



City of Albuquerque
Mayor/CAO

Independent Review Office
of the
Police Oversight Commission

Report

Bambi Folk, Chair

Bob Francis, Vice Chair

William Deaton, Independent Review Officer

Police Oversight Commissioners

Bambi Folk, Chair

Bob Francis, Vice Chair

David Adkins

Matthew Archuleta

Linda Martinez

Richard Sobien

Independent Review Office Staff

William W. Deaton, Independent Review Officer

Francisca Garcia, Senior Administrative Assistant

Trey Flynt, Investigator

Diane McDermott, Investigator

Paul Skotchdopole, Investigator

Table of Contents

Chairman's Perspective

Executive Summary

- I. Responsibilities of the POC and IRO**
- II. Processing Complaints Against The Police**
- III. Timeliness of Investigating Citizen Complaints**
- IV. Sustained Allegations**
- V. Public Outreach**

Chairman's Perspective

Chairperson's Perspective 2011 Fourth Quarter Report

With the New Year, changes are coming for the Police Oversight commission (POC), the Albuquerque Police Department, and the citizens of Albuquerque.

The MGT review of the POC has been completed and its final report has been submitted to the City Council. The POC has received some commendations in the report, and some recommendations for change. Implementing certain recommendations will require coordination with other city entities such as the City Council, the Mayor's office, or the Albuquerque Police Department. I have begun to work on other recommendations.

I believe that the citizens of Albuquerque will realize that implementation of our efforts to modify and improve our police oversight activity will take time; and that revising these practices and procedures is an ongoing process. The POC Commissioners will bring their best and most conscientious efforts to address and resolve the sensitive issues and changes which will be brought before them.

Bambi Folk

Chair

Police oversight Commission

Executive Summary

Mission Statement

The mission of the Police Oversight Commission (POC) is to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of all citizen complaints brought by individuals against the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), and to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices and procedures. William Deaton, the Independent Review Officer (IRO) works with the POC and APD to ensure that (1) citizen complaints are thoroughly investigated, (2) citizens have a fair opportunity to appeal the results, and that (3) APD policies are changed to prevent the recurrence of problems identified through the complaint process.

Complaints Filed During 2011

There were 51 complaints filed in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Policy Reviews

The Long Term Planning Committee reviews all complaints where the IRO and the Chief disagree before the cases are heard by the full POC. The committee also reviews all IRO reports on police shootings and makes a recommendation to the POC.

INFORMATION CONCERNING THE PROCESS

I. Responsibilities of the POC and IRO

The purpose of the police oversight system is to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of all citizen complaints brought by individuals against the Albuquerque Police Department (APD), and to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices and procedures.

The Police Oversight Commission

The Police Oversight Commission (POC) is composed of nine volunteers who broadly represent the diversity of the City. The POC has been charged to perform the following functions.

1. To promote a spirit of accountability and communication between the citizens and APD while improving community relations and enhancing public confidence.
2. To oversee the full investigation and/or mediation of all citizen complaints; audit and monitor all investigations and/or police shootings under investigation by APD's Internal Affairs (IA).

3. To continue the cooperation of APD and solicit public input by holding regularly scheduled public meetings.
4. To review all work of the Independent Review Office (IRO) with respect to quality, thoroughness, and impartiality of investigations.
5. To submit periodic reports to the Mayor and City Council.
6. To submit all findings to the Chief of Police.
7. To engage in a long-term planning process through which it identifies major problems and establishes a program of policy suggestions and studies each year.

The Long Term Planning Committee:

The Long Term Planning Committee (LTPC) of the POC consists of three commissioners and is chaired by Bambi Folk. These meetings are open to the public. The LTPC conducts detailed reviews of issues referred to them by the POC.

The Independent Review Officer: William W. Deaton is an inactive attorney who manages the Independent Review Office and its staff. The IRO is given autonomy and performs the following duties under the supervision of the POC.

1. The IRO receives all citizen complaints directed against APD and any of its officers. The IRO will review the citizen complaints and assign them to be investigated by IRO independent investigators or by Internal Affairs.
2. The IRO will oversee, monitor and review all of those investigations and make findings for each. These findings are reviewed by the chain of command of the Albuquerque Police Department and, if agreement is reached by the Chief of Police and the Independent Review Officer, forwarded to the POC for their approval. When there is no agreement between APD and the IRO, the matter is presented to the Police Oversight Commission as a “non-concurrence”, and the Commission then makes its findings.
3. The IRO makes recommendations and gives advice regarding APD policies and procedures to the POC, City Council, APD, and the Mayor.
4. An impartial system of mediation may be used for certain complaints.
5. The IRO monitors all claims of excessive force and police shootings and is an ex-officio member of the Claims Review Board.
6. The IRO ensures that all investigations are thorough, objective, fair, impartial, and free from political influence.
7. The Independent Review Office maintains and compiles information sufficient to satisfy the POC’s quarterly reporting requirements.
8. The IRO and his/her staff shall play an active public role in the community and provide appropriate outreach to the community; publicizing the citizen complaint process and the locations within the community that are suitable for citizens to file complaints in a non-police environment.

II. Processing Complaints Against the Police

Any person may file a written complaint against APD or any of its officers. All complaints must be signed by the complainant as required by the union contract.

These written complaints can be sent to:

- a. The IRO's website at www.cabq.gov/iro.
- b. The IRO office at Room 813, Plaza del Sol, 600 2nd St, NW.
- c. Mail to IRO, P.O. Box 1293, Albuquerque, NM 87103, or
- d. Internal Affairs, Albuquerque Police Department.

Complaint forms and the Ordinance establishing the POC and the IRO are available on the IRO website (see above). Complaint forms are also available at the IRO office, at City libraries, homeless shelters, police substations, and the Internal Affairs Unit of APD. The complaints may be filed with the city staff. All complaints will be forwarded to the IRO.

When the IRO receives a complaint, the complaint is entered into the IRO's case management database and assigned a unique Citizen Police Complaint (CPC) number. The IRO reviews the complaint and assigns the case to the IRO investigators or Internal Affairs. Upon completion of the investigation, the IRO reviews the investigation for thoroughness, impartiality, and fairness. The IRO will also consider and determine recommendations by the investigators as to which APD Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) the citizen alleged to be violated; and what are the appropriate findings and conclusions based on the evidence developed in the investigation. Findings are based on a preponderance of the evidence.

Definitions of complaint dispositions are as follows:

1. **Sustained:** APD Member is determined to have committed the alleged violation.
2. **Not Sustained:** It cannot be determined by a preponderance of the evidence whether the member did or did not commit the alleged violation.
3. **Unfounded:** Member did not commit the alleged violation.
4. **Exonerated:** Member was justified in taking the course of action alleged and/or member was operating with the guidelines of the law or SOPs.
5. **Inactivated:** The complaint was determined to not merit further investigation. Complaints can be inactivated for several reasons, including; failure to allege a violation of SOPs, submitting a complaint over 90 days after the incident, complaint is not against APD members, the APD member cannot be identified, or the case was successfully mediated.

*Mediation has been very successful. Chief Schultz formalized a mediation program. Lieutenant Jan Olstad is in charge of the mediation program. Five selected lieutenants and sergeants received mediation training. IRO investigators informally mediate some complaints. Mediated complaints are generally inactivated. Therefore, the number of inactivated complaints has increased substantially.

