



CITIZEN POLICE COMPLAINTS JANUARY

STATUS



FINDINGS



Legend

Received: This reflects the total number of complaints persons filed with the IRO against the police from January to prior month

Inactivated: The IRO closed these complaints without conducting a full investigation. This was done for many reasons. Some cases are inactivated/closed because the IRO did not have jurisdiction to investigate the complaint. i.e. the officer was not employed by APD, or the complaint was filed greater than 90 after the incident. In other cases, the IRO inactivated/closed cases because the matter resolved through a mediated agreement, either formally or informally.

Closed: The number of complaints which the IRO conducted a thorough and impartial investigation and made findings for each alleged violation of APD's Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). Standard Operating Procedures are the rules which APD police officers must follow.

Sustained: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the allegation was supported by sufficient or enough evidence.

Not Sustained: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that there was insufficient or not enough evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.

Unfounded, This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the allegation was false or not based on valid facts.


Exonerated: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the incident that occurred was lawful or proper.

Total: Each complaint may allege more than one SOP violation and may involve more than one officer. The IRO separately investigated each allegation against each officer and made findings on all of these alleged violations of SOPs. Therefore the total number of alleged SOP violations would be greater than the number of total complaints received.

INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS

JANUARY

STATUS



Legend

Opened: Cases that were received to be investigated

Closed: Investigations that were completed to their fullest extent

Inactivated: Cases that were found to not need a full investigation

Total: Complete workload; the sum of the opened, closed, and inactivated cases


Sustained: Violations of Standard Operating Procedure were found

Not Sustained: Not enough evidence presented to prove there was a violation or not


Unfounded: Violation was unproved; baseless, did not occur

Exonerated: Act was within Standard Operating Procedure and laws; cleared of wrongdoing

FINDINGS



USE OF FORCE JANUARY



Legend

Received: is equal to the number of Use of Force forms that had an incident date within the noted time frame

Reasonable: Use of Force was found to be within Standard Operating Procedures

Sustained: Use of Force was found to not be within Standard Operating Procedures


Investigate Pending: Use of Force forms that are currently being investigated to determine if the Use of Force was or was not within Standard Operating Procedure

Investigate: Total number of Use of Force forms that required additional investigation


****Use of Force graph is different from Internal Investigations and Citizen Police Complaints because the data comes from a Standard Operating Procedure mandated form that is filled out when a Use of Force occurs****

CITIZEN POLICE COMPLAINTS JANUARY THROUGH FEBRUARY

STATUS



FINDINGS



Legend

Received: This reflects the total number of complaints persons filed with the IRO against the police from January to prior month

Inactivated: The IRO closed these complaints without conducting a full investigation. This was done for many reasons. Some cases are inactivated/closed because the IRO did not have jurisdiction to investigate the complaint. i.e. the officer was not employed by APD, or the complaint was filed greater than 90 after the incident. In other cases, the IRO inactivated/closed cases because the matter resolved through a mediated agreement, either formally or informally.

Closed: The number of complaints which the IRO conducted a thorough and impartial investigation and made findings for each alleged violation of APD's Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). Standard Operating Procedures are the rules which APD police officers must follow.

Sustained: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the allegation was supported by sufficient or enough evidence.

Not Sustained: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that there was insufficient or not enough evidence to prove or disprove the allegation.


Unfounded: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the allegation was false or not based on valid facts..

Exonerated: This means that after conducting a thorough and impartial investigation, the IRO found that the incident that occurred was lawful or proper.

Total: Each complaint may allege more than one SOP violation and may involve more than one officer. The IRO separately investigated each allegation against each officer and made findings on all of these alleged violations of SOPs. Therefore the total number of alleged SOP violations would be greater than the number of total complaints received.

INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS JANUARY THROUGH FEBRUARY

STATUS



Legend

Opened: Cases that were received to be investigated

Closed: Investigations that were completed to their fullest extent

Inactivated: Cases that were found to not need a full investigation

Total: Complete workload; the sum of the opened, closed, and inactivated cases


Sustained: Violations of Standard Operating Procedure were found

Not Sustained: Not enough evidence presented to prove there was a violation or not


Unfounded: Violation was unproved; baseless, did not occur

Exonerated: Act was within Standard Operating Procedure and laws; cleared of wrongdoing

FINDINGS



USE OF FORCE JANUARY THROUGH FEBRUARY



Legend

Received: is equal to the number of Use of Force forms that had an incident date within the noted time frame

Reasonable: Use of Force was found to be within Standard Operating Procedures

Sustained: Use of Force was found to not be within Standard Operating Procedures

Investigate Pending: Use of Force forms that are currently being investigated to determine if the Use of Force was or was not within Standard Operating Procedure

Investigate: Total number of Use of Force forms that required additional investigation

****Use of Force graph is different from Internal Investigations and Citizen Police Complaints because the data comes from a Standard Operating Procedure mandated form that is filled out when a Use of Force occurs****