



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

KATARI WHORTON (GEORGE GARCIA, AGENT) request(s) a special exception to Section Pg. 65 2. ref 14-16-2-9(B) and 14-16-2-6(B)(3): a CONDITIONAL USE to allow for an existing carport in the side yard setback area on all or a portion of Lot(s) 9, PARKSITO zoned R-D, located at 9701 FIRMAN CT SW (L-9)

Special Exception No:..... **09ZHE-80391**
Project No:..... **Project# 1008076**
Hearing Date: 05-18-10
Closing of Public Record: 05-18-10
Date of Decision: 05-21-10

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The applicant, Katari Whorton, requests a conditional use to allow for an existing carport in the side yard setback area. Mr. Garcia testified, at the hearing, that he has resided on this property for approximately five years. It is owned by his daughter, Katari Whorton. Mr. Garcia indicated that this is an attractive carport and conforms to the architectural scheme of the home. This is a single carport. There are other carports in the area. The applicant was advised that any water runoff from the carport must be onto his/her property and may not impact the adjacent neighbor. There is a letter in the file from the Traffic Engineer's Office that indicates no obstruction to the clear sight requirements. The applicant was advised that, if approved, clear sight must be maintained and that vehicles, dumpsters, or landscaping that may mature and cause an obstruction to clear sight may not be placed next to this carport. The yellow signs were posted. There was no opposition to this request at the hearing, nor is there any opposition noted in the file. The conditional use requires that the applicant demonstrate that the proposed use will not cause injury to the neighborhood, adjacent property, or the community nor be damaged by surrounding structures or activities. The applicant has so demonstrated.

Based on all of the testimony and a review of the entire file, it is determined that there is substantial evidence to make the following findings and conclusions:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS: I find that this request complies with Section 14.16. 4. 2. (C). 1., for the granting of a conditional use upon a finding that the proposed use will not cause injury to the neighborhood, adjacent property or the community, nor will it be damaged by surrounding structures. For reasons stated above, this request is approved.

DECISION: Approved with conditions.

CONDITIONS: The applicant must comply with any and all requirements made by the City Traffic Engineer in that clear sight must be maintained. Vehicles, dumpsters, or

landscaping that may mature and cause an obstruction to clear sight may not be placed next to this carport.

The approval is subject to the submitted site plan, as required. Any substantial changes to the site plan, as determined by the Zoning Enforcement Division, shall require the scheduling of an additional Public Hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so by 5:00 p.m., on June 7, 2010 in the manner described below:


Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B)., of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.


You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Roberto Albertorio, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
Katari Whorton, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121
George Garcia, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

KATARI WHORTON (GEORGE GARCIA, AGENT) request(s) a special exception to Section 14-16-3-3(B)(2)(b): a VARIANCE of 1' to the 15' corner lot requirement where the rear yard abuts the front yard of a residentially-zoned lot for an existing shed on all or a portion of Lot(s) 9, PARKSITO zoned R-D, located at 9701 FIRMAN CT SW (L-9)

Special Exception No:..... **10ZHE-80096**
Project No: **Project# 1008076**
Hearing Date: 05-18-10
Closing of Public Record: 05-18-10
Date of Decision: 05-21-10

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The applicant, Katari Whorton, requests a variance of 1' to the 15' corner lot requirement where the rear yard abuts the front yard of a residentially zoned lot for an existing shed. Mr. Garcia testified, at the hearing, that he has resided on this property for approximately five years. It is owned by his daughter, Katari Whorton. Mr. Garcia indicated that his lot is exceptional because of its irregular size and shape. There are two existing sheds on the property that are placed too close to the existing dwelling and also too close to each other. The yellow sign was posted. There was no opposition to this request at the hearing, nor is there any opposition noted in the file.

Based on all of the testimony and a review of the entire file, it is determined that there is substantial evidence to make the following findings and conclusions:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS: I find that this parcel is exceptional as compared to other parcels in the vicinity due to its irregular size and shape and, therefore, it meets the test for the granting of a variance as provided for by Section 14. 16. 4. 2. I further find that, as a result of the exceptional aspect of the property, the regulations produce an unnecessary hardship in that it will limit the owner's reasonable use of the property and/or deprive the owner of a reasonable return on the property. Furthermore, I find that the particular variance is appropriate to prevent the unnecessary hardship and further find that the variance will differ from development which will be permitted under the existing regular zoning regulations no more than what is necessary to overcome the unnecessary hardship. Finally, the variance will not significantly interfere with the enjoyment of other land in the vicinity and is consistent with the spirit of the Zoning Ordinance, substantial justice and the general public interest.