The IRO's findings are reviewed by the Police chain of command and then, if there is agreement between the Chief of Police and the IRO; sent to the Police Oversight Commission in the form of a Public Record Letter to be sent to the citizen. If the Chief of Police disagrees with the IRO's findings, the POC decides the matter after hearing both sides. A Public Record Letter stating the findings is then sent to the complainant by certified mail. The Chief of Police has sole disciplinary authority over police department personnel.

If the citizen who filed the complaint is dissatisfied with the findings, he may appeal that decision to the POC. The appeal must be made within ten business days from the date the citizen receives the aforementioned public record letter from the IRO or POC. Appeals and non-concurrences are heard during the POC's monthly televised meetings which are open to the public. Upon appeal, the POC may adopt or change the findings and recommendations of the IRO and may make further recommendations to the Chief. If the citizen is still not satisfied with the action of the POC or the Chief of Police, the citizen may request a review by the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) within 10 business days.

This process is very transparent and it is subject to public scrutiny. The administration, city councilors, and citizens of Albuquerque have created a functioning and productive system of civilian oversight of the police department that they can be proud of. The passage of the amendments to the Police Oversight Ordinance in 2004 has improved the system. Our City Council has given us an ordinance which has put in place one of the best operating oversight systems in the United States and it is unique to Albuquerque.

III. Timeliness of Investigating Citizen Complaints

In 2011 we have received 65 complaints. With our three investigators, the IRO office has investigated almost all complaints. A few complaints have been referred to Internal Affairs for investigation. The IRO sought extensions of time for investigation in fewer than 2% of the cases received during 2011.

IV. Sustained Allegations

In 2001, 53 complaints were sustained. 39 were sustained in 2002, while eighteen of those cases were sustained in the last three months of 2002. 49 complaints were Sustained from 2003 and 62 citizen complaints were sustained in 2004. Three police shooting investigations were sustained by the POC, but one was reversed on appeal by the CAO. In 2005, 68 citizen complaints and 8 police shootings have been sustained for procedural violations as of this report. In 2006, 73 complaints were sustained. In 2007, 89 complaints were sustained. In

2008, 48 complaints were sustained. In 2009, 55 complaints were sustained. In 2010, 95 complaints were sustained. In the fourth quarter of 2011, 13 complaints were sustained.

V. Public Outreach

In Second Quarter of 2010 the IRO office designed a pamphlet discussing the IRO and POC process.

A massive e-mail was sent to all Neighborhood Associations letting them know about the IRO/POC. An invitation was also attached for the IRO to present at their meetings.

IRO staff went to public library branches and distributed pamphlets and complaint forms.

A massive e-mail was sent to all APS High School Principals with an invitation for the IRO to present at their schools.

IRO pamphlets and complaint forms were delivered to the Islamic Center of New Mexico on Yale.

IRO staff went to community centers and senior centers and distributed pamphlets and complaint forms.

September 12, 2010 IRO presented at the Peppertree/Royal Oak Neighborhood association.

September 29, 2010 IRO staff presented at the School on Wheels Government/Civics Class.

October 28, 2010 IRO presented at the Academy Hills Park Neighborhood Association

Statistics

Quarterly and Year to Date Summary of Citizen Complaints.

Cases Received This Quarter: 52
Cases Received Year to Date: 254
Mediated Cases This Quarter: 10
Mediated Cases Year to Date: 24
Total Pending Cases: 47

Complaints Received by IRO (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Jan: 21
Feb: 24
Mar: 20
Apr: 28
May: 22
June: 23
July: 8
Aug: 15
Sept: 14
Oct: 8
Nov: 8
Dec: 1
YTD: 192

Incident Occurrences by Month (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Jan: 26
Feb: 16
Mar: 25
Apr: 25
May: 23
June: 15
July: 8
Aug: 23
Sept: 12
Oct: 4
Nov: 8
Dec: 2
Unk: 25
YTD: 212

YTD Incident Occurrences by Day of the Week (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Mon: 32
Tue: 33
Wed: 38
Thu: 31
Fri: 33
Sat: 36
Sun: 29
Unk: 49

YTD Incident Occurrences by APD Area (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

FH: 13
NE: 28
SE: 30
VA: 31
WS: 0
NW: 27
SW: 21
Unk: 131

YTD Incident Occurrences by Time of Day (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

12 AM - 3 AM: 8
3 AM - 6 AM: 6
6 AM - 9 AM: 11
9 AM - Noon: 24
Noon - 3PM: 28
3 PM - 6PM: 38
6 PM - 9 PM: 34
9 PM- 12 AM: 21
Unknown: 111

YTD Count of Complaints by Citizen Gender (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Male: 140
Female: 141
Unknown: 6

YTD Count of Complaints by Citizen Ethnicity (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Asian:	3
Afro-American:	10
Hispanic:	34
Native-American:	3
White:	38
Other:	2
Unknown:	196

YTD Count of Complaints by Citizen Age (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

18-23:	26
24-29:	35
30-35:	39
36-41:	38
42-47:	24
48-53:	29
54-59:	21
60-65:	11
66-71:	7
72-77:	2
78-83:	0
84-89:	0
90-95:	0
96-100:	0
Unknown:	51

YTD Count of Complaints by Officer Gender (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Male:	141
Female:	26
Unknown:	1

YTD Count of Complaints by Officer Ethnicity (Excludes Unresolved Cases)

Asian:	2
Afro-American:	5
Hispanic:	73
Native-American:	2
White:	82
Other:	0
Unknown:	4

YTD Total Count of Complaints by SOP Violation Category and Investigation Resolution

<u>SOP Category</u>	<u>SOP</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Exon</u>	<u>Sus</u>	<u>NSus</u>	<u>Just</u>	<u>Unf</u>	<u>Med</u>
Acting Officially	1-04-4N	8	2	1	1		4	
Arrests	1-02-2-2B	1		1				
Arrests	1-02-2-2D2	1		1				
Arrests	2-14-14D7	1		1				
Arrests	2-14-17C1&3	1		1				
Arrests	1-02-2B2	23	16	4	3			
Attitude	1-04-4O	4		1	3			
Communications	2-47-1A(1)	1		1				
Court	2-01-3A	1		1				
Damage to Civilian Property	2-02-2A1-3	3					3	
Driving Behaviors	1-04-6N	2		1	1			
Domestic Violence Issues	3-12-6P	1	1					
Evidence Safekeeping	2-48-3C	1		1				
Evidence Safekeeping	2-08-1	4	1		1		2	
Evidence Safekeeping	2-08-12D	1	1					
Falsification of Documentation	1-04-4U1	1	1					
General Conduct	1-04-1F	81	31	15	29		6	
General Conduct	1-04-4G1	1					1	
General Conduct	1-04-1G1	9	3	2	4			
General Conduct	1-04-4A	4	2				2	
General Conduct	1-04-4C	1	1					
General Conduct	1-04-4F	2		1			1	

