



City of Albuquerque
Mayor/CAO

Independent Review Office
of the
Police Oversight Commission

2010
Second Quarterly Report

Matthew Archuleta, Chair

Bambi Folk, Vice Chair

William Deaton

Independent Review Officer

Police Oversight Commissioners

Matthew Archuleta, Chair

Bambi Folk, Vice Chair

Hank P. Cadena

Scott Carreathers

Bob Francis

Linda Martinez

Don Peterson

Steve Smothermon

Independent Review Office Staff

William W. Deaton, Independent Review Officer

Valerie Morales, Senior Administrative Assistant

Trey Flynt, Investigator

Diane McDermott, Investigator

Paul Skotchdopole, Investigator

Table of Contents

Chairman's Perspective

Executive Summary

- I. Responsibilities of the POC and IRO**
- II. Processing Complaints Against The Police**
- III. Timeliness of Investigating Citizen Complaints**
- IV. Sustained Allegations**
- V. Detailed Complaint Information**

Chairman's Perspective

Chairman's Perspective
2010 Second Quarterly Report

Chairman's Perspective

The Police Oversight Commission (POC) is an appointed body responsible for listening to complaints from members of the general public who feel they have been mistreated by the Albuquerque Police Department. A Citizens Police Complaint is reviewed by the Independent Review Office (IRO), assigned to an investigator, and impartially investigated. If the citizen doesn't agree with the finding of the IRO they may appeal to the POC. On the appeal, the commissioners listen to the case as the complainant presents his or her grievance, and then the investigation is presented by the IRO as well as the Police Department. The POC makes its decision bases on the evidence presented and the decision is solely based on whether or not the Police violated Standard Operating Procedures (SOP).

Recently Officer have responded to our encouragement to fully utilize their belt tape recorders. Their increased use of belt tapes facilitates complaint investigation and our decision process. The overall investigation and review process allows the POC to suggest ways in which Officers can be more effective and well as explaining to complainants the protocols governing APD Officers.

We are privileged to serve our community on the Police Oversight Commission. Under our continuing review and supervision and the IRO staff conducts thorough and profession investigations. As a part of the Police Oversight process in conjunction with his duties as Chief of Police, Chief Schultz has consistently taken appropriate corrective and disciplinary actions to insure that the citizens of Albuquerque will have a police department of the highest quality.

Respectfully Submitted,
Matthew Archuleta, Chairman

Executive Summary

Mission Statement

The mission of the Police Oversight Commission (POC) is to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of all citizen complaints brought by individuals against the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), and to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices and procedures. William Deaton, the Independent Review Officer (IRO) works with the POC and APD to ensure that (1) citizen complaints are thoroughly investigated, (2) citizens have a fair opportunity to appeal the results, and (3) APD policies are changed to prevent the recurrence of problems identified through the complaint process.

Complaints Filed During 2010

There were 129 complaints filed in First and Second Quarter of 2010.

Appeals

Eight appeals were scheduled by the Police Oversight Commission in First and Second Quarter of 2010. The POC agreed with the IRO and the Chief of Police on all of the appeal findings.

Policy Reviews

The Long Term Planning Committee reviews all complaints where the IRO and the Chief disagree before the cases are heard by the full POC. The committee also reviews all IRO reports on police shootings and makes a recommendation to the POC.

INFORMATION CONCERNING THE PROCESS

I. Responsibilities of the POC and IRO

The purpose of the police oversight system is to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of all citizen complaints brought by individuals against the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), and to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices and procedures.

The Police Oversight Commission

(POC) is composed of nine volunteers who broadly represent the diversity of the City. The POC has been charged to perform the following functions.

1. To promote a spirit of accountability and communication between the citizens and APD while improving community relations and enhancing public confidence.
2. To oversee the full investigation and/or mediation of all citizen complaints; audit and monitor all investigations and/or police shootings under investigation by APD's Internal Affairs (IA).

3. To gain the cooperation of APD and solicit public input by holding regularly scheduled public meetings.
4. To review all work of the Independent Review Office (IRO) with respect to quality, thoroughness, and impartiality of investigations.
5. To submit periodic reports to the Mayor and City Council.
6. To submit all findings to the Chief of Police.
7. To engage in a long-term planning process through which it identifies major problems and establishes a program of policy suggestions and studies each year.

The Long Term Planning Committee:

The Long Term Planning Committee (LTPC) of the POC consists of three commissioners and is chaired by Bambi Folk. These meetings are open to the public. The LTPC conducts detailed reviews of issues referred to them by the POC.

The Independent Review Officer, William W. Deaton, is an inactive attorney who manages the Independent Review Office and its staff. The IRO is given autonomy and performs the following duties under the direction of the POC.

1. The IRO receives all citizen complaints directed against APD and any of its officers. The IRO will review the citizen complaints and assign them to be investigated by IRO independent investigators or by Internal Affairs.
2. The IRO will oversee, monitor and review all of those investigations and make findings for each. These findings are reviewed by the chain of command of the Albuquerque Police Department and, if agreement is reached by the Chief of Police and the Independent Review Officer, forwarded to the POC for their approval. When there is no agreement between APD and the IRO, the matter is presented to the Police Oversight Commission as a “non-concurrence”, and the Commission then makes its findings.
3. The IRO makes recommendations and gives advice regarding APD policies and procedures to the POC, City Council, APD, and the Mayor.
4. An impartial system of mediation may be used for certain complaints.
5. The IRO monitors all claims of excessive force and police shootings and is an ex-officio member of the Claims Review Board.
6. The IRO ensures that all investigations are thorough, objective, fair, impartial, and free from political influence.
7. The Independent Review Office maintains and compiles information sufficient to satisfy the POC’s quarterly reporting requirements.
8. The IRO and his/her staff shall play an active public role in the community and provide appropriate outreach to the community; publicizing the citizen complaint process and the locations within the community that are suitable for citizens to file complaints in a non-police environment.

II. Processing Complaints Against the Police

Any person may file a written complaint against APD or any of its officers. All complaints must be signed by the complainant as required by the union contract.

These written complaints can be sent to:

- a. The IRO's website at www.cabq.gov/iro.
- b. At the IRO office at Room 813, Plaza del Sol, 600 2nd St, NW.
- c. Mail to IRO, P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103, or
- d. Internal Affairs, Albuquerque Police Department.

Complaint forms and the Ordinance establishing the POC and the IRO are available on the IRO website (see above). Complaint forms are also available at the IRO office, at City libraries, homeless shelters, police substations, and the Internal Affairs Unit of APD. The complaints may be filed with the city staff. All complaints will be forwarded to the IRO.

When the IRO receives a complaint, the complaint is entered into the IRO's case management database and assigned a unique Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) number. The IRO reviews the complaint and assigns the case to the IRO investigators or Internal Affairs. Upon completion of the investigation, the IRO reviews the investigation for thoroughness, impartiality, and fairness. The IRO will also consider and determine recommendations by the investigators as to which APD Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) the citizen alleged to be violated; and what are the appropriate findings and conclusions based on the evidence developed in the investigation. Findings are based on a preponderance of the evidence.

Definitions of complaint dispositions are as follows:

1. **Sustained:** APD Member is determined to have committed the alleged violation.
2. **Not Sustained:** It cannot be determined by a preponderance of the evidence whether the member did or did not commit the alleged violation.
3. **Unfounded:** Member did not commit the alleged violation.
4. **Exonerated:** Member was justified in taking the course of action alleged and/or member was operating with the guidelines of the law or SOPs.
5. **Inactivated:** Complaint was determined to not merit further investigation. Complaints can be inactivated for several reasons, including; failure to allege a violation of SOPs; submitting a complaint over 90 days after the incident, complaint is not against APD members, the APD member cannot be identified, or the case was successfully mediated.

Mediation has been very successful. Chief Schultz formalized a mediation program. Lieutenant Jan Olstad is in charge of the mediation program. Five selected lieutenants and sergeants received mediation training. Mediated complaints are generally inactivated. Therefore, the number of inactivated complaints has increased substantially.

The IRO's findings are reviewed by the Police chain of command and then, if there is agreement between the Chief of Police and the IRO; sent to the Police Oversight Commission in the form of a Public Record Letter to be sent to the citizen. If the Chief of Police disagrees with the IRO's findings, the POC decides the matter after hearing both sides. A Public Record Letter stating the findings is then sent to the complainant by certified mail. The Chief of Police has sole disciplinary authority over police department personnel.

If the citizen who filed the complaint is dissatisfied with the findings, he may appeal that decision to the POC. The appeal must be made within ten business days from the date the citizen receives the aforementioned public record letter from the IRO or POC. Appeals and non-concurrences are heard during the POC's monthly televised meetings which are open to the public. Upon appeal, the POC may adopt or change the findings and recommendations of the IRO and may make further recommendations to the Chief. If the citizen is still not satisfied with the action of the POC or the Chief of Police, the citizen may request a review by the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) within 10 business days.

This process is very transparent and it is subject to public scrutiny. The administration, city councilors, and citizens of Albuquerque have created a functioning and productive system of civilian oversight of the police department that they can be proud of. The passage of the amendments to the Police Oversight Ordinance in 2004 has improved the system. Our City Council has given us an ordinance which has put in place one of the best operating oversight systems in the United States and it is unique to Albuquerque.