DECISION: Approved.

The approval is subject to the submitted site plan, as required. Any substantial changes to the site plan, as determined by the Zoning Enforcement Division, shall require the scheduling of an additional Public Hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so by 5:00 p.m., on June 7, 2010 in the manner described below:


Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B)., of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.


You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Roberto Albertorio', is written over a horizontal line.

Roberto Albertorio, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
Katari Whorton, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121
George Garcia, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

KATARI WHORTON (GEORGE GARCIA, AGENT) request(s) a special exception to Section 14-16-3-3(B)(2)(e): a VARIANCE of 9' 2" to the 10' distance separation requirement between an existing shed and a dwelling unit on all or a portion of Lot(s) 9, PARKSITO zoned R-D, located at 9701 FIRMAN CT SW (L-9)

Special Exception No:..... **10ZHE-80097**
Project No: **Project# 1008076**
Hearing Date: 05-18-10
Closing of Public Record: 05-18-10
Date of Decision: 05-21-10

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The applicant, Katari Whorton, requests a variance of 9' 2" to the 10' distance separation requirement between an existing shed and a dwelling unit. Mr. Garcia testified, at the hearing, that he has resided on this property for approximately five years. It is owned by his daughter, Katari Whorton. Mr. Garcia indicated that his lot is exceptional because of its irregular size and shape. There are two existing sheds on the property that are placed too close to the existing dwelling and also too close to each other. The yellow sign was posted. There was no opposition to this request at the hearing, nor is there any opposition noted in the file.

Based on all of the testimony and a review of the entire file, it is determined that there is substantial evidence to make the following findings and conclusions:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS: I find that this parcel is exceptional as compared to other parcels in the vicinity due to its irregular size and shape and, therefore, it meets the test for the granting of a variance as provided for by Section 14. 16. 4. 2. I further find that, as a result of the exceptional aspect of the property, the regulations produce an unnecessary hardship in that it will limit the owner's reasonable use of the property and/or deprive the owner of a reasonable return on the property. Furthermore, I find that the particular variance is appropriate to prevent the unnecessary hardship and further find that the variance will differ from development which will be permitted under the existing regular zoning regulations no more than what is necessary to overcome the unnecessary hardship. Finally, the variance will not significantly interfere with the enjoyment of other land in the vicinity and is consistent with the spirit of the Zoning Ordinance, substantial justice and the general public interest.

DECISION: Approved.

The approval is subject to the submitted site plan, as required. Any substantial changes to the site plan, as determined by the Zoning Enforcement Division, shall require the scheduling of an additional Public Hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so by 5:00 p.m., on June 7, 2010 in the manner described below:


Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B)., of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Roberto Albertorio, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
Katari Whorton, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121
George Garcia, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

KATARI WHORTON (GEORGE GARCIA, AGENT) request(s) a special exception to Section 14-16-3-3(B)(2)(e): a VARIANCE of 9" to the 5' distance separation requirement between an existing shed to another existing accessory structure on all or a portion of Lot(s) 9, PARKSITO zoned R-D, located at 9701 FIRMAN CT SW (L-9)

Special Exception No:..... **10ZHE-80098**
Project No: **Project# 1008076**
Hearing Date: 05-18-10
Closing of Public Record: 05-18-10
Date of Decision: 05-21-10

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The applicant, Katari Whorton, requests a variance of 9" to the 5' distance separation requirement between an existing shed to another existing accessory structure. Mr. Garcia testified, at the hearing, that he has resided on this property for approximately five years. It is owned by his daughter, Katari Whorton. Mr. Garcia indicated that his lot is exceptional because of its irregular size and shape. There are two existing sheds on the property that are placed too close to the existing dwelling and also too close to each other. The yellow sign was posted. There was no opposition to this request at the hearing, nor is there any opposition noted in the file.

Based on all of the testimony and a review of the entire file, it is determined that there is substantial evidence to make the following findings and conclusions:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS: I find that this parcel is exceptional as compared to other parcels in the vicinity due to its irregular size and shape and, therefore, it meets the test for the granting of a variance as provided for by Section 14. 16. 4. 2. I further find that, as a result of the exceptional aspect of the property, the regulations produce an unnecessary hardship in that it will limit the owner's reasonable use of the property and/or deprive the owner of a reasonable return on the property. Furthermore, I find that the particular variance is appropriate to prevent the unnecessary hardship and further find that the variance will differ from development which will be permitted under the existing regular zoning regulations no more than what is necessary to overcome the unnecessary hardship. Finally, the variance will not significantly interfere with the enjoyment of other land in the vicinity and is consistent with the spirit of the Zoning Ordinance, substantial justice and the general public interest.

