commitment being discussed would be considerable. Mr. Johnson suggested consolidating a few of the community meetings, possibly combining 3 districts into one meeting.

Mr. Martinez stated that communication is very important when involving the public. He feels that Mr. Sharp should be the central repository of information.

Ms. Arnold-Jones suggested the meetings be held in the Chambers and include a public comment period. Ms. Mason pointed out that the City Council shares the usage of the Chambers with other entities, but staff would check with the Chambers scheduler in the morning.

Election of a Chair and Vice-Chair

Mr. Karpoff called for nominations for Chair. Mr. Stratton nominated Ms. Arnold-Jones. Ms. Lopez nominated Roberta M. Rael. Mr. Gurule moved that nominations cease and the Committee go to a vote, Mr. Stratton seconded. The motion to cease nominations passed unanimously. Ms. Ortega called the roll in order of Council District. Ms. Arnold-Jones was elected to serve as Chair on a 5-4 vote as follows:

Ms. Arnold Jones	Roberta M. Rael
Bob Gurule, District 4	Linda Lopez, District 1
Sander Rue, District 5	Roberta M. Rael, District 2
Janice Arnold-Jones, District 7	Claudia Isaac, District 3
Hal Stratton, District 8	Michael Montoya, District 6
Mickey Barnett, District 9	

Mr. Karpoff called for nominations for Vice-Chair. Ms. Lopez nominated Ms. Rael. There were no other nominations. Mr. Gurule moved to cease nominations and that Ms. Rael be elected by acclamation. The motion was seconded by Mr. Rue. The motion passed unanimously. Ms. Rael was elected to serve as Vice-Chair.

Next Steps

The Committee had discussion regarding which night of the week would be preferable to conduct their meetings. After discussion, the Committee decided to hold their meetings on Thursday's for the months of November and December and will revisit this matter at a later date. The Committee scheduled their next three meetings on November 18, December 2 and December 16.

Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 8:02 pm.

Summary of Public Comment

Date	Location	Name	Comment Personal Redistricting Proposal, Tried to maintain district cores, District 3 across the River, District 2 moved South,
11-Aug-11		Mr. Hendrickson	Deviations in 2,3 Communities of Interests, Neighborhood Associations and proposed districts, Overlap and Grouping of N.A.s, Bigger
11-Aug-11		Mr. Hardy	Maps for greater detail Disappointed Redistricting postponed 1 month, more
25-Aug-11		Art Gonzales	representation needed on west side
25-Aug-11		Louis Tafoya	Like plans with District 3 on West Side
-	-	Alan Swartz	
25-Aug-11		Nancy Montano	Likes Plan L
25-Aug-11		-	LINCSTIAITE
25-Aug-11		Vic Segura	Importance of 2 Full Westside Districts Lice River as district
1212 I.F. 1928			Importance of 3 Full Westside Districts - Use River as district
25-Aug-11		Cheryl Harris	boundaries
25-Aug-11		Candelaria Patterson	Likes Plans D, J, & L
Ŭ			From South Valley, Urge not to short term San Mateo, Long Term solutions needed, More representation on West Side
25-Aug-11		Andrys Lazo	needed Downtown Area - Keep Downtown Neighborhoods in 1 district,
8-Sep-11		Ralph Lavine	Dividing downtown would dilute representation President of Historic NA in District 3, Keep District 3 in historic
8-Sep-11		Christopher Frishet	Neighborhoods of ABQ, Benefits all of ABQ
			From Navajo Mainstreet, Member of Navajo NA, Majority of Maps combine District 6 and Mesa del Sol, Conflict between
8-Sep-11		Susan Bishee	two areas, M & N divide Navajo NA, Too many maps Representing District 7, Keep as much District 7 intact as
8-Sep-11		Ben Martin	possible: A, G, or L favored Huning Highland NA, Support Historic parts of ABQ in District
8-Sep-11		Bonnie Anderson	3, Need voice that will adovacte for historic Nas East Gateway NA Coalition, Neighborhoods north of I-40 different than those south of I-40: North-Tramway-Bike-Lomas
1-Sep-11		Roger Nickleson	Library, South-Tijeras Arroyo, Kirtland Member of Fairheights NA in District 7, Do NOT like Plan C, Neigborhoods near UNM/Core of city, Older Urban
1-Sep-11		Patrick Scott	Neighborhood, Promote Walkability/Transit, State Fair Saddles A Neighborhood, Old Neighborhood, In District that
1-Sep-11		Steven Abeyta	shares interest, Conflict with West Side, President of NA, Keep Martineztwon, Barelas, etc. intact,
1-Sep-11		Esther Abeyta	Don't want to see communities broken up, For refugees and immigrants, very wounded community, keep
1-Sep-11		Enrica Cardell	community together Tally Market Owners-SE Heights, Tied closely with community, Dislike Plan I, Burden to work with City Council,
1-Sep-11		Victor	Focus efforts in SE Heights
1-Sep-11		Lynne Martin	Retain District 7 core, Favor of A, G, and L
1-Sep-11		Han Qin	Asian Center in International District, Temples, Vietnamese refugee worker, Board of Directors for Catholic Charities, International District is community of interest

1-Sep-11	Nancy Burce	President of NA 6 Coalition, Speaking for International District Neighborhoods, Keep political voice whole Indian School and Morris, District 9, Grew up -Carlisle & Candelaria, Not much in common with 4-Hills, Like Plan A, B,
1-Sep-11	Janet Saiers	C-goofy, E-good Favor Plan A, H, or M; A-Keeps Council cores,
1-Sep-11	Mich Block	Gerrymandering by District 8, SW Organizing Project, Redraw to represent ethnic population, move Dist 8 to westside, 5 majority-minority
1-Sep-11	George Lujan	districts, Allow good demographic representation Elect Tallman to City Council, District 4 Boundaries - Put
21-Sep-11	Cheryl Harris	Tallman out of District 4, Keep Challengers in Mind McDuffie Twin-Parks NA President, Supports A, G, & L,
21-Sep-11	Lori Moye	Maintain NA's in District 7
21-Sep-11	Pam Fraser-Walters	Longtime La Salle Grande NA member in District 7, Far eastern edge of District 7, Loma del Rey to N, Stay together