III. Timeliness of Investigating Citizen Complaints

In 2010 we have received 129 complaints. With our three investigators, The IRO office has investigated almost all complaints. A few complaints have been referred to Internal Affairs for investigation. The IRO sought extensions of time for investigation in fewer than 2% of the cases received during 2010.

IV. Sustained Allegations

In 2001, 53 complaints were sustained. 39 were sustained in 2002, while eighteen of those cases were sustained in the last three months of 2002. 49 complaints were sustained from 2003 and 62 citizen complaints were sustained in 2004. Three police shooting investigations were sustained by the POC, but one was reversed on appeal by the CAO. In 2005, 68 citizen complaints and 8 police shootings have been sustained for procedural violations as of this report. In 2006, 73 complaints were sustained. In 2007, 89 complaints were sustained. In 2008, 48 complaints were sustained. In 2009, 55 complaints were sustained. In First and Second Quarter of 2010, 19 cases were sustained.

IV. Public Outreach

In Second Quarter of 2010 the IRO office designed a pamphlet discussing the IRO and POC process.

A massive e-mail was sent to all Neighborhood Associations letting them know about the IRO/POC. An invitation was also attached for the IRO to present at their meetings.

IRO staff went to public library branches and distributed pamphlets and complaint forms.

Geographic Distribution of Complaints

Following is a list of the number of CPCs by City Council District (after redistricting) and Neighborhood Association boundaries.


<u>City Council District</u>	<u>Neighborhood Association</u>	<u># of CPCs</u>
City Council District: 1	Unknown/NA	1
		Total: 1
City Council District: 2	Greater Gardner	1
	Near North Valley	1
		Total: 2
City Council District: 3	Notify Downtown List	2
	Santa Barbara Martinez Town	1
	South Broadway	1
	Sycamore	1
		Total: 5
City Council District: 4	Academy Acres North	1
	Del Norte	1
	Heritage Hills	1
	Unknown/NA	2
		Total: 5
City Council District: 5	Unknown/NA	2
		Total: 2
City Council District: 6	Fair West/South San Pedro	1
	La Mes	1
	La Mesa	3
	South Los Altos	1
	South San Pedro	5
	Trumbull Village	3
		Total: 14

Alleged SOP Violations


Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) provide written directives to guide and direct Department personnel in the performance of their duties. The specific narrative of the Standard Operating Procedures implicated by the citizen complaints submitted this year are set forth in Part V of this Report. The following is a general description of the types of SOP violations alleged in the complaints. This general description is provided for informational purposes only. Because officer attitude and language are a frequent source of complaint, details of those types of complaints have been listed. Please note some allegations fall into more than one category, or there may be more than one allegation per case.

Alleged SOP


General Description of SOP Violation




The following section indicates the outcome of a CPC investigation with regard to the number of findings for a SOP violation. The definition of findings is taken from § 3-43-12 of the SOP Manual. "IRO would find" indicates that during the review process the IRO suggested the specified SOP as a possible violation by the officer. Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)




Count by Month of Complaint




Count by Month of Incident




Count by Day of Week *




Count By Time of Day *




Count of Gender *




Count of Adult *







*Some cases had more than one citizen who brought the complaint



Count of Citizen Ethnicity *



Count of Officer Ethnicity



Cases received and reviewed – 2010

Summary of Citizen Complaint

Second Quarterly Report- 2010

The following is a list of Citizen Police Complaints (CPCs) submitted and reviewed during the year 2010. For each CPC there is listed a summary of the complaint as described by the citizen, the officer involved by numeric code, the implicated Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) for each officer involved, the investigative finding, the IRO's review and Chief of Police's decision. The investigator is indicated as either the IRO or IA.

The CPCs received this year are numbered 001-10 through 129-10 and are listed in Section A. Completed investigations are noted. Where the investigation has not been completed, the SOP and Finding indicate: "Pending". Where the investigation had been completed but not reviewed by the IRO, the IRO Review / Chief's Decision will indicate: "pending".

Cases from prior years that were reviewed this year, are listed in Section B.

The geographic location of each incident is indicated by APD Area Command, City Council District (after redistricting), and Neighborhood Association boundaries.

Section A: Cases received and reviewed this Quarter

CPC Summary of Complaint

001-10 The citizen was downtown on New Year's Eve when she was removed from a bar. The citizen claims that officers then knocked her down and arrested her without saying a word. The citizen claims the officer mistreated her.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-3A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Notify Downtown List	

002-10 Citizen was waiting for her daughter to pick her up after a movie downtown. She sat at a bench at the transportation center and was smoking a cigarette. The guard came up to her and told her to put it out and that she was loitering and if she didn't move he would call the police and have her arrested.

Officer Not an officer	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	Inactivated	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Not APD; Transit security. No jurisdiction.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

003-10 Officers were blocking citizen from getting to his parking area on a one way. The officer motioned for the citizen to turn around and go back the way he came which meant he would be driving the wrong way on a one way. The officers were talking to someone and it was not an emergency call.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint as required by APOA contract.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

004-10 Citizen parked at one of the private parking lots while making a court appearance. When he returned to his car he discovered it had a boot on it. The parking lot attendant told him he hadn't paid so he owed a \$50.00 fine. The citizen called APD. The officer responded and informed the citizen he could not do anything for him due to the lot being private property and signs were posted that stated there was a fine for failure to pay for a parking space.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

005-10 Complaint vague only list that three police cars somewhere near San Pedro and Central were "looking for something in Hispanic Communities" and the police cars were following "Hispanic Vehicles".

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No jurisdiction to investigate anonymous, unsigned complaints.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

006-10 Citizen was pulled over and cited. The officer yelled and the citizen and spit was hitting her while he was yelling at her.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint not signed as required by APOA contract.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

007-10 The citizen was having some sort of medical crisis and Rescue and APD responded. The citizen alleges an officer abused him before he was taken to the VA Hospital.

Officer South East Substation	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 2-52-02A *	Finding Unfounded
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6
Neighborhood Association South San Pedro	

008-10 The police went to citizen's to investigate a complaint of a loud party. Citizen was eventually placed under arrest and during the arrest, she was sprayed with mace. She complained that the officer used foul language by calling her a bad name and that the officer used excessive force during the arrest.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-04-4P § 1-39-1A 1&2 § 2-52-02A *	Finding Not Sustained Sustained Exonerated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Verbal Reprimand. IRO and Chief agreed.	
Area Command Northeast	Council District 4
Neighborhood Association Del Norte	

009-10 Citizen was arrested for domestic violence and the officer told her he didn't believe that she had assaulted her ex. He then told her she could do better and gave her a card with his number in case she ever wanted to have drinks or talk.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Over 90-day limitation.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

010-10 Citizen witnessed APD unit traveling 55mph in a 40mph zone.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

011-10 Citizen was stopped for an alleged traffic violation and claimed that the officer was rude and aggressive in her behavior towards him during that stop.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Not Sustained
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
Area Command Southwest	Council District 1
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

012-10 Citizen was involved in an accident with a Sergeant. At the scene both the sergeant and the investigating officer told her the accident was not her fault. However, when she received her report there were several errors and she believed the report assigned fault for the accident to her. She also had questions about the Department investigating its own accident.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-04-4A	Finding Sustained
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Written Reprimand.	
SOP § 2-24-3F 5	Finding Not Sustained
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
SOP § 1-04-4A	Finding Exonerated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
Area Command Northwest	Council District 5
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

013-10 Citizen's incarcerated girlfriend along with some assertions about a murder for profit incident. Citizen also stated that he had warned the U.S. Government about 9/11 and other things, but that no one would listen to him. He also stated that he had stopped the original Twin Towers bombings and that he had met with President Regan regarding top secret matters.

Officer Not an officer	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

014-10 Citizen unable to get to her home due to a stabbing that took place near her home. The officer would not let her go down the street. The citizen stated the officer was "authoritarian" to her.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

015-10 The officer went to citizen's house and threatened her with arrest due to a car accident.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Officer not able to be identified.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

016-10 Citizen attempted to call 242-COPS to report a burglary and no one answered that line. You then called 911 and was told to call the non-emergency number and again no one answered.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

017-10 Citizen went to the Southeast Area Command Police Substation to report that she had been sexually assaulted. She complains that several unidentified officers laughed at her and she was treated badly. Though it is unclear from the complaint, it appears that citizen also alleged that the officers used force on her resulting in injuries to her hand, neck, and back.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP § 1-04-1F § 2-52-02A *	Finding Exonerated Exonerated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Trumbull Village

018-10 Officer used her position to go into a secured area at Para Los Ninos. Protocol is that visitors are to be escorted by personnel. Citizen stated that the officer knew of the protocol.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IA	
	SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed citizen satisfied with APD response.
Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Notify Downtown List

019-10 Citizen stated that the officer pulled him over, but failed to explain the reason why. When citizen questioned the officer as to the reason for the stop, the officer loudly and rudely shouted various violations to him which citizen did not understand. The officer issued three citations, shoving the clipboard in citizens' face and the officer berated him when he tried to explain. The officer then drove off in an unsafe manner.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP § 1-04-1F § 1-04-6N § 1-39-2B § 2-47-1B 3	Finding Not Sustained Not Sustained Sustained Sustained
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Verbal Reprimand. IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Verbal Reprimand.
Area Command Northeast	Council District 7	Neighborhood Association Uptown Progress Team

020-10 Citizen was cited for smoking Marijuana. He stated that he was allowed because he is Rastafarian.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew his complaint after citation dismissed in court.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
Santa Barbara Martinez Town

021-10 Citizen's ex-husband and current wife filed a criminal complaint against the citizen through an officer. The citizen was never questioned and the officer did not do a thorough investigation.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Due to 90-day limitation. A preliminary investigation conducted by IA. No SOP violation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

022-10 The complainant and several other citizens alleged Officer K was very rude when dealing with a domestic violence situation, made inappropriate comments and her presence escalated the situation rather than de-escalated things. The complainant and several other citizens felt the arrests of MG and to a greater extent, herself, were unwarranted.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Written reprimand.

§ 1-39-3B

Sustained

IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Written reprimand.

XXX

SOP
§ 1-02-2B 2

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

§ 1-39-1A 2

Sustained

IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Verbal reprimand.

§ 2-24-3F 5

Sustained

IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Verbal reprimand.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
Greater Gardner

023-10 The citizen alleged that when the officers responded to a reported Protection Order violation that the Sgt was rude and cursed at them and acted in an unprofessional manner.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-1F
§ 1-04-4P

Finding

Not Sustained
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northwest

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

024-10 Citizen observed an APD Police Car parked in the State Capitol Garage. When he inquired of the person driving the vehicle as to why APD was in Santa Fe, the driver replied that he was there to attend a hearing. The driver of the APD vehicle was with a female at the time. Citizen questions the use of an APD cruiser by off duty personnel outside of the City of Albuquerque for political purposes and he asked if the officer paid for parking.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-19-10D 1

§ 1-19-10I 1

Finding

Sustained

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed on findings.
Loss of Take Home Vehicle until end of probation.

IRO and Chief agreed on findings.
Loss of Take Home Vehicle until end of probation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

025-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

026-10 Citizen and husband were upset with the officer that went to their house, showing husband's ex-wife, where they lived. Both felt the officer did not read the custody court order correctly and did not make good decisions in how he handled the situation. Husband felt the officer's conduct was more of an issue in that the officer did not listen to what he had to say. Citizen also brought up an additional issue of concern about how personal information was revealed on the police report copy.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-4A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4O	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4U	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A 5	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

027-10 while citizen was at Wal-Mart he began to feel ill. Citizen asked an employee to call paramedics and he sat down. Citizen stated that a male APD officer and a female APD officer approached him threatened him, and made him leave the store to wait on the paramedics.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	Inactivated	Inactivated	Pending IRO and Chief agreed. Unable to identify officers.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

028-10 Citizen was treated rudely over the telephone by an officer from the Automated Enforcement Unit.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	Resolved in Mediation	Inactivated	IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

029-10 Citizen complained that the behavior on the part of two officers both at Graham Central Station and later at the IHOP restaurant. She claimed the officers were being very friendly with two women that appeared intoxicated. The citizen left and went to IHOP where the officers showed up followed soon after by the women. They were giggling and in particular, the younger officer was getting close to one of the females. The citizen claimed the scene was enough to cause the other patrons to stare. She felt the conduct was inappropriate since the officers were in uniform.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

030-10 The citizen was not home but officers came and made contact with his mother at his residence. She was transported to the hospital. The citizen alleged the officers left his apartment unlocked and either stole some items from him or the items were stolen due to their negligence in leaving the apartment unlocked.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South San Pedro

031-10 Citizen entered a blocked area through an alley. The officer didn't believe him and was rude to him.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew complaint.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

032-10 Citizen expressed concerns that your call to 242-COPS was not taken seriously and that the dispatcher seemed annoyed that citizen did not have all the pieces of information to answer the questions she asked.

Officer Civilian Employee	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

033-10 Citizen having ongoing problems with her neighbors and APD not protecting her and her son.

Officer All APD	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. 90-day
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

034-10 Citizen was involved in an accident on February 11, 2010, and could not obtain an accident report which she needed for insurance purposes.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

035-10 Citizen claimed that the officer's response to her son's situation was unprofessional and aggressive. Her son was injured without cause and the actions of the female officer in particular made the situation worse. The female officer used an unnecessary amount of force.

Officer XXX		Investigator: IRO	
	SOP § 1-39-1A 5 § 2-52-02A *	Finding Not Sustained Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-04-4F § 1-04-4P § 1-39-3B § 2-52-02A *	Finding Exonerated Not Sustained Sustained Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Verbal Reprimand. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Trumbull Village	

036-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)		Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South Los Altos	

037-10 Complainant alleged that officers failed to conduct a proper investigation of child abuse charges that were leveled against him by his ex-wife.

Officer Unidentified		Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed due to 90-day limitation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

038-10 Citizen claimed the detective assigned to his wife's auto burglary and illegal use of her credit cards was rude and dismissive with him on his various contacts with him. The detective did not do his job and look into his case, despite his helpful efforts. The detective's lieutenant also was dismissive with him and no one would return his phone calls when they should to keep him apprised of the investigation. Refer to CPC 256-09.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Refer to CPC 256-09. Same incident same allegations by citizen. A second investigation would be repetitive and unnecessary.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

039-10 Citizen was married to an officer and they have been divorced since 2005. Citizen claimed officer not abiding by the child custody agreement.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IA

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Civil matter should be handled in court.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

040-10 Unknown female officer had walked by citizen's house and his dogs had started to bark at the officer. You stated the officer drew her weapon and threatened to shoot the dogs.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint as required by APOA contract.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

041-10 Citizen was walking down the street with his TV. Officer detained him once he found out TV was not stolen. Citizen stated he was pulled over because of his appearance due to racial profiling. The citizen's dad looked into the incident and spoke with officer's chain. The day that citizen was stopped there were no reported stolen TV's.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed due to 90-day limitation. Internal Affairs will be conducting an internal investigation.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

042-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

043-10 The citizen's niece was the victim of a sex crime. The citizen alleged that APD has failed to investigate this crime properly.

Officer All APD	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 2-24-2	Finding Exonerated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

044-10 Citizen was behind an officer at a traffic light. The citizen answered her cell phone and the officer got out of his car and began yelling at her to get off her phone and was trying to pull her out of her vehicle. She would not get out and called 911. Dispatch told her to do as the officer instructed. Plain cloth officers arrived and talked with her. She was cited.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint as required by APOA contract.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

045-10 Citizen filed a complaint against an operator for a phone call she made to police.

Officer Civilian Employee	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

046-10 Citizen stated that two patrol cars were getting gas; and that as citizen walked into the store the officers stared at him as if he were a criminal.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

047-10 Citizen was issued a traffic citation and the officer instructed her incorrectly on how to take care of the citation.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed due to 90-day limitation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

048-10 Citizen arrested for Domestic Violence when he called police and had bite marks all over his arm. The officers were making fun of him at substation/booking and for his court appearance. They were laughing due to him being a police officer in another part of state. The officers went into his car and took a part his gun on purpose leaving gun parts all over his vehicle.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed over 90-day limitation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

049-10 Citizen claimed an officer was slandering her, writing false reports on her and has camera's installed in her home. The courts will not listen to her and she would like her day in court.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. 90-day

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

050-10 Citizen was on Paseo Del Norte when APD unit J-42 pulled up behind her. She stated that she then changed lanes and the officer changed lanes and stayed behind her. She stated that she then changed to the far right lane and that the officer pulled up next to her and began forcing her on to the shoulder before he sped away.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint not signed as required by APOA contract.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

051-10 Mr. Benjamin Carrillo complained that at the Coronado Mall, he met with his ex-girlfriend to return their child to her. There had been a custody issue and warrants were out for citizen's arrest. After the exchange he claims someone in black with a gun started chasing him. He claims that he did not know that it was an APD Officer. Citizen claims that as he was running away he was knocked to the ground by the door of an APD police car that passed by him and as he gave up, he was kneed and punched by APD Officers. His cell phone and wallet were taken from him. He was later taken to the hospital and treated for injuries and he does not feel that the hospital bills should have to be paid by him.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 2-52-02A *

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP
§ 1-39-1A 1

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed on findings. Written reprimand.

§ 2-24-3F 5

Sustained

IRO and Chief agreed on findings. Written reprimand.

§ 2-52-02A *

Not Sustained

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
7

Neighborhood Association
Notify Uptown List

052-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

053-10 Citizen was involved in a road rage incident with another driver. Citizen stated that Detective investigating the incident blamed him and stated that citizen had an "attitude" and for that reason Detective was not pressing charges. Citizen believed that Detective did not do his job properly.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO	
SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Sycamore

054-10 Citizen was involved in a bar fight with some bouncers of the Caravan East nightclub. Citizen was held until the police arrived and handcuffed him. An officer took citizen's folding knife that he had on him at the time of arrest. One of the officers who responded to the call is alleged to have knocked citizen to the ground while he was handcuffed causing facial injuries. That same officer used foul language with citizen during that time as well as later on during the booking process. The knife was never tagged into evidence nor was it ever returned to citizen.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO	
SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed on findings. 24 hour suspension.
§ 1-04-4P	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed on findings. 24 hour suspension.
§ 2-08-1	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed on findings. 24 hour suspension.
§ 2-52-02A *	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed on findings. 24 hour suspension.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association La Mesa

055-10 Citizen complained regarding the report for his daughter's accident. Citizen stated that the officer had the incorrect information on the report for his daughter that was driving and mistakenly put his other daughter's information instead. He tried to call the investigating officer, but received no response.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew complaint.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

056-10 Citizen was told that he was being accused of criminal sexual penetration, and that he had been unable to obtain a copy of the police report.

Officer Not an officer	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

057-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Pending	Finding Pending
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

058-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
	SOP Pending	Finding Pending
		IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

059-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

060-10 Citizen's son was arrested along with some other young men who had committed a robbery. Son apparently was not involved in the robbery but when he was stopped along with the other young men; he had a large sum of money on him. Two of the occupants of the vehicle were charged with committing a robbery in which \$1700 in cash was taken from the victim. Citizen complained that she has been trying to get \$2600 in cash back that her son had on him at the time he was detained.

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

061-10 Citizen wanted a drunk man arrested whom was banging on his patio glass door trying to enter his apartment. When officers did arrive the drunk man was at another neighbors patio. Officers had relative pick up drunk man and officers never checked on citizen to be sure he was ok.

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew complaint.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

062-10 APD has ignored citizen when he has reported crimes to them including: auto theft, fraud, forgery and murder.

Officer

All APD

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Over 90-day limitation.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

063-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

064-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

065-10 Citizen filed a complaint stating that the Criminal Nuisance Abatement Unit (CNAU) had violated her Civil Rights on numerous occasions in February and March of this year. CNAU had been dealing with citizen and they served a search warrant on her home. CYFD removed her children from her home and the residence was boarded up due the presence of methamphetamine. Citizen accused the City, APD and her neighbors of the "harassment" of her family and she alleged that her own child lied to CYFD which led to the children being removed.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen attempting to use IRO office to fight CNAU and prevent them from doing their job.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

066-10 Citizen called police and wanted to remain anonymous but when police arrived to check on her daughter someone came over the radio and indicated that it was citizen who had called police.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

067-10 The citizen was pulled over by an officer and accused of talking to a prostitute. The citizen alleged the officer told the citizen that he did not want him in his town.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-4N

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
6

Neighborhood Association
Fair West/South San Pedro

068-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

069-10 Citizen was stopped by an APD officer and ticketed for an alleged violation of the speed limit. Although citizen acknowledged guilt by signing the penalty assessment box on the citation, she maintained that the officer could not have caught her on his radar. She complained about the conduct of the officer who stopped her. She claimed that he was rude with her and he snickered at her. She also complained that his Sergeant was unresponsive to her complaint.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

070-10 Citizen did not understand accident report.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen
satisfied with APD response.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

071-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

072-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

073-10 Citizen complained about the driving behaviors of an unidentified officer. She stated the officer was playing solitaire while stopped at a traffic light. The officer noticed she observed him and drove slowly so that she could not obtain a license plate or car number to identify the officer.

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Officer could not be identified.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

074-10 The citizen's daughter was a passenger in a vehicle that was stopped by APD. The citizen, and her daughter, claim the officers were unprofessional during the stop by questioning the people's statements and throwing the keys on the top of the car.

Officer

XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

Finding

Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

Finding

Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Valley

Council District

3

Neighborhood Association

South Broadway

075-10 Citizen being stalked and when officers came out they did nothing and did not want picture of stalker. Officers did not give him a restraining order packet.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew complaint.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

076-10 Citizen witnessed an officer driving unsafely.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Plate information provided by citizen incorrect. Investigator forwarded concern to Valley Area Commander for concern on overall level of enforcement in the Valley area. Citizen

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

077-10 Citizen's car had been stolen, and she complained because when the car was recovered and returned to her it had some apparent drug material and paraphernalia in it which she felt should have been cleaned out by the police.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

078-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

079-10 Citizen has ongoing difficulties with her neighbors and police are called out frequently. Citizen unsatisfied with detectives conclusions regarding the calls.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. No violation of SOP.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

080-10 Officer touched citizen inappropriately and uninvited in a court room while she was preparing her docket and the judge was on the bench. Citizen felt violated.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in
Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen
satisfied with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

081-10 Complaint was if officers were required to issue citations in traffic accidents.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew
complaint.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

082-10 Citizen called the police to report that he was being assaulted by his landlord at his home. He complained that the APD Officer who responded to the call was provocative and unhelpful. The officer was argumentative and tried to intimidate him and she threatened him with arrest. The officer ordered him to leave by the next day or he would be forcibly removed.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
4

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

083-10 The citizen alleges that a woman at the doctor's office yelled at him and gave him dirty looks which constitute an assault. He calls APD. The citizen alleges that he asked the officer to write a report on the incident and the officer refused to do so.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-05-6D	Finding Unfounded
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
Area Command Foothills	Council District 8
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

084-10 Citizen upset accident report not available in records when she went for a copy.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew complaint.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

085-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

086-10 Citizen upset he was in jail and claimed it was for something he did not do.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

087-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

088-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

089-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

090-10 Citizens home was burglarized while he was out of town. Citizen's sister called police and officer came out and would not take a report because citizen was out of town.

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Officer did report and citizen satisfied with report being made.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

091-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

092-10 Citizen filed a complaint regarding the police department's lack of response to her inquiry about the security of her home following an incident in her neighborhood. Neighbors had advised her that police had been in/at her property and when she returned home, she noticed someone had been in her home. Citizen tried to contact police for an explanation, but she received no response.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew complaint.
Area Command Northeast	Council District 4	Neighborhood Association Academy Acres North

093-10 Citizen filed a complaint stating that she had a Restraining Order issued to someone by Officer and that she was present when it was served. She stated that when she went to court she was told that the Restraining Order had not been turned into the courts so it was not valid. Citizen alleged that the officer is a friend of the person the restraining order was issued against.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed due to 90-day limitation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

094-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association La Mesa

095-10 Citizen upset with how a traffic accident was handled in terms of traffic direction and control for uninvolved motorists trying to travel through the area.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with outcome of mediation.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

096-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending	
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6
Neighborhood Association South San Pedro	

097-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

098-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IA
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

099-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

Unknown

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

100-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Northeast

Council District

7

Neighborhood Association

Del Norte

101-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer

Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Pending

Finding

Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

Pending

Area Command

Southeast

Council District

6

Neighborhood Association

La Mesa

102-10 Citizen was pulled over and arrested for reckless driving. Officers were rude, he was profiled and he should of only received a citation.

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen did not sign complaint as required by APOA contract.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

103-10 Complaint largely not legible but parts can be read that citizen believed officers were going to kidnap him for some unknown reason.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen uncooperative with investigation.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
6

Neighborhood Association
Trumbull Village

104-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
7

Neighborhood Association
Sombre del Norte

105-10 Officer's were called out regarding a domestic dispute. Citizen's daughter was arrested and granddaughter was given to father. Citizen did not agree with the child going with her father. Child custody is being handled by courts.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed due to 90-day limitation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

106-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

107-10 Citizen complained that an unidentified dispatcher was unhelpful when he called to report some follow up information related to a burglary of his home.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen withdrew complaint.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

108-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association Onate

109-10 Citizen got into an altercation with his roommate over the temperature in the house. Citizen called 911 to report his roommate's conduct. Officers arrived and citizen was unhappy with their response. Citizen stated that the officers failed to "emphasize the seriousness" of his roommates "assault" on him. Citizen also stated that the officer threatened to arrest him if they were called to this address again, and he did not agree with the way the police report was written.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.
Area Command Northeast	Council District 4	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

110-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South San Pedro

111-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

112-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Southeast	Council District 7	Neighborhood Association Winrock South

113-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

114-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

115-10 Citizen witnessed a vehicle parked over a white line and impinging on a handicapped parking space. She took a photo of the offending vehicle parked over the line. She went to the NE Substation to report the vehicle and were told no enforcement action would be taken. She insisted that a report be taken and this was done. The report that was taken was an informational report only. It stated that citizen reported a vehicle parked "on" a white line as opposed to "over" the white line in the parking lot.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

116-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

117-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

118-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO
SOP Pending	Finding Pending
IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

119-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Northeast	Council District 4	Neighborhood Association Heritage Hills

120-10 Citizen's vehicle broke down in lot of a concert she was attending. Her boyfriend asked the officer for help in regards to the vehicle and the officer stated they were there for domestic violence only and refused to help.

Officer BCSO	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. BCSO not APD no jurisdiction.
Area Command BCSO	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

121-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

122-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association La Mes

123-10 Citizen was pulled over and arrested for reckless driving. Officers were rude, he was profiled and he should of only received a citation.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District Unknown	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

124-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

125-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Valley	Council District 2	Neighborhood Association Near North Valley

126-10 Citizen upset that she lost custody of her children. APD was at call along with APS and CYFD. Citizen upset that APD was involved and stated that they had no business there.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed due to 90-day limitation.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

127-10 Citizen was involved in a domestic violence and her ex-boyfriend being arrested. She stated that the officer failed to submit report and her security had been compromised.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed due to 90-day limitation.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
6

Neighborhood Association
South San Pedro

128-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

Area Command
Foothills

Council District
9

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

129-10 Investigative summary to follow

Officer
Unidentified
(as of compilation of this report)

Investigator: IA

SOP
Pending

Finding
Pending

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
Pending

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
Unknown

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

Section B:**Cases opened in prior Year(s); Reviewed this Quarter****CPC Summary of Complaint**

072-08 Citizen was walking home when an officer pulled out a taser on him and lied on the report. He was arrested.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: **IRO**

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Investigation never conducted due to temporary assistant not giving to investigator.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
7

Neighborhood Association
Northeastern Association of Residents

168-08 Citizen was in an auto accident and not listed on the report. Needs report accurate so she can get it fixed.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: **IA**

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

143-09 Citizen witnessed unit driving without a plate or temp tag.