<u>SOP Category</u>	<u>SOP</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Exon</u>	<u>Sus</u>	<u>NSus</u>	<u>Just</u>	<u>Unf</u>	<u>Med</u>
General Conduct	1-04-1C	3		3				
General Conduct	1-04-1A	5	4				1	
General Conduct	1-04-4B	2		2				
General Conduct	1-04-1G1&2	1		1				
General Conduct	1-04-9F1&3	1					1	
General Conduct	1-04-4D	1					1	
General Conduct	1-04-9A	1		1				
General Conduct	1-04-4Z	1		1				
General Conduct	1-04-4A&D	1					1	
General Conduct	1-04-1	1	1					
Investigations/Documentation	2-24-3A	1	1					
Investigations/Documentation	2-24-3F5	1	1					
Investigations/Documentation	2-24-3 F5	1	1					
Investigations/Documentation	2-24-3F1-5	2	2					
Investigations/Documentation	2-24-3	1	1					
Investigations/Documentation	2-24-3F	1		1				
Language/Gestures	1-04-4P	20	1	9	8		2	
Language/Gestures	1-04-1P	2		1	1			
Providing Name	1-02-3A	13	4	2	6		1	
Racial Profiling	1-03-2C	7	3				4	
Racial Profiling	1-03-2A	6					6	
Racial Profiling	1-03-4C	1	1					

<u>SOP Category</u>	<u>SOP</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Exon</u>	<u>Sus</u>	<u>NSus</u>	<u>Just</u>	<u>Unf</u>	<u>Med</u>
Report Writing Issues	1-04-4V	1		1				
Report Writing Issues	1-05-6D	2	1	1				
Report Writing Issues	1-05-6I4	1		1				
Restraints and Transportation	2-19-5	2		1	1			
Rights of Observers	1-31-1	1	1					
Rights of Observers	1-31-4	1			1			
Searches/Seizures	1-02-2BC	1	1					
Searches/Seizures	1-02-2B1	1	1					
Supervisory Issues	3-18-3G	1		1				
Towing	2-48-2D1&2	1		1				
Towing	2-48-3E	1		1				
Traffic Enforcement Stops	2-47-1B1	1			1			
Traffic Enforcement Stops	2-18-8B	1	1					
Traffic Enforcement Stops	2-18-2A	1			1			
Truthfulness	1-04-4W	4		4				
Use/Obtainment of Information	1-37-4C	1		1				
Use/Obtainment of Information	1-37-2C	1		1				
Use/Obtainment of Information	1-37-2A	2		2				
Use/Obtainment of Information	1-04-4Q	1		1				
Use of APD Vehicles	1-04-22	1		1				
Use of APD Vehicles	1-19-10I1	1	1					
Use of APD Vehicles	1-19-10K	1		1				
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A2	2		2				

<u>SOP Category</u>	<u>SOP</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Exon</u>	<u>Sus</u>	<u>NSus</u>	<u>Just</u>	<u>Unf</u>	<u>Med</u>
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A9	3			3			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1	1			1			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-3B	2			2			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-2A	1			1			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A6	2			2			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A3&5	1				1		
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A5	6			6			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A2,3,	1			1			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-2B	2			2			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A	2		1	1			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A8	1			1			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A3	1			1			
Use of Belt Recorders	1-39-1A2&5	1			1			
Use of Force	2-52-2A	35		22		9		4
Use of Force	2-52-6B1	2			2			
Use of Force	2-52-7E2	1			1			
Use of Force	2-52-6D2A	1			1			
Use of Force	2-52-8G3A1	1		1				
Other	2-50-1B1	2		2				
Other	1-01-G1	1			1			

YTD Officers Involved in Multiple Complaints for the Current Year

<u>1 Complaint</u>	<u>2 Complaints</u>	<u>3 Complaints</u>	<u>Over 3 Complaints</u>	<u>Civilian</u>
351	104	40	29	0

Cases Received and Reviewed this Year

The Albuquerque Police Department provides for police protection, law enforcement, investigation, crime prevention and maintenance of order in the community.

In order to carry out their duties and responsibilities, the police are empowered with legal authority. To achieve success, the Department must win and retain the confidence and respect of the citizens it serves. Police officers do not act for themselves, but for the public. To that end, it is necessary to create and maintain a system through which the Department can be effectively directed and controlled. Written directives have been incorporated into Standard Operating Procedures (SOP's) to guide and direct department personnel in the performance of their duties. Violations of these provisions may result in disciplinary charges against personnel.

Standard Operating Procedures are defined as written orders by the Chief of Police or a bureau, division, or section commander to define policy and direct procedures for specific situations of events.

The following section lists each of the Citizen Police Complaints (CPC) received for this specific quarter or in the case of an annual report, all of the CPC's received year-to-date.

Each CPC entry is formatted with the CPC Number, the complainants City Council District, the complaints Neighborhood Association (NHA), the investigating bureau (IRO or IA), a brief synopsis of the complaint, the current case status, followed by each of the officers involved in the complaint including their assigned APD area. The Officers actual names have been omitted, and for any given complaint, are referred to using alphabetic letters (A-Z). Within each officer listing, is the SOP number involved, the SOP's general category, the case finding, the Chief/IRO Decision and the case disposition. For any SOP non-concurrence between the Chief and IRO, additional levels of commentary relative to the POC, Chief and CAO are listed.

CPC-2010-094 District: 6 NHA: La Mesa

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that two APD officers responded to her home, because she had called in to complain about a neighbor who had threatened to shoot her. One of the responding officers arrested her. She complains that the officer wanted to leave her home unsecured, that he left her wallet in her home when it should have been tagged into evidence, that she was improperly arrested, that she was handcuffed too tightly, that the officer drove her to the jail in a reckless and unsafe manner and when they got to the jail, he re-handcuffed her tightly and taunted her in front of jail personnel. She further complains that when she got out of jail, she was missing \$350.00 from her wallet. She suspects that the assisting officer stole her money. She complains that the officer who arrested her and the neighbor she had the dispute with grew up together and that they are friends. Lastly she complains that when it came time for her to go to court, the officer failed to show up to prosecute the case.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-08-1 (Evidence Safekeeping)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officially)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-19-10I1 (Use of APD Vehicles)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-01-3A (Court)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 2-08-1 (Evidence Safekeeping)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

Citizen alleged that her children told her about an incident that occurred with her husband where police beat him up, used racial slurs and threatened the children with being taken away if they said anything. She claimed her husband was severely injured. When her husband was interviewed he made several additional allegations such as inappropriate language and conduct. He also claimed damages were done to his vehicle.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures) **Finding:** Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling) **Finding:** Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

Officer: B **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 2-02-2A1-3 (Damage to Civilian Property) **Finding:** Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

Officer: C **APD Area:**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 2-02-2A1-3 (Damage to Civilian Property) **Finding:** Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

Officer: D **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 2-02-2A1-3 (Damage to Civilian Property) **Finding:** Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-165 District: 4 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that he noticed a van speeding through a parking lot near a park where kids were present. He motioned for the van driver to slow down; and when the van was exiting the parking lot, citizen had a confrontation with the driver, and off-duty APD Lieutenant. Citizen alleges that the off duty Lieutenant pointed a gun at him and then left. Citizen claims the use of force was inappropriate and unnecessary. He also claims that when the Lieutenant returned to apologize that he was rude and not sincere.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-166 District: 3 NHA: South Broadway

Investigator: IRO

Citizen alleges that on two occasions, one where she was present and one of which she had knowledge of, officers harassed and arrested clients of the harm reduction needle exchange program. Specifically, one officer during the encounter she was involved in was very rude and confrontational.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-170 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

Citizen alleged that his ex girlfriend used her position with APD in order to harass him by sending officers out to detain him for a warrant that did not exist. Citizen claims that the parties involved conspired with citizen's ex-girlfriend against him. Citizen knew that one of the officers that went to his home was friendly with citizen's ex-wife and that officer used unnecessary force and inappropriate language against citizen.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area:

SOP: 1-37-2A (Use/Obtainment of Information) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Terminated

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Terminated

SOP: 1-04-4W (Truthfulness) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Terminated

Officer: B APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officially) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Terminated

SOP: 1-04-4W (Truthfulness) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Terminated

Officer: C APD Area: VA

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officially) **Finding:** Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force) **Finding:** Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 1-04-4U1 (Falsification of Documentation) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

SOP: 1-39-2B (Use of Belt Recorders) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2010-173 District: 1 NHA: Westgate Heights

Investigator: IRO

The citizen complains that two officers from the APD pursued him without cause and chased him into his home. The officers entered his home without a warrant or consent and once inside they used excessive force in apprehending the citizen. He also alleges that the officers used foul language when dealing with him and his girlfriend's minor children.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Training

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-176 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

Citizen claimed the officers did not investigate the accident or the subsequent drug paraphernalia charge adequately. Citizen stated his vehicle was searched without permission and he was not provided Miranda Warnings. He did not receive proper medical treatment because of the arrest; or when his condition worsened while he was kept in a hot police car. One officer was very rude in his comments to him and the other did not care about his situation. He was not transported safely due to careless driving and his not being placed in a seat belt.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: VA

SOP: 2-50-1B1 (Other)	Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None
SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)	Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None
SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)	Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None
SOP: 2-24-3F1-5 (Investigations/Documentation)	Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None

Officer: B APD Area: NE

SOP: 2-50-1B1 (Other)	Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None
SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)	Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None
SOP: 2-24-3F1-5 (Investigations/Documentation)	Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None
SOP: 2-19-5 (Restraints and Transportation)	Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None
SOP: 1-04-6N (Receiving Special Privileges)	Finding: Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None
SOP: 1-39-1A (Use of Belt Recorders)	Finding: Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed	Disposition: None

CPC-2010-180 District: 5 NHA: Alban Hills

Investigator: IRO

The citizen had an altercation with his ex-girlfriend and the police were called. Neither party was arrested. The citizen alleges the officers treated him in an unprofessional manner once his ex-girlfriend told them he had a prior domestic violence case.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-39-1A (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-182 District: 3 NHA: University Heights

Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated he was taken to what he claimed was the wrong hospital and when he tried to leave, the hospital called security. An APD officer came to his room and tased him several times without cause, laughing and joking about it the whole time. Citizen claimed the same officer served a restraining order on him and was very mean and seemed to have something against him.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 2-52-8G3A1 (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-183 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen is an MDC employee. Citizen alleges that she received a harassing telephone call from APD Transport Officers. Citizen alleges that one officer threatened to commit a battery against citizen and the other officer yelled over the telephone at citizen and told her to keep her name out of "fucking mouth".

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1C (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Terminated

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Terminated

SOP: 1-04-4B (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Terminated

SOP: 1-04-4W (Truthfulness)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Terminated

Officer: B **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1C (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Resignation

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Resignation

SOP: 1-04-4B (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Resignation

SOP: 1-04-4W (Truthfulness)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Resignation

CPC-2010-184 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IA

Citizen's complaint alleges that she was not allowed to enter the Noon Day Ministry facility and that she was pushed out by an APD officer.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-186 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Officer Identified

CPC-2010-190 District: 3 NHA: Santa Barbara/Martineztown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that an APD officer confronted him while he was crossing the street. The officer taunted him with his police car, called him over to the car and threatened him, and then the officer grabbed him by the shirt and pushed him away from the car. The officer then drove away from the citizen.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-192 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated that an on duty officer pulled him over based on wrong assumptions made by two off duty officers. Citizen's written complaint implicated both officers as unprofessional particularly because of their attire, but in his interview the attire was a secondary issue to the way that he was treated, specifically by one of the officers.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-01-G1 (Other)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1G1&2 (General Conduct)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2010-194 District: 3 NHA: Wells Park

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that he was in the park just sitting there enjoying the day. He was approached by three police officers, two female and one male and he was searched by one of the female officers. He was then told by the other female officer that he could not stay there. When he protested and said that he was not breaking the law, the female officer told him to "shut up". Citizen was then issued a Criminal Trespass warning and the female officer told him if he was seen in the park again, he would be arrested.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-195 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated that he was riding his bicycle in his neighborhood and did nothing wrong or suspicious to draw the attention of an officer. An officer approached him. Initially, the citizen did not know it was an officer until the officer identified himself. Citizen stated that there was no reason for the officer to approach him and the only reason he did so was that citizen is African-American. Citizen claimed the officer's contact with him was a violation because it was racially motivated.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-02-2B1 (Searches/Seizures)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-198 District: 5 NHA: Taylor Ranch

Investigator: IRO

The apartment manager learned of an incident between her administrative assistant and an individual that turned out to be a reserve officer with APD. She believed he abused his position by trying to use his position to intimidate. The officer's conduct was inappropriate.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-199 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

The citizen stated an officer says he saw him flip him off and curse at him so the officer stopped him, illegally searched him, and shot him with a taser for no reason.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-201 District: 1 NHA: Alamosa

Investigator: IA

Citizen was involved in a traffic accident with the husband of an APD dispatcher. Citizen believes that investigating officers were not dispatched promptly, and that the dispatcher was trying to "cover" for her husband. Citizen further states that she wanted a police report but that the officer and PSA referred her to the substation. Citizen contends that the other car's driver should have been cited for "reckless driving" and no proof of current insurance; and that he was given preferential treatment because he was married to a dispatcher.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-9F1&3 (General Conduct)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-05-6D (Report Writing Issues)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-204 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Frivolous or Harassment

CPC-2010-205 District: 9 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen alleges that during a domestic violence investigation, the officer showed a "conflict of interest" towards the complainant and that the officer damaged walls while searching her trailer. Citizen also alleges that the officer used excessive force against her husband during a separate incident in which her husband was arrested.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: FH**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4D (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A6 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-206 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she was trying to get her elderly mother to her apartment but the streets had been blocked to restrict Balloon Fiesta Traffic from entering the neighborhood. Citizen ended up at Jefferson and Alameda where she was allegedly confronted by an irate APD officer who took her car key and threatened her with arrest. The officer eventually allowed her to proceed through the barricades and into the neighborhood, but only after she showed him her mother's identification. She believes the officer acted rudely and that he did so because she and her mother are Native Americans.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-207 District: 3 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen arrived at the scene of an accident involving her fiancé and another driver. The police were called and two different officers arrived at two different times. The first officer to arrive was rude, disinterested and refused to help the citizens. The first officer refused to write a police report, despite requests to do so. The second officer was very nice, wrote a report, and did everything the citizens expected the first officer to do.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-4O (Attitude)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 1-05-6D (Report Writing Issues)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2010-208 District: 3 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that after she was attacked by other women at Hotel the police officers responding to the call declined to arrest a person she pointed out as her assailant. She further alleges that she was not given medical help for the bite she received during the aforementioned attack. Citizen complains that although she was bleeding from her mouth and spitting, she declined to go to the hospital because her complaint was not being taken seriously and she was frustrated with the treatment she was receiving. She specifically complains that a black police officer, who talked to her, used profanity and told her she was stupid. She asked for the names of all the officers who had responded to the call; particularly, one officer. Citizen also alleges that one officer told her that she could get the names from the report which would be filed because citizen was going to jail. When citizen asked to speak to a supervisor officer told her that she could see a supervisor at the PTU since she was going to jail and had been taken into custody. At the PTU, citizen alleges that the same officer made inappropriate remarks about her, and that two officers had an inappropriate conversation about their "dating" activities while waiting for a supervisor. Citizen asserts that she was arrested in retaliation for asking for the identity of the two officers and that she was discriminated against because she is African-American.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-24-3 (Investigations/Documentation)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-210 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-212 District: 2 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen and his friend were in the parking lot of Boston's Pizza conducting business when an officer approached, immediately acting aggressive and angry. The officer made several inappropriate comments and humiliated citizen by berating him. The officer was physically aggressive when he searched and handcuffed citizen and then forced him to the ground despite his numerous physical ailments. The officer used profanity throughout the incident and searched citizen's truck without permission.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-05-6I4 (Report Writing Issues)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-214 District: 2 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she was stopped by an APD officer for an alleged traffic violation. She complains that the officer was rude and unprofessional and that he treated citizen disrespectfully. When she asked for the officer's name and badge number, the officer did not provide it in a cordial manner.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-215 District: 5 NHA: Taylor Ranch

Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated he was treated differently because of his and his lowrider car's appearance. Citizen claimed he was stopped without cause, he and his car searched without reason and he was subjected to numerous unnecessary questions. Citizen claimed the officer manhandled him for no reason and that the officer should have taken the time to check citizen's good record before dealing with him.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-216 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen was driving his motorcycle when he observed a semi truck smoking very badly in front of him in the right lane. The truck was being followed by a police car. Citizen observed a second police car which was northbound. The second police car turned on its lights, made a u-turn, and drove up in the second lane beside the first police car. As citizen drove along behind the two police cars he could see them laughing and talking to each other car-to-car, and slowing down traffic by driving slower than regular traffic in two lanes of southbound traffic. Citizen pulled his motorcycle up in the third lane beside the second police car and told the driver, that the cops think they can do anything they want to do. Citizen alleges that the officer responded, "you are damned right I can do whatever I want Whenever I want, however I want, and if you don't lit it pull over and I'll find a reason to cite you and arrest you". Citizen, noting that the officer had seen the USMC identification on citizen's motorcycle, then details derogatory remarks allegedly made by the officer about citizen's Marine Corps service.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-217 District: 8 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2010-218 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-220 District: 1 NHA: Stinson Tower

Investigator: IRO

Two employees of KOB-TV were reporting from a scene when the Sgt approached them. They allege the Sgt grabbed one of the Citizens roughly and pushed him away while telling him to leave before cursing that no media should be in the area.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-222 District: 1 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen claimed the officer that responded to a family dispute did not treat her well or investigate the issue because of her Hispanic last name.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-2B (Use of Belt Recorders)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-223 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

The citizen was involved in a traffic accident. The citizen alleges the officer did not interview her about the accident and did not investigate the circumstances completely.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 2-18-8B (Traffic Enforcement Stops)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-224 District: 7 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that an APD officer responded to her home to investigate a complaint of domestic violence. She claims that the officer was intimidating and unprofessional in his behavior, and that the officer looked at her body in a way that made her feel uncomfortable.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-225 District: 8 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that when she was arrested and incarcerated for domestic violence her purse and a makeup kit were seized from her and not tagged into evidence or returned to her when she was released

from jail. When she called the arresting officer to inquire about her missing property, the officer was rude to her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: FH**

SOP: 2-08-1 (Evidence Safekeeping)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-9A (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-39-1A8 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 2-08-1 (Evidence Safekeeping)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-226 District: 5 NHA: Skies West

Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that an APD officer was rude and disrespectful to her when responding to a call by the citizen reporting a man who had threatened to kiss her and her family. Citizen repeatedly and incorrectly tried to link the incident prompting the citizen's call to a fight at a party down the street from citizen's house. Officer did not take citizen accusation seriously, and she failed to perform a proper preliminary investigation or to go to the residence of the alleged perpetrator of the threats.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-24-3F (Investigations/Documentation)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-228 District: 7 NHA: Jerry Cline Park

Investigator: IRO

The citizen and her husband were having an argument and someone called APD. When APD arrived they arrested her husband even though she claims he never hit her. The citizen alleges the officers were not professional when dealing with her.

Case Status: Closed

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-236 District: 1 NHA: West Mesa

Investigator: IA

Citizen complains about three incidents. All three incidents involve police harassment of clients of the syringe exchange program where citizen is a harm reduction specialist. Citizen did not observe any of the incidents.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4A (General Conduct)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4A (General Conduct)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-237 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that while at the Metropolitan Courthouse, she had just left a court hearing and was followed out by an APD officer who had been involved in the hearing. The officer allegedly approached her in an angry manner and threatened to press charges on her for filing a false police report. She complains that she was addressed by the officer in a threatening and unprofessional manner.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-238 District: 6 NHA: South San Pedro

Investigator: IRO

The citizen stated that an APD officer was rude and aggressive with her. The officer maintained his aggressive attitude and unnecessarily called a second officer for back up. The first officer should have

known she posed no threat. He denied her the opportunity to use the restroom despite her discomfort. The first officer did not provide his name and he was vindictive in issuing citizen four tickets. Citizen believed it was the first officer that was aggressive with her husband, but her husband said it was the second officer.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officially)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-239 District: 3 NHA: Downtown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-240 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-241 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2010-242 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that an APD officer was driving an issued car erratically an at times at high speeds on Coors NW. The vehicle exited in Rio Rancho where the driver was using his cell phone and texting.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-4Z (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 1-04-6N (Driving Behaviors)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 1-19-10K (Use of APD Vehicles)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2010-243 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Other Agency

CPC-2010-244 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

The citizen was pulled over for speeding. The citizen alleges the officer was rude and treated her like a murderer/rapist during the incident.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-245 District: 3 NHA: Pat Hurley

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-246 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Other

CPC-2010-247 District: 5 NHA: Ventana Ranch

Investigator: IRO

Officer came to citizen's home in order to investigate a claim of domestic violence. In his written complaint, citizen alleges that when officers detained him in handcuffs, they applied the handcuffs too tightly, causing injury.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

Officer: B **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2010-248 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2010-250 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-251 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2010-252 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that he was illegally stopped while driving through Albuquerque with his father in a rental car on I-25. Citizen alleges that the car was searched without his consent and that after a large sum of money was seized from his luggage by the officer and an unidentified woman, the car was towed. The woman later identified herself as an agent from Homeland Security. After he telephoned his wife, citizen's father tried to make a call on the same cell phone and the officer grabbed the phone. Citizen requested a supervisor and an APD Sgt. came to the scene. Citizen and his father asked to be taken to the police station and the request was refused. Citizen and his father were taken to the rental agency at the airport. When they protested the police giving a narrative concerning the rented car, the police left the rental office. The rental agency informed citizen and his father that the rental agreement had been cancelled.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-253 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2010-254 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-255 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-256 District: 9 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen alleges that police who responded to a domestic violence call at a house she owns failed to secure the residence after arresting her son, for assaulting his girlfriend, who had been house-sitting and tending to a dog at the residence. Citizen alleges that the girlfriend attacked her son with a knife. She also alleges the girlfriend stole various items from the house, and that the house was burglarized and vandalized as the result of being left open after her the girlfriend was removed from the house and her son was wrongfully put in jail.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-4A&D (General Conduct)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2010-257 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2010-258 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2010-259 District: 3 NHA: University Heights

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she and her husband were stopped at Yale and Central by two APD officers for an alleged traffic violation which citizen says did not occur. Her car was towed and impounded. She claims that the officer was rude and provocative and that he was unprofessional in his behavior. She believes that the officer racially profiled her husband and that is why the traffic stop occurred.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2010-260 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2010-261 District: 2 NHA: McKinley Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2010-262 District: 5 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

The citizen was involved in an argument with another party and APD was called. The citizen alleges the officer cursed at her while dealing with her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 1-39-1A3 (Use of Belt Recorders) **Finding:** Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2010-263 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2010-264 District: 2 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she came out of her workplace, and discovered that someone had damaged the door handle to her car in an apparent break in attempt. Citizen called the police to file the report at the time but an officer did not get to the Hospital Parking Lot until an hour and a half later. She complains that after the long wait, the officer who responded to the call was insensitive to her situation and he treated her disrespectfully and he talked down to her. She complains that the hour and a half wait to file the report was absurd.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-04-4O (Attitude) **Finding:** Not Sustained
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

CPC-2010-265 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2010-266 District: 5 NHA: Skies West

Investigator: IRO

The citizen was arrested for Domestic Violence against his wife. The citizen alleges that the officer had been having an inappropriate sexual relationship with the citizen's wife prior to this and that led to his arrest. The citizen also alleges the officer took the citizen's private property and gave it to his wife at the time of his arrest.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officially)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-267 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

The citizen stated despite committing no infraction he was pulled over for a traffic stop. The officer questioned him about drinking and subjected him to a sobriety test for no reason. Citizen alleged the stop was racially motivated and that if he had been a caucasian he would not have been treated in that manner.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-03-2A (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2010-268 District: 3 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that she contacted an APD Sgt. by telephone to inquire about her son, who had been stabbed, and was hospitalized at UNMH; and that the Sgt. was rude and unprofessional in dealing with her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-4Q (Use/Obtainment of Information)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2010-269 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2010-270 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2010-271 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2010-272 District: 3 NHA: Downtown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-001 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

CPC-2011-002 District: 7 NHA: Sombre Del Monte Investigator: IRO

Citizen feels the Officer is out of control and abuses his power

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-003 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-004 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Other

CPC-2011-005 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen was the victim of identity theft at his bank and after dealing with an out-of-town police department wanted to file a report with APD. The citizen alleges the officer who responded was hostile and refused to write a report for him.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-006 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-007 District: 5 NHA: Taylor Ranch

Investigator: IA

Citizen believes there is favoritism, harassment and inaccurate reporting by the officer.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-4O (Attitude)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4G1 (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-008 District: 1 NHA: Anderson Hills

Investigator: IRO

Citizen was upset at how officers handled a situation in response to false allegations his girlfriend made. He was particularly upset that his life was in danger by officer pointing weapons at him, the lack of accurate information provided to him and how he was disrespected, especially in how officers treated his personal property. On later occasions that same day, his ex-girlfriend harassed him by coming to the house with police despite the fact she had no entitlement to be there. Some of the officers that arrived later were demanding and rude.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-4A (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-4A (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: C **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

Officer: D **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-009 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

CPC-2011-010 District: 2 NHA: Los Griegos

Investigator: IRO

Citizen is a student at Valley High School. He was approached by officers and began to argue with them. When Officer attempted to arrest him they all went to the ground and the citizen alleges he was maced and punched.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area:

SOP: 1-39-1A2 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-011 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-012 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-013 District: 6 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen claimed she was stopped because of her race and the neighborhood she was coming from for an alleged violation she did not commit. The officer treated her badly, detained her for an excessive amount of time, and subjected her to an invasive search. Smith claimed the female officer who actually performed the search sexually assaulted her and used unnecessary force against her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area:

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: Unknown

Officer: B APD Area: NE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-014 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-015 District: 2 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that on 12/24/10 at about 6:00 p.m., her family placed a 911 call for an ambulance to come to her mother's home. Her mother was in need of medical attention and needed to be transported to the hospital. Two APD Officers arrived prior to the arrival of the ambulance and one of the officers immediately searched every room. When citizen voiced her objections to the search, the officer put his hand in her face and told her that it was standard procedure to do what he was doing. In the mean time, the officer did not even acknowledge that her mother was ill. The officer allegedly told citizen that if she had a problem with what he was doing they could go outside and talk.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A9 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-016 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-017 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IRO

The Citizen alleges the Officer was rough and threatened him with violence

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-39-1A2 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 2-19-5 (Restraints and Transportation)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-018 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen felt officer was threatening and hostile.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-019 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-020 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen claims APD Officer used excessive force when dealing with her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-39-1 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-021 District: 6 NHA: South San Pedro

Investigator: IRO

Officers rushed in citizen's home in the middle of the night and didn't give an explanation as to why or who they were looking for. Citizen feels violated.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-39-1A9 (Use of Belt Recorders)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

CPC-2011-022 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Citizen believes Officer is abusive of his squad car privileges and tax payer money.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-22 (Use of APD Vehicles)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-023 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-024 District: 6 NHA: Fair West

Investigator: IRO

Citizen believes her rights were violated by officers.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

Officer: B **APD Area: FH**

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

Officer: C **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-025 District: 6 NHA: Victory Hills

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that on January 5, 2011, at about 2:00 p.m., he saw an elderly man hit his vehicle and when he confronted the man, the man left the scene of the accident. An APD officer responded and gathered all the information for the report and said he would be following up on the accident. Days later when there was no report found, citizen contacted the officer who told him that he had not completed the

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-030 District: 5 NHA: Seven Bar North HOA Investigator: IA

Citizen alleges that an argument with her ex-boyfriend became physical and that he attacked her in the garage. A male friend who was present intervened; and citizen scratched her ex to get him away from her. Citizen then called the police and the ex and friend left the house. When officers arrived, citizen asked one officer to photograph bruises on her neck from a previous attack, and the officer refused to do so, saying that they were not sufficiently visible. Citizen also alleged that her ex was told that if he went to the NW substation and gave a statement against her that he would not go to jail. Citizen complains that when her ex went to the substation he was strip searched and forced to give a statement against her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: FH**

SOP: 3-12-6P (Domestic Violence Issues)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-031 District: U NHA: North Albuquerque Acres Community Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

CPC-2011-032 District: 5 NHA: Paradise Hills Civic Investigator: IRO

Citizen was upset that the officer told him that despite the court order giving him custody of his child, the officer could not guarantee that citizen would get his child if his ex-wife did not permit it.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-4C (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-033 District: 2 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains he was stopped at Rio Grande and I-40 for an alleged license plate violation. The officer towed citizen's vehicle from the scene because citizen was not able to prove current proof of insurance. Citizen alleges that the officer who pulled him over and the two officers who arrived on scene later were unprofessional in their behavior towards him.

Case Status: Closed

CPC-2011-039 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-040 District: 1 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Unknown

CPC-2011-041 District: 9 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-042 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-043 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-044 District: 1 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Citizen is involved in a dispute with her neighbors and APD has been called numerous times. The citizen alleges that one officer failed to investigate the issue properly and that another officer was rude when he came to the residence.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-4F (General Conduct) **Finding:** Unfounded
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Unknown

Officer: B **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 2-24-3A (Investigations/Documentation) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** Unknown

CPC-2011-045 District: 1 NHA: West Mesa Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-046 District: 6 NHA: La Mesa Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-047 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-048 District: 4 NHA: Nor Este Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Frivolous or Harassment

CPC-2011-049 District: 1 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that an APD officer towed her mother's car from the street near the back of their residence. When her mother went out to protest the towing of the car, the officer arrested her mother for disorderly conduct. She complains that the officer's conduct was unprofessional and that her mother was dragged from the scene resulting in injuries to her mother's barefoot feet.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: FH**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-050 District: 1 NHA: West Bluff Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-051 District: 6 NHA: La Mesa Investigator: IRO

Officers arrived to retrieve the citizen's young son from him and to give the child to his mother. The citizen alleges that the Sgt was rude, and that the small child was transported by APD without a car seat as required by law.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1C (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Suspension

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Suspension

CPC-2011-052 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-053 District: 4 NHA: North Wyoming

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she had dated a man who is married to a civilian employee of APD. When the man apparently ended their relationship, the citizen sent an email to the man demanding the money that the man owed her and threatened to lien his wife's paycheck if he did not pay her back. On 3/14/11 citizen received a call from an APD officer who told citizen that her behavior in sending the email and making phone calls was harassment and that the matter was being investigated as a criminal matter. Citizen alleges that the officer's behavior in dealing with her over the phone was rude and unprofessional. Citizen also alleged that the civilian employee accessed available secure computer databases and that she obtained personal information about her from those databases and she used that information for personal use.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-37-4C (Use/Obtainment of Information)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Suspension

SOP: 1-37-2C (Use/Obtainment of Information)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Suspension

Officer: B **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-37-2A (Use/Obtainment of Information)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Suspension

CPC-2011-054 District: 7 NHA: ABQ-Park

Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated that officers from the previous day prevented his ex-girlfriend from taking his car. However, when his ex called police the next day, the responding officer did not investigate the situation, allowing her to take the car. The officer used inappropriate language with him and the officer's decisions were based in part, because the officer was biased against him. A subsequent altercation between him, his ex-girlfriend, and another family member of hers resulted in his arrest even though he was the victim. Another officer responding to his call for assistance intimidated him with the showing of a weapon and used inappropriate language.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officially)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-39-2A (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-055 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

The citizen was pulled over for speeding and drugs were found in the car. The citizen alleges the officer handcuffed her in back even though she was pregnant and that the officer did not handle the incident properly.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-056 District: 3 NHA: Barelas

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

CPC-2011-057 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Officer Identified

CPC-2011-058 District: 8 NHA: Matheson Park Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-059 District: 6 NHA: South San Pedro Investigator: IRO

Staff complained that the officer was very rude and intimidating when he responded to their 911 call about a patient.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-060 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Citizen was driving north on Rio Grande Blvd. when she stopped for a red light at the eastbound ramp for I-40. The man in the car behind her honked his horn and motioned for her to go ahead and turn east on to the ramp. Citizen still had a red light and was annoyed by the direction from the man in the car behind her so she "flipped him off." The unmarked car behind her then turned on his emergency lights and had citizen pull over to the side of the ramp. The man, who was wearing a Police academy jacket and tee shirt approached citizen's stopped car and asked for her insurance and license, which she provided.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 2-47-1A(1) (Communications)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-061 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Citizen responded to an officer's Facebook request by telephoning the officer. In 2001, citizen and the officer had worked together in the New Mexico State Police, and citizen alleges that the officer asked him if he were the one who had "ratted him out" in connection with an excessive force incident during that time. Citizen alleges that the officer used profanity during the conversation, and he was concerned at being contacted so many years later in a situation where he felt he had been exonerated.

Case Status: Closed

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2011-070 District: 6 NHA: Fair West

Investigator: IA

Citizen was sitting in his parked vehicle on private property with an open alcohol container. He was viewed as being impaired after physical test but he passed a breath test and was released. His vehicle was towed. He was released from custody by the arresting officer, and then rearrested and booked into jail after he expressed concerns about his safety since he did not have a shirt and had left his identification and glasses in his towed car.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-02-2-2B (Arrests)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained

Disposition: Suspension

SOP: 1-02-2-2D2 (Arrests)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained

Disposition: Suspension

SOP: 2-14-14D7 (Arrests)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-071 District: 4 NHA: Academy Acres North

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-072 District: 7 NHA: Jerry Cline Park

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-073 District: 7 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-074 District: 7 NHA: Sombre Del Monte

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

CPC-2011-075 District: 1 NHA: West Bluff

Investigator: IRO

The citizen owns a business and he alleges that an APD Commander has conspired to violate his rights and those of his customers.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-076 District: 3 NHA: University Heights

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she saw an incident wherein an APD officer threw a woman into a truck and hit a man with a baton at least twice before throwing the man to the ground. The officer then stood over the man with a taser. The officers on scene were allegedly rude and antagonistic towards the many bystanders who were watching and filming the incident.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Unknown

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Counseling

CPC-2011-077 District: 6 NHA: Trumbull Village

Investigator: IRO

The citizen was in a car driven by her son when they were pulled over by the officer. The car was searched and the officer stated he found a baggie with meth in it and the citizen's son was arrested. The citizen believes the meth was not in the car when they were driving it.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-078 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she saw a man down on the ground in the Smith's parking lot having a seizure and an officer was bent down beside the man and the officer had handcuffed the man while he was seizing. When citizen approached she told the officer that someone should not be handcuffed while they are seizing. The officer responded rudely and arrogantly and told citizen "they seize when they steal." The

paramedics took a long time to arrive and when citizen approached a second officer on scene to ask him if he could check on their whereabouts, that officer responded rudely and said, "can't you see I'm busy?"

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-079 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-080 District: 6 NHA: South San Pedro

Investigator: IA

Citizen called 911 to report that her 14 year old son was missing. Approximately an hour later an APD Sgt. arrived and spoke to citizen's sister. The sister alleges that the Sgt. told her that the son was "a piece of shit" and that he could care less whether the son showed up or not. The sister also alleges that the Sgt. had threatened the son in the past saying that he was going to beat, and leave him on the mesa. The sister alleges also that the Sgt. told her that the son had run from one of his officers and that was shy he hated him. The sister alleges that the Sgt. and another officer were giggling about the situation with the son, and that Sgt. sarcastically said in a phone call, "guess what? the son is missing," as if the Sgt had accomplished something.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officially)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-081 District: 5 NHA: Riverfront Estates Inc

Investigator: IRO

Citizen claims she was stopped and not provided an explanation as to why she was pulled over. She states the officer was aggressive towards her because she is African-American. The officer did not fulfill simple requests for information and escalated a situation needlessly. The officer called for additional officers to support his position and the two additional officers did not take the time to assess the situation before reacting. One of the additional officers used excessive force causing injury and damages to the citizen's property. She alleges that she was arrested for no reason.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-03-2A (Racial Profiling)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A3&5 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: C **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-082 District: 7 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-083 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-084 District: 3 NHA: Downtown Investigator: IRO

Citizen alleges his adult son was questioned by two APD detectives. He alleges that the questioning lasted for over two hours and that his son was never offered a break, nor was he allowed to have counsel present, and he was not advised of his Miranda Warnings. He alleges that the detectives "brow beat" his son and that they ridiculed and intimidated him and that they made rude and ridiculous comments to him. He alleges that the Detectives "bashed" his son's religion and that they used profane language during the interview. In a follow up complaint, the citizen alleges that the detectives also questioned his employment as a Sergeant for the California Highway Patrol and that they questioned his integrity, morals, and ethics saying that he had put his career in jeopardy.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-085 District: 2 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Citizen claims that he was barred from attending a VIN Inspection Class and denied access to materials he placed in the classroom by the Detective, who was the instructor. Citizen had flown in from Arizona to take the class, and had driven a vehicle with dealer Demo plates to the class site. The Detective allegedly told the citizen that he was "sick" of dealers abusing their Demo plates; cursed citizen; barred

him from the class; and prevented citizen from coming back into the classroom to recover his sun glasses.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1P (Language/Gestures)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-086 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-087 District: 3 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated that when he tried to provide his current automobile registration the officer would not accept it and then cited him. The officer was aggressive, threatened citizen's life, and told him he was going to make things harder on him in court. The officer was very intimidating and as he departed he laughed at him.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-088 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Frivolous or Harassment

CPC-2011-089 District: 6 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Officer Identified

CPC-2011-090 District: 6 NHA: South San Pedro

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-091 District: 3 NHA: Citizen Imp Comm. of Martineztown

Investigator: IA

Officers were checking the public housing complex for parking violations. While verifying information on a blue Lincoln Town Car, that was backed into a parking stall. While conducting their investigation the owner of the car was watching them. The officers were aware of this and asked him if the vehicle belonged to him. He responded that it did, so they continued their investigation and contacted him. Upon running the vehicle and registered owners information through NCIC/MVD, it was determined that there was a possible outstanding warrant for citizen's arrest and the vehicle was not registered to the listed property. During the interaction, the citizen was displaying a hostile demeanor and one officer made some comments. Citizen's wife is alleging that one of the officers was threatening her family, was passing judgment upon them and retaliated against them.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: FH**

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-092 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

The citizen was pulled over by the officer for speeding and talking on her cell phone. After obtaining her information the officer asked her to walk over to his motorcycle to get her citations. The citizen has MS and walking is difficult. The citizen believes the officer should never have asked her to exit her vehicle and walk to where he was.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-093 District: 5 NHA: Alban Hills

Investigator: IA

Citizen was stopped by an officer for driving without his headlights on. There were also 2 other officers present during the traffic stop. Citizen alleges that officers found a marijuana pipe and a butterfly knife (which he didn't know was illegal). Citizen stated two of the officers told him that he needed to become a snitch if he didn't want to go to jail. He state the officer told him if he didn't call him with a drug dealer's exact address, he knew where he lived and was going to come and arrest him and tell the inmates in jail that he was a snitch. Citizen stated he was cited for reckless driving and forced to walk a mile and a half home while his friends drove his vehicle home.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area:** NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

Officer: C **APD Area:** NW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-094 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she witnessed a friend of hers being arrested by an APD officer and her friend was obviously upset and crying. When citizen walked over to her friend to console her, the officer began to yell and scream at her for entering his crime scene and he threatened her with arrest. She alleges that the officer's behavior was rude, unprofessional, and unsympathetic. She also alleges that the officer refused to give his first name to her.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:** SW

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A9 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Counseling

CPC-2011-096 District: 1 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-097 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-098 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-099 District: 2 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Preliminary Investigation--No SOP

CPC-2011-100 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-101 District: 5 NHA: Ventana Ranch Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-102 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-103 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-104 District: 3 NHA: Downtown Investigator: IRO

Citizen and friends claimed officers used excessive force and behaved inappropriately with him downtown. The officers told citizen's friends to leave when they were concerned for his safety and they refused to identify themselves. Citizen received several injuries because of the officer's actions

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-6B1 (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-7E2 (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-31-1 (Rights of Observers)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A2,3,5 (Use of Belt Recorders)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area:** VA

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-6B1 (Use of Force)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

Officer: C **APD Area:** VA

SOP: 1-31-4 (Rights of Observers)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-105 District: 3 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-106 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she was involved in an accident. She alleges that the Police Service Aide who responded to the accident treated her unfairly and in a biased and discriminatory manner. She further alleges that the Service Aide failed to submit a fair and unbiased police report.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:** SE

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)

Finding: Unfounded

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

SOP: 2-24-3F5 (Investigations/Documentation)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-107 District: 8 NHA: Matheson Park Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-108 District: 3 NHA: Pat Hurley Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Frivolous or Harassment

CPC-2011-109 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-110 District: 6 NHA: Nob Hill Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-111 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-112 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-113 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-114 District: 3 NHA: Downtown Investigator: IRO

Citizen claims that he and some friends had been at a club downtown when they were assaulted by the bouncers and thrown out of the club. Citizen flagged down some passing APD officers and told them about the incident. He complains that the officers acted as if the matter was petty or insignificant to them and that one officer in particular acted that way. When he asked for that officer's name and man number, the officer refused to give it to him.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-4O (Attitude)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-115 District: 6 NHA: Trumbull Village

Investigator: IA

Citizen complains that the officer was rude and sarcastic, and that he acted improperly when he stopped the vehicle in which citizen and her fiancé were traveling.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-116 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Other

CPC-2011-117 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen stated an officer treated her daughter very rudely, called her insulting names and threatened harm to her over a traffic stop.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: NW**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

CPC-2011-118 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Frivolous or Harassment

CPC-2011-119 District: 6 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen alleges he was pulling up to a gas pump when the officer came up to his car with his weapon drawn and began cursing at him.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

CPC-2011-120 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that while at the Sunshine Theater, she and her friends and some family members were assaulted by the Security Guards there. They were escorted out and encountered two APD police officers, one of who began to escort her juvenile son from the scene. She complains that when she approached the officer, she was pushed down to the ground. A short time later when she demanded the officer's name, the officer called her over and arrested her. She claims that the officer was rude and condescending, used foul language, and that he never did give her his name.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-3A (Providing Name)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Arrests)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Suspension

SOP: 1-04-4P (Language/Gestures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Suspension

SOP: 1-39-1A2&5 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Suspension

CPC-2011-122 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-123 District: 3 NHA: Silver Hill

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-124 District: 1 NHA: Orchards at