DECISION: Approved.

The approval is subject to the submitted site plan, as required. Any substantial changes to the site plan, as determined by the Zoning Enforcement Division, shall require the scheduling of an additional Public Hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so by 5:00 p.m., on June 7, 2010 in the manner described below:


Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B)., of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Roberto Albertorio, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
Katari Whorton, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121
George Garcia, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121



CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
ZONING HEARING EXAMINER
NOTIFICATION OF DECISION

KATARI WHORTON (GEORGE GARCIA, AGENT) request(s) a special exception to Section 14-16-3-3(B)(2)(d): a VARIANCE of 10' to the 10' distance separation requirement between an existing shed and a dwelling unit on all or a portion of Lot(s) 9, PARKSITO zoned R-D, located at 9701 FIRMAN CT SW (L-9)

Special Exception No:..... **10ZHE-80099**
Project No: **Project# 1008076**
Hearing Date: 05-18-10
Closing of Public Record: 05-18-10
Date of Decision: 05-21-10

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The applicant, Katari Whorton, requests a variance of 10' to the 10' distance separation requirement between an existing shed and a dwelling unit. Mr. Garcia testified, at the hearing, that he has resided on this property for approximately five years. It is owned by his daughter, Katari Whorton. Mr. Garcia indicated that his lot is exceptional because of its irregular size and shape. There are two existing sheds on the property that are placed too close to the existing dwelling and also too close to each other. The yellow sign was posted. There was no opposition to this request at the hearing, nor is there any opposition noted in the file.

Based on all of the testimony and a review of the entire file, it is determined that there is substantial evidence to make the following findings and conclusions:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS: I find that this parcel is exceptional as compared to other parcels in the vicinity due to its irregular size and shape and, therefore, it meets the test for the granting of a variance as provided for by Section 14. 16. 4. 2. I further find that, as a result of the exceptional aspect of the property, the regulations produce an unnecessary hardship in that it will limit the owner's reasonable use of the property and/or deprive the owner of a reasonable return on the property. Furthermore, I find that the particular variance is appropriate to prevent the unnecessary hardship and further find that the variance will differ from development which will be permitted under the existing regular zoning regulations no more than what is necessary to overcome the unnecessary hardship. Finally, the variance will not significantly interfere with the enjoyment of other land in the vicinity and is consistent with the spirit of the Zoning Ordinance, substantial justice and the general public interest.

DECISION: Approved.

The approval is subject to the submitted site plan, as required. Any substantial changes to the site plan, as determined by the Zoning Enforcement Division, shall require the scheduling of an additional Public Hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, you may do so by 5:00 p.m., on June 7, 2010 in the manner described below:


Appeal is to the Board of Appeals within 15 days of the decision. A filing fee of \$105.00 shall accompany each appeal application, as well as a written explanation outlining the reason for appeal and a copy of the ZHE decision. Appeals are taken at 600 2nd Street, Plaza Del Sol Building, Ground Level, Planning Application Counter located on the west side of the lobby. **Please present this letter of notification when filing an appeal.** When an application is withdrawn, the fee shall not be refunded.

An appeal shall be heard by the Board of Appeals within 45 days of the appeal period and concluded within 75 days of the appeal period. The Planning Division shall give written notice of an appeal, together with a notice of the date, time and place of the hearing to the applicant, a representative of the opponents, if any are known, and the appellant.

Please note that pursuant to Section 14. 16. 4. 4. (B)., of the City of Albuquerque Comprehensive Zoning Code, you must demonstrate that you have legal standing to file an appeal as defined.

You will receive notice if any other person files an appeal. If there is no appeal, you can receive building permits any time after the appeal deadline quoted above, provided all conditions imposed at the time of approval have been met. However, the Zoning Hearing Examiner may allow issuance of building permits if the public hearing produces no objection of any kind to the approval of an application. To receive this approval, the applicant agrees in writing to return the building permit or occupation tax number.

Successful applicants are reminded that other regulations of the City must be complied with, even after approval of a special exception is secured. This decision does not constitute approval of plans for a building permit. If your application is approved, bring this decision with you when you apply for any related building permit or occupation tax number. Approval of a conditional use or a variance application is void after one year from date of approval if the rights and privileges are granted, thereby have not been executed or utilized.



Roberto Albertorio, Esq.
Zoning Hearing Examiner

cc: Zoning Enforcement
ZHE File
Katari Whorton, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121
George Garcia, 9701 Firman Court SW, 87121