Officer
Procedures

Investigator: **IA**

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen uncooperative.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

163-09 Citizen was detained by APD during a search for a homicide suspect. The citizen's house was searched by SWAT. The citizen believes he was racially profiled and alleges SWAT did some damage to their home.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP § 1-02-2B	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southwest	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Alamosa	

164-09 Citizen parked in a fire lane at the Walmart Store located at 2550 Coors Boulevard NW. He was with his son and his pregnant wife. His wife was in labor and they stopped at Walmart so they could turn in some movies on the way to the hospital. The officer asked citizen to move his truck and as citizen was trying to explain why he was parked there, the officer yelled at him, got him out of his truck and handcuffed him, and placed him in the patrol car. He was detained for an hour and a half, and was eventually cited for Disorderly Conduct, and was released. Citizen alleges that the officer's conduct was unprofessional and that he should not have been arrested.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP § 1-02-2B 2	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A 2	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Northwest	Council District 1	Neighborhood Association West Bluff	

171-09 Citizen was stopped by Officer for traffic violations that she allegedly committed while riding her bicycle. Citizen alleges that Officer was rude, intimidating, and overbearing and that there was no law that prevented her from doing what she did.

Officer Unidentified (as of compilation of this report)	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP Pending	Finding Pending	IRO Review/Chief's Decision Pending
Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Notify Downtown List	

175-09 Citizen claimed Officer fractured her wrist by using excessive force while taking her into custody. She claimed officer was rude and made inappropriate comments to her. Citizen also claimed one of the officers at the hospital made inappropriate comments about her.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-14-17A 1, 2, 3	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-24-3F 5	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Northwest	Council District 1	Neighborhood Association S.R. Marmon/West Bluff
----------------------------------	------------------------------	---

177-09 Citizen was with two friends when he was approached by two APD officers. One of the officers, without provocation, took citizen to the ground and then sprayed his face with mace. Citizen was arrested and he claims he suffered bruises to his body and lasting physical pain from the mace. Citizen claims the use of force by the officer was unnecessary and unjustified.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association South San Pedro
----------------------------------	------------------------------	--

178-09 Citizen met with two officers as part of an investigation. After the main investigation concluded, the two officers told him he could go home. The officers asked him if he had been drinking, he told them he had a minimal amount of alcohol and after some assessments, they determined he was not impaired. Another officer showed up and was rude and disrespectful. She put him through non-standardized assessments which no one could pass and arrested him for DWI and because he had legal weapons in his vehicle negligent use of a deadly weapon. She made several false statements on her police report.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4U	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

180-09 Citizen had been in an argument with her daughter at her daughter's home and the police were called. When the APD officer arrived to investigate, citizen was outside waiting for her son to pick her up. citizen alleges that the officer used foul language when dealing with her.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-4F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4N	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Northwest	Council District 5	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

181-09 A Sergeant telephoned citizen and advised him that a complaint had been filed against him by Attorney Tom Clear's office for telephone harassment. Sgt. told citizen that he had made 80 calls to Clear's office that day and citizen responded that he had only made two calls that day. Citizen told the Sgt. that calling him on the phone was not proper procedure and that he should have asked citizen to come in so that his attorney could have accompanied him. Sgt. then asked him, "What are you now, a cop?", and citizen replied that he was familiar with the legal field. Citizen also stated that Sgt. had not asked him any questions during the telephone conversation. Sgt. told citizen to stop calling Clear and then hung up the phone. Citizen felt that Sgt. was acting at Clear's direction which citizen considered improper. Citizen surmised that Clear gave Sgt. the wrong address for him on purpose so that a warrant would issue for non appearance. Citizen, a former Clear employee and Clear were involved in an ongoing employment dispute and there were numerous phone calls between the two men. Citizen asked Sgt. for the identity and phone number of his supervisor, which Sgt. provided. The supervisor was not called. During the phone call citizen claimed to have been introduced to Sgt. in Clear's office previously by Angela, an employee of Clear's and a friend of the Sgt. Sgt. said that they had not met. Citizen saw Sgt. in Clear's office several times. When citizen failed to appear in court in response to the summons sent to his old address, a warrant was issued, and subsequently citizen was arrested by Officer and other officers. Citizen stated that he was afraid for his life when he was arrested. Citizen stated that Rick, Clear's office manager, or Angela called Sgt. to tell him there was a warrant out on him.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IA

SOP
§ 1-04-4N

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southwest

Council District
1

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

182-09 The citizen complains that Officer failed to arrest a hit and run driver who was identified over the telephone by the owner of the hit and run car. The citizen also complains that Officer did not perform an accurate investigation of the hit and run incident.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IA

SOP
§ 1-04-1G 1
§ 3-17-6

Finding
Unfounded
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed on findings.
Verbal Reprimand, Counseling, Training.

XXX

SOP
§ 2-24-4G

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed on findings.
Verbal Reprimand.

Area Command
Southwest

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

187-09 Citizen claimed that he was stopped for a false probable cause. Citizen believed the real reason the officer stopped him was because of his appearance. The officer searched citizen's vehicle without his permission and then arrested him, not for the assault he was charged with, but because citizen did not listen to the officer when the officer ordered him to leave. Citizen claims that the officer damaged his car during the search. When citizen was restrained and later arrested the officer used excessive force with him. Both officers were aggressive and unprofessional. Additionally the officers did not ensure the safety of his property.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-02-2A 1-3	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-03-2A	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A 9	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-08-12D 6	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southwest	Council District 1	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

190-09 Citizen's wife call 911 to report that citizen, was attempting suicide by ingesting pills with alcohol. Citizen was subsequently taken into custody and transported to Kaseman Hospital for a mental evaluation. Citizen later filed a citizen complaint alleging excessive force and misconduct by the responding officers.

Officer XXX		Investigator: IA	
	SOP § 1-05-6B § 1-39-1A 7	Finding Exonerated Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-04-1G 1 § 1-39-1A 7	Finding Exonerated Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-05-6B § 1-39-1A 7	Finding Exonerated Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-05-6B § 1-39-1A 7	Finding Exonerated Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 7	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

191-09 Citizen claimed that officers approached her and her party for no reason while they were in the parking lot. She also claimed that Officers used excessive force in arresting her boyfriend, denied him medical treatment, and illegally searched her sister's apartment

Officer		Investigator:	IRO
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A 5	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-07E 2 *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 2-52-02A *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-07E 2 *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-2A	Sustained	IRO Sustained; APD would remove issue; POC Sustained. Non-Concurrene
	§ 2-06-23A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-2A	Sustained	IRO Sustained; APD would remove issue; POC Sustained. Non-Concurrene
	§ 2-06-23A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Northeast	4	Del Norte

195-09 Citizen's wife was stopped for a traffic violation in the area of Dellyne and Unser by Officer. Citizen and a passenger in the car, filed a complaint alleging that Officer was hostile and unprofessional.

Officer		Investigator:	IA
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-1G 1	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command	Council District	Neighborhood Association
Northwest	5	Santa Fe Village

202-09 Citizen was driving on San Pedro south from Lomas she encountered Officers H and C who were intercepting cars with radar guns. She asserts that the signing (paper signs placed on top of the regular signs) was not adequate to apprise drivers of the decreased speed limit being imposed because of the State Fair. Citizen parked her car and approached the officer asking what was going on. In the ensuing conversations with Officers H and C, and Sgt. R, and in one physical contact with Officer C, Citizen complained of the treatment she received.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IA
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Fair West	

204-09 Citizen complained that he was harassed on two separate occasions by the same two officers of the Albuquerque Police Department. The first incident occurred on September 18, 2009. At about 1:00 AM outside the Library Bar at Third and Central and the second incident took place at the Imbibe bar located in Nob Hill also on Central. Citizen complained that on the first occasion, he was approached by a group of officers for no reason and that one officer got in his face and repeatedly told him to punch him if he had a problem with him. He was told to leave and that he was "black balled" from the Downtown area. Four days later, two of the officers who were in that first group saw citizen in the Imbibe Bar in Nob Hill and those officers told the Manager of the bar that citizen was a troublemaker and citizen was ultimately asked to leave by the Manager. When citizen protested and asked for the officer's names and man numbers, the officers refused to give him their information and they threatened to arrest him if he did not leave the area.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-3A	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-3A	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

205-09 Citizen claimed that officers wrongfully detained him for hours in handcuffs in the back of a police car. They took his property away and denied him contact with other individuals, despite his lack of involvement in the situation. They treated him disrespectfully, especially given his position in the Air Force, and denied him the opportunity to use the bathroom for an extended time.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A*	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 8	Neighborhood Association High Desert Residential Owners	

