

**CPC 185-12** – A citizen complained that Officer H. pulled her over on a traffic stop because her daughter had thrown trash from the vehicle. When Officer H. made contact with the Complainant and her daughter, he belittled the daughter. Complainant alleged that Officer H. issued a citation that was in error. The lapel recording indicates that Officer H.'s conduct was appropriate, and that the allegation regarding this SOP should be Exonerated. Officer H. did issue the citation which did have an error in the statute number. The allegation regarding the erroneous citation should be Sustained. Commissioner Foster moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

**CPC 219-12** – A citizen complained that Officer E. responded to a call regarding a child visitation dispute. The citizen stated that Officer E. raised his voice and that it was improper for Officer E. to be involved because of personal feelings or friendship issues. The lapel video indicated that Officer E.'s conduct was appropriate, and the allegation regarding this SOP should be Exonerated. There is no evidence to indicate that Officer E.'s actions were based on his personal feelings. The allegation regarding this SOP should be Exonerated.

**CPC 041-13** – A citizen called 242-COPS to report cars were illegally parked in her neighborhood. The citizen wanted to remain anonymous. Sergeant S. went to the scene to look for the illegally parked vehicles because of numerous previous complaints from the citizen. The citizen was in her driveway and drew the attention of Sergeant S. Sergeant S. then approached the citizen, who alleged that Sergeant S. yelled and acted inappropriately, and that Sergeant S.' personal feelings influenced her decision. Sergeant S. denied these allegations. There was no lapel recording because Sergeant S. said that her recorder malfunctioned. There is no way to prove either side of the story. The allegation regarding Sergeant S.' conduct should be Not Sustained. The allegation regarding Sergeant S.' personal feelings should be Exonerated. The allegation of a violation of not recording should be Not Sustained. Chair Peterson opposed the findings of the IRO. Against. This case will be set as a non-concurrence for the August 2014 POC meeting.

Against: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

**CPC 145-13** - A citizen complained that he was cited for leaving his dog in a hot car, but should have been given a warning instead of a citation. The lapel video shows that the officer was dispatched to a call of a dog in a hot car, and the officer searched for the citizen at businesses that were close by, but could not locate the citizen. The video indicates that the officer's conduct was appropriate, and that the allegation should be Exonerated. Commissioner Foster moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

**CPC 147-13** – A citizen stated that her three-year-old grandchild was taken to University of New Mexico Hospital, and that Officer M. arrived at the hospital. The citizen alleged

that Officer M. and Detective H. wrote incorrect police reports. The evidence indicates that the mother of the child lied to hospital officials about how the child was injured, and the hospital staff suspected child abuse and called APD. The video recording shows Officer M.' and Detective H.'s conduct was appropriate, and supports that Officer M. and Detective H. did write accurate and correct police reports. I find the allegation of an inaccurate police report against Officer M. and Detective H. to be Exonerated. Commissioner Foster moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3- Peterson, Barker, Foster.

**CPC 242-13** – A citizen called APD regarding illegal selling along Tramway Road. The citizen had made many calls regarding the same activity taking place. Sergeant S. called the citizen to try and resolve the issue. The citizen claimed that Sergeant S. was rude and inappropriate. Sergeant S. claimed that the citizen began using profanity during the phone call. There was no recording of the phone call, and Sergeant S. was not required to record the call. I find the allegation against Sergeant S. to be Not Sustained. Commissioner Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

**CPC 247-13** – A citizen complained that Officer E. was rude and that he allowed his personal feelings to interfere with his decisions. The evidence shows that Officer E. was dispatched to a drug overdose call. The citizen was going to be transported to the hospital, so Officer E. made the decision to have the citizen's dog taken by Animal Control for safekeeping. The citizen's father arrived on scene and stated that he could take the dog. This was after the citizen and the officer agreed that the dog would be taken by Animal Control. The video shows that Officer E.'s conduct was appropriate and that the citizen and Officer E. had a long discussion about the dog. I find the allegation of Officer E.'s improper conduct to be Exonerated. I find the allegation regarding Officer E.'s personal feelings to be Exonerated. Commissioner Foster moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster.

**CPC 250-13** – A citizen complained that unnecessary force was used by officers when they subdued him. The evidence shows that the citizen was suicidal, was walking near Central where other persons were present, and that citizen was armed with large scissors. The evidence indicated that the citizen had taken over 360 pills. The officers attempted to talk to the citizen, but the citizen refused to talk to officers and refused to comply with officers' orders. Because of other people in the vicinity, supervisors determined that the use of bean bag rounds was the appropriate method to take control of citizen. The lapel video supports that Officer E. and Officer L.'s conduct was appropriate during this incident, and this allegation should be Exonerated for both officers. Officer E. stated that he did not record the incident because of the excitement of the moment. I find that Officer E. should be Sustained on his failure to record the incident. Commissioner Foster

moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed. (Robin, I have never seen this file, and don't know how many officers were there. Michelle should check this one)

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

**CPC 259-13** – A citizen stated that she had gone to the Family Advocacy Center to report that her father, who had molested her previously, was being released from prison after serving time for the crime. The father had attempted to contact the citizen. Citizen claims that when the officer made contact with her, that he was sarcastic. The detective then apologized to the citizen. The evidence shows that the detective's conduct was inappropriate, and that the allegation should be Sustained. Chair Peterson moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

**CPC 007-14** – A citizen alleged improper detention by officers. Citizen stated that her fiancé was shot by officers and she went to the scene. Citizen alleges that her detention was improper. The evidence indicates that citizen went to the scene voluntarily and stayed at the scene voluntarily. Officers were investigating citizen for possible charges of aiding and abetting a felon, but she was not under arrest. Citizen was transported from the scene so that a detective could conduct an interview. The evidence indicates that citizen's detention was appropriate and the allegation regarding this SOP should be unfounded. Officer M. transported citizen from the scene, and Officer M. should have recorded that contact. I find Officer M.'s conduct regarding this SOP should be Sustained. Commissioner Foster moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster

**CPC 068-14** – A citizen called APD because she needed to get into the home of her deceased father. Citizen stated that the officer was rude. The video indicated that the officer's conduct was improper, and the allegation should be Sustained. The officer did not record the incident in its entirety, and the failure to record should be Sustained. Commissioner Foster moved to approve the findings of the IRO. Passed.

For: 3 – Peterson, Barker, Foster.