206-09 The citizen was pulled over for speeding. The citizen alleges the officer was rude during the traffic stop and acted unprofessionally.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F
§ 1-39-1

Finding
Not Sustained
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Foothills

Council District
4

Neighborhood Association
San Gabriel Area

208-09 Citizen stated officers came to his home with the intention of intimidating and harassing him over how his vehicles were parked, despite the fact numerous other residences have cars parked the same way. The officer forced him to come outside of his home and was very rude and aggressive with him. Citizen and his girlfriend believed the officer was looking to cause more trouble.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-02-2B 2
§ 1-04-1F
§ 1-04-4N

Finding
Exonerated
Not Sustained
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southwest

Council District
1

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

209-09 The citizen was stopped at a DWI Check Point. He was asked to perform field sobriety tests and then given a breath test before being released. The citizen alleges the officer was not competent in determining the citizen was not intoxicated.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-4D

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

210-09 Citizen was at the Bank of America located and was awaiting the arrival of the police there because of an earlier incident that had occurred. An APD officer arrived and found her seated in a chair with ice on her knee. The officer called for medical assistance. Citizen complained that she was taken forcefully against her will by the ambulance personnel and that the officer did nothing to stop it. A female officer responded to the scene and searched citizen prior to her being transported and citizen claimed that during that search the female officer groped her breast.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 1 & 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 1 & 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Northeast	Council District 2	Neighborhood Association Alta Monte/McKinley	

211-09 Citizen claimed officers made false accusations against him and wrongfully arrested him. The officers physically abused him at his apartment, the substation and the jail. The officers also verbally abused him and made fun of him. Citizen claimed a supervisor observed the abuse at the substation and allowed it to happen, but this supervisor could not be identified based on the information citizen provided. That issue could not be addressed.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO		
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-06B *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 2-52-02A *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX			
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A *	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Trumbull Village	

212-09 The citizen was attending the City Council meeting when she was approached by the officer who asked to speak to her. She consented and the officer told her someone had reported that the citizen was intoxicated.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 2-17-11

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

213-09 Citizen called the police to her home to file a report on her grandmother and mother. When the officer arrived to investigate the complaint, citizen alleged that the officer tried pushing her out of the home and when she asked to get her shoes, she was forcefully taken to the ground and arrested for disorderly conduct. She alleges that the use of force was unreasonable and that she suffered injuries from being taken to the ground.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 2-52-02A*

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
7

Neighborhood Association
Indian Moon

214-09 Citizen claimed that Detective came to her home and was intimidating and authoritative. Detective bragged about how magnificent the neighbors were and how badly she handled things with them. Detective offered no constructive suggestions and treated her as if she were lying about the situation between her and the neighbors. Detective then threatened citizen with arrest even though she would only call when there was a problem.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
4

Neighborhood Association
Del Norte

215-09 The citizen was stopped for DWI. The citizen complains that the officers, while at the substation, mistreated her and used excessive force on her.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 2-52-02A *

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northwest

Council District
5

Neighborhood Association
Ladera Heights/Vista grande

216-09 Citizen was approached in his driveway by an APD officer and questioned about someone driving his vehicle erratically the night before. When citizen told the officer to leave his property or he would call the State Police, the officer started cursing citizen and the officer allegedly struck citizen repeatedly in the head with a baton. When citizen was able to get back to his feet the officer allegedly struck him in the mouth breaking open his lips and loosening his teeth. The officer allegedly then struck citizen in the eye with his Baton. He alleged other officers were involved in the beating also.

Officer XXX	Investigator:	IRO
SOP § 1-04-1F § 2-52-02A *	Finding Exonerated Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

218-09 The citizen was pulled over for a traffic violation. The citizen alleges the officer was rude and unprofessional in how he treated the citizen. He intimidated him into signing the citation without giving the citizen a chance to read it.

Officer XXX	Investigator:	IRO
SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Foothills	Council District 8	Neighborhood Association Glenwood Hills

221-09 The citizen was at Noon Day and when he got up to leave the dining area he alleges that the officer began calling him names and trying to provoke him. The citizen alleges he did not respond to the officer at all and left the building.

Officer XXX	Investigator:	IRO
SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Notify Downtown List

222-09 Citizen was test driving a customer's car which contained drug paraphernalia. He was pulled over for an alleged traffic violation and he was subsequently searched and the officer found more drug paraphernalia on citizen's person. Citizen's business partner was called to the scene to pick up the car and the partner brought citizen's daughter to the scene. Citizen alleges that the officer was rude and aggressive, that he used foul language, that he refused to give his name and man number, that the search was not proper, and that the officer traumatized his daughter.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-02-2B 2	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-02-3A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Trumbull Village
----------------------------------	------------------------------	---

224-09 The citizen and her friends were tailgating before a UNM football game. She stated there were some fights going on near their group when the Sgt approached them and acted in an unprofessional manner towards them. She claims the Sgt pushed her and cursed at her.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Southeast	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Clayton Heights-Lomas Del Cielo
----------------------------------	------------------------------	--

228-09 The citizen was having a party at his residence. The Party Patrol came by and broke it up. The citizen alleges that the APD Sgt cursed at him and treated him in an unprofessional manner during the incident.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP	Finding	IRO Review/Chief's Decision
	§ 1-04-4P	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-1A 5	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Southeast	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association University Hts
----------------------------------	------------------------------	---

229-09 Citizen called the police to get his work camera back from a bouncer in a nightclub. When the police arrived, citizen was arrested and his camera was placed into evidence. Citizen complains that the arresting officer called his supervisor at the Public Access Channel TV station and told his supervisor about the camera and the arrest. Citizen was subsequently dismissed from his position with the TV station and citizen blames the officer for the loss of his position.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-04-1F § 1-39-3B	Finding Exonerated Sustained
	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Valley	Council District 3
	Neighborhood Association Notify Downtown List

230-09 Citizen stated that her minor grandchildren were made to wait in an unsafe area in the middle of the night without adult supervision while waiting for a ride. She also claimed the officer she spoke to on the phone made an unprofessional comment.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Not Sustained
	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded
	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6
	Neighborhood Association South San Pedro

231-09 APD responded to a disturbance call. While looking around the area the officers came upon citizen and friend sitting in the back yard. Citizen's dog began barking and ran toward officers. The citizens dog was fatally shot.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated
	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Inactivated due to an Internal investigation already being conducted.
Area Command Valley	Council District 2
	Neighborhood Association Monkbridge Gardens/Los Griegos

232-09 Citizen went to pick up his cousin from officers when his car was towed. Citizen got there and the officers were rude and he felt they were going to jump him.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint not signed as required by APOA contract.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

233-09 Citizen's husband went to get assistance from Officer after citizen's daughter had been attacked at the movie theatre. The officer refused to take a report or to take any other action, claiming that he could not because he did not see the attack happen.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-4B
§ 1-04-4Q
§ 1-39-1A 5

Finding
Exonerated
Not Sustained
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
7

Neighborhood Association
Del Norte

234-09 Officer responded to an accident without injuries. A passenger in one of the vehicles filed a complaint against Officer alleged that the traffic accident report was inaccurate in various respects.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IA

SOP
§ 1-04-1G 1
§ 1-04-4U 1

Finding
Exonerated
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

235-09 The citizen got into a dispute with a store clerk and APD was called. The citizen was arrested on Assault and Criminal Trespass charges. The citizen alleges the officers did not listen to her side of the story and discriminated against her based on her appearance.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-02-2B 2 § 1-04-4N	Finding Unfounded Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-02-2B 2 § 1-04-4N	Finding Unfounded Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Notify Downtown List
-------------------------------	------------------------------	---

237-09 Citizen was removed from a homeless shelter by three APD officers. He claims that the officers used excessive force in their dealings with him on that day.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 2-52-02A *	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 2-52-02A *	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 2-52-02A *	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Barelas
-------------------------------	------------------------------	--

238-09 Citizen heard a woman screaming due to her partner hitting her. He called 911 and it took the officers too long to get there. The woman was in danger and could possibly lose her life.

Officer Civilian Employee		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Dispatcher made error. Officer not involved.

Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------	---

239-09 Citizen alleged Officer used excessive force while taking him into custody. Citizen also alleges that Officer also used profanity and discriminated against citizen because of his sexual orientation. Citizen also claimed Officer took several things from his wallet.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 2-08-1	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-03-2C	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-4P	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-08-1	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-07E 2	Exonerated	IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Foothills	Council District 9	Neighborhood Association South Los Altos
----------------------------------	------------------------------	--

240-09 The record shows the officers were looking for a domestic violence suspect. They went to the suspect's mother's house (the citizen). She claimed that the suspect wasn't in the home but the officers believe they saw a man matching the suspect's description in the house. The citizen complains the officers were rude and unprofessional and their response was overwhelming for the incident.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command Northeast	Council District 2	Neighborhood Association McKinley
----------------------------------	------------------------------	---

241-09 Citizen was awakened by police using their loudspeakers to pull speeders over near his home at about 6:00am. He did not like being woke up by officers prior to his alarm sounding.

Officer Unidentified		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. No violation of SOP.

Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------	---

242-09 Officers came to citizen's residence several times looking for her soon. They threatened her children, her and her dogs. They threatened to shoot them. They also damaged her door banging on it.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Complaint not signed as required by APOA contract.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

243-09 The citizen was stopped by the officer. The citizen alleges the officer was unprofessional with him and cursed at him during the traffic stop,

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-4P
§ 1-39-1A 5

Finding
Sustained
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Southeast

Council District
6

Neighborhood Association
Trumbull Village/La Mesa

244-09 Citizen complained to dispute the officer's version of events related to his arrest. Citizen was arrested for DWI after several citizen's called 911 that he was a DWI driver. He failed all field sobriety tests and was charged with DWI.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen needs to argue innocence in court of law.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
3

Neighborhood Association
Huning Highland Historic District/Corridor Partnership Inc.

245-09 Citizen asked officer for directions and he and some other officers followed her to her job. He then lectured her and cited her.

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Resolved in Mediation

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with outcome of mediation.

Area Command
Valley

Council District
2

Neighborhood Association
Wildflower Area

246-09 The citizen was on a Greyhound bus and turned in a bag to the counter. Employees discovered drugs in the bag and another man came to claim it. APD was called to the scene. The citizen complains that the officers should not have detained her as all she did was turn the bag in to the Greyhound counter.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-02-2B 2	Finding Exonerated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
XXX	
SOP § 1-02-2B 2	Finding Exonerated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
Area Command Valley	Council District 3
Neighborhood Association Notify Downtown List	

247-09 Officers came to citizen's home and they knocked on the door. One of the officers told citizen that the police had chased a suspect in their neighborhood and that the suspect may be hiding in citizen's backyard. The police officer asked to see all of the members of the household and asked for permission to search the yard. When citizen invited the officers in, they went into the house and searched it and they arrested citizen's brother in law for violating a restraining order. After the brother in law was arrested, the officer told citizen that there was no foot chase of a suspect and that they were there to arrest his brother in law. Citizen complains that he was lied to by the police and that the police used his trust in the police and the fear that his family was in danger to gain access to his home. He complains that the behavior of the officers was unethical and probably illegal.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO
SOP § 1-02-2B 2	Finding Sustained
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
§ 1-39-1A 9	Sustained
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.	
Area Command Valley	Council District 3
Neighborhood Association Santa Barbara-Martinez Town	

248-09 Citizen complained regarding APD's response to his calls about an alleged drunk driver.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated
IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.	
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A
Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

249-09 Officer came to citizen's residence and pushed her against his vehicle claiming he had reports that she was selling drugs. The officer threatened to arrest her if he ever saw her again.

Officer

Not an officer

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. Not APD.

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

250-09 Makes no sense

Officer

Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP

Inactivated

Finding

Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP

Area Command

Unknown/NA

Council District

N/A

Neighborhood Association

Unknown/NA

251-09 Citizen was cited for driving her automobile 40 miles per hour in a 30 miles per hour traffic zone. Citizen admits that she was driving 40 miles per hour; however, she states that the speed limit where she was cited was 35 miles per hour. Citizen also complains that after she decided to file a complaint she noticed a mistake in the time set out in the citation. The time of the stop and citation was 5:10 PM or 1710 hours. The officer mistakenly recorded the time of the violation as 16:10 (interpreted as 1610 hours).

Officer

XXX

Investigator: IA

SOP

§ 1-04-4U 1

Finding

Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Valley

Council District

3

Neighborhood Association

Near North Valley

252-09 Citizen was stopped by an APD officer for a traffic violation. He complains that the officer called him stupid, was rude with him, and the officer treated him in a threatening and hateful manner.

Officer

XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP

§ 1-04-1F

Finding

Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command

Valley

Council District

2

Neighborhood Association

Greater Gardner

253-09 Makes no sense

Officer
Unidentified

Investigator: IRO

SOP
Inactivated

Finding
Inactivated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed. No SOP violation.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

255-09 Citizen called the police to report a disturbance at her apartment building located at 8000 Montgomery and then she took her dog outside to urinate. Citizen complains that her dog and the officer who responded to the scene encountered one another and the officer threatened to shoot the dog. Citizen complains that the threat to her dog was unprofessional.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-1F

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Northeast

Council District
4

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

256-09 Citizen claimed the detective assigned to his wife's auto burglary and illegal use of her credit cards was rude and dismissive with him on his various contacts with him. The detective did not do his job and look into his case, despite citizen's helpful efforts. The detective's lieutenant also was dismissive with citizen and no one would return his phone calls when they should do so to keep him apprised of the investigation.

Officer
XXX

Investigator: IRO

SOP
§ 1-04-4O

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

XXX

SOP
§ 1-04-1F
§ 1-04-4A

Finding
Not Sustained
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

Area Command
Unknown/NA

Council District
N/A

Neighborhood Association
Unknown/NA

257-09 The citizen called 911 regarding her adult son throwing things at her. When the officers arrived, the son was no longer present. The citizen alleges the officers were unprofessional towards her and threatened her.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
XXX	SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Trumbull Village	

258-09 Citizen's stated that officers entered without permission and that the female officer searched their home. Both officers were rude, aggressive and shouting with them. Both officers would not answer their questions as to why they were there and intimidated them with threats to return and that citizen should not leave his home.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-02-2B 2	Finding Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-39-2B	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed on findings. Verbal Reprimand.
	SOP § 1-02-2B 2	Finding Not Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 1-04-1F	Not Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southeast	Council District 6	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA	

259-09 The citizen was arrested for DWI. The citizen attempted to flee from the officer. The citizen alleges that the officer used excessive force on him once he was in handcuffs and also made inappropriate comments to him.

Officer XXX		Investigator:	IRO
	SOP § 1-04-4P	Finding Unfounded	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	§ 2-52-02A	Unfounded	IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Northeast	Council District 2	Neighborhood Association Hodgin	

261-09 Officers entered into citizen's home without permission and looked around.

Officer Unidentified	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Inactivated	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Two citizen's complained and two separate cases opened. Combining cases. Refer to CPC 258-09.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

262-09 The citizen had an argument with the officer while the officer was in civilian clothes in a store. About a month later, near the same location, the officer made what purported to be a traffic stop of the citizen who was driving his automobile. The citizen stated that the officer made comments about the previous encounter and that the officer threatened the citizen. The store clerk at that location stated the officer later bragged in the store about pulling the citizen over.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO	
SOP § 1-04-1F	Finding Sustained	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed on findings. Suspension w/out pay 48 hours.
§ 1-04-4N	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed on findings. Suspension w/out pay 48 hours.
§ 1-04-6H	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed on findings. Suspension w/out pay 48 hours.
§ 1-39-1A 5	Sustained	IRO and Chief agreed on findings. Suspension w/out pay 48 hours.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

263-09 Citizen was stopped by an APD officer for alleged traffic violations at. He was arrested and charged with DWI. Citizen complained that the officer who arrested him used excessive force during the arrest and that the officer did so without provocation.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IRO	
SOP § 2-52-02A *	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Southwest	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

264-09 Five vehicles were broken into including citizens. She called to have a police office come out but the operator made her feel guilty for wasting an officers time when it was not a high priority call. The citizen was given the TRU number for telephone reports the officer on the line told her with the amount of vehicles broken into and the value of the stuff stolen it was policy for a officer to go out. The citizen was upset the operator did not send an officer out and made her feel bad for wanting one.

Officer Civilian Employee	Investigator: IRO	
SOP Resolved in Mediation	Finding Inactivated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed. Citizen satisfied with APD response.
Area Command Unknown/NA	Council District N/A	Neighborhood Association Unknown/NA

265-09 Citizen went to the site of an minor rear end collision automobile accident where his girlfriend's auto had been struck by another auto. Citizen complained that the officer dispatched to investigate the reported accident, was rude and unprofessional at the accident site and later at the court when the other driver appeared in response to the citation issued her by Officer.

Officer XXX	Investigator: IA	
SOP § 1-04-40	Finding Exonerated	IRO Review/Chief's Decision IRO and Chief agreed.
Area Command Valley	Council District 3	Neighborhood Association Notify Downtown List

Use of Force - Standard Operating Procedure Violations

Second Quarterly Report- 2010

The following is summarized from the Standard Operating Procedures Manual of the Albuquerque Police Department:

The Albuquerque Police Department provides for police protection, law enforcement, investigation, crime prevention, and maintenance of order in the community. In order to carry out their duties and responsibilities, the police are empowered with legal authority. To achieve success, the Department must win and retain the confidence and respect of the citizens it serves. Police officers do not act for themselves, but for the public. To that end, it is necessary to create and maintain a system through which the Department can be effectively directed and controlled. Written directives have been incorporated into Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to guide and direct department personnel in the performance of their duties. Violations of these provisions may result in disciplinary charges against personnel.

Standard Operating Procedures are defined as written orders by the Chief of Police or a bureau, division, or section commander to define policy and direct procedures for specific situations or events.

Listed under the Use of Force SOP are the CPCs in which the implicated SOP arose. The involved officers are described by code. Finally, the Finding at the conclusion of the investigation, as well as the IRO Review and Chief's decision are indicated.

Please note that the words "IRO Would Add" indicate a recommendation by the IRO during the review process to consider violation of the specified SOP.

Also, please note that the language of some SOP sections is duplicative of language in other sections because recompilation changed the section numbers.

SOP

§ 2-52-02A *

Where force is warranted, officers should assess the incident in order to determine which technique or weapon will reasonably de-escalate the incident and bring it under control safely. Officers shall use only that force which is reasonably necessary to effect lawful objectives.

CPC 001

Officer
XXX

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 007

Officer
XXX

Finding
Unfounded

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC

008

Officer
XXX

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed on finding. Verbal Reprimand.

CPC 017

Officer
XXX

Finding
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 035

Officer
XXX
XXX

Finding
Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 051

Officer
XXX

Finding
Not Sustained
Not Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

CPC 054

Officer
XXX

Finding
Sustained

IRO Review/Chief's Decision
IRO and Chief agreed on findings. 24 hour suspension.

Police Oversight Commission: Appeal Reviews of Citizen Police

Second Quarterly Report- 2010

This Part lists the appeals requested to be heard by the Police Oversight Commission (POC). Pursuant to § 9-4-1-9(A) of the Ordinance, citizens who were dissatisfied with the outcome of their complaint as contained in the dispositional letter from the Chief of Police, could appeal to the POC.

Each appeal is listed by Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) number. A brief description of the case is given. A timeline is provided of when the dispositional letter by the Chief was issued, the date the citizen requested an appeal, when it was first set, if it was reset and then when the case was heard. The date of the decision of the POC is indicated and when a request was made for the CAO to review the decision of the POC.

The officer involved is referred to by the numeric code assigned by the IRO. It should be noted that no officer ever appeared before the POC. The Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) implicated in the complaint is listed, accompanied by the original Internal Affairs (IA) investigative finding and whether or not the IRO concurred with the IA finding. The recommendation of the POC is indicated as well as whether or not the Chief followed the recommendation. Finally, any decision by the CAO is indicated.

As with all Parts of the Report, the CPC and Officer numbers can be cross-referenced to other Parts of the Report for further information on a particular case or officer.

CPC # Summary of Complaint

CPC 247-2008 The citizen alleges he was riding his bike down the street when the officers stopped him. He said that while Officer R was running his ID Officer S punched him in the throat for no reason. The citizen was arrested and after that Officer S told him he should take him out to the mesa and shoot him and both officers made comments about the citizen being a pervert.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO Response</i>
4/9/2009	4/20/2009	5/21/2009	6/11/2009	N/A	6/29/2009	Pending

Officer

XXX	SOP § 1-04-4N	Finding Not Sustained	IRO review / Chief's decision IRO and Chief agreed.
	POC Recommended POC upheld IRO findings.	Chief's Response to POC	CAO Decision

Officer

XXX	SOP § 1-04-4N § 2-52-02A *	Finding Not Sustained Not Sustained	IRO review / Chief's decision IRO and Chief agreed. IRO and Chief agreed.
	POC Recommended	Chief's Response to POC	CAO Decision

CPC #
CPC 181-2009

Summary of Complaint

A Sergeant telephoned citizen and advised him that a complaint had been filed against him by Attorney Tom Clear's office for telephone harassment. Sgt. told citizen that he had made 80 calls to Clear's office that day and citizen responded that he had only made two calls that day. Citizen told the Sgt. that calling him on the phone was not proper procedure and that he should have asked citizen to come in so that his attorney could have accompanied him. Sgt. then asked him, "What are you now, a cop?", and citizen replied that he was familiar with the legal field. Citizen also stated that Sgt. had not asked him any questions during the telephone conversation. Sgt. told citizen to stop calling Clear and then hung up the phone. Citizen felt that Sgt. was acting at Clear's direction which citizen considered improper. Citizen surmised that Clear gave Sgt. the wrong address for him on purpose so that a warrant would issue for non appearance. Citizen, a former Clear employee and Clear were involved in an ongoing employment dispute and there were numerous phone calls between the two men. Citizen asked Sgt. for the identity and phone number of his supervisor, which Sgt. provided. The supervisor was not called. During the phone call citizen claimed to have been introduced to Sgt. in Clear's office previously by Angela, an employee of Clear's and a friend of the Sgt. Sgt. said that they had not met. Citizen saw Sgt. in Clear's office several times. When citizen failed to appear in court in response to the summons sent to his old address, a warrant was issued, and subsequently citizen was arrested by Officer and other officers. Citizen stated that he was afraid for his life when he was arrested. Citizen stated that Rick, Clear's office manager, or Angela called Sgt. to tell him there was a warrant out on him.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO Response</i>
1/14/2010	2/8/2010	3/11/2010	3/11/2010	3/11/2010	3/22/2010	4/1/2010

Officer

xxx

SOP
§ 1-04-4N

Finding
Not Sustained

IRO review / Chief's decision
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended
POC upheld IRO findings.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision
CAO upheld POC findings.

CPC #**Summary of Complaint****CPC 205-2009**

Citizen claimed that officers wrongfully detained him for hours in handcuffs in the back of a police car. They took his property away and denied him contact with other individuals, despite his lack of involvement in the situation. They treated him disrespectfully, especially given his position in the Air Force, and denied him the opportunity to use the bathroom for an extended time.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO Response</i>
2/11/2010	3/2/2010	4/8/2010	4/8/2010	4/8/2010		

Officer**xxx*****SOP***

§ 1-02-2B 2
§ 1-04-4A
§ 2-52-02A*

Finding

Exonerated
Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO findings.

Chief's Response to POC***CAO Decision*****Officer****xxx*****SOP***

§ 1-02-2B 2
§ 1-04-4A

Finding

Exonerated
Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended***Chief's Response to POC******CAO Decision***

CPC #
CPC 210-2009

Summary of Complaint

Citizen was at the Bank of America located and was awaiting the arrival of the police there because of an earlier incident that had occurred. An APD officer arrived and found her seated in a chair with ice on her knee. The officer called for medical assistance. Citizen complained that she was taken forcefully against her will by the ambulance personnel and that the officer did nothing to stop it. A female officer responded to the scene and searched citizen prior to her being transported and citizen claimed that during that search the female officer groped her breast.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO Response</i>
2/11/2010	3/8/2010	4/8/2010	5/13/2010 6/10/10	6/10/2010	6/24/2010	Pending

Officer

xxx

SOP

§ 1-02-2B 1 & 2
 § 1-04-1F

Finding

Exonerated
 Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
 IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO findings.

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

xxx

SOP

§ 1-02-2B 1 & 2
 § 1-04-1F

Finding

Exonerated
 Exonerated

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.
 IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Chief's Response to POC

CAO Decision

CPC #**Summary of Complaint****CPC 212-2009**

The citizen was attending the City Council meeting when she was approached by the officer who asked to speak to her. She consented and the officer told her someone had reported that the citizen was intoxicated.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO Response</i>
1/14/2010	1/25/2010	2/11/2010	2/11/2010	2/11/2010	2/17/2010	2/22/2010

Officer**xxx****SOP**
§ 2-17-11**Finding**
Exonerated**IRO review / Chief's decision**
IRO and Chief agreed.**POC Recommended**
POC Upheld IRO findings.**Chief's Response to POC****CAO Decision**
CAO Upheld IRO findings.**CPC 213-2009**

Citizen called the police to her home to file a report on her grandmother and mother. When the officer arrived to investigate the complaint, citizen alleged that the officer tried pushing her out of the home and when she asked to get her shoes, she was forcefully taken to the ground and arrested for disorderly conduct. She alleges that the use of force was unreasonable and that she suffered injuries from being taken to the ground.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO Response</i>
2/11/2010	3/4/2010	4/8/2010	4/8/2010	N/A		

Officer**xxx****SOP**
§ 2-52-02A***Finding**
Exonerated**IRO review / Chief's decision**
IRO and Chief agreed.**POC Recommended**
Citizen was a no show. POC upheld IRO findings.**Chief's Response to POC****CAO Decision**

CPC #**Summary of Complaint****CPC 215-2009**

The citizen was stopped for DWI. The citizen complains that the officers, while at the substation, mistreated her and used excessive force on her.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO Response</i>
2/11/2010	2/17/2010	4/8/2010	4/8/2010	4/8/2010		

Officer**xxx****SOP**

§ 2-52-02A *

Finding

Unfounded

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

POC upheld IRO findings.

Chief's Response to POC**CAO Decision****CPC 229-2009**

Citizen called the police to get his work camera back from a bouncer in a nightclub. When the police arrived, citizen was arrested and his camera was placed into evidence. Citizen complains that the arresting officer called his supervisor at the Public Access Channel TV station and told his supervisor about the camera and the arrest. Citizen was subsequently dismissed from his position with the TV station and citizen blames the officer for the loss of his position.

Appeal Timeline

<i>Disposition Letter</i>	<i>Request for Appeal</i>	<i>Appeal Set</i>	<i>Appeal Reset/Heard</i>	<i>POC Decision</i>	<i>Request for CAO Review</i>	<i>CAO Response</i>
4/8/2010	4/21/2010	5/13/2010	6/10/2010	N/A		

Officer**xxx****SOP**

§ 1-04-1F

§ 1-39-3B

Finding

Exonerated

Sustained

IRO review / Chief's decision

IRO and Chief agreed.

IRO and Chief agreed.

POC Recommended

Citizen was a No Show. POC upheld IRO findings.

Chief's Response to POC**CAO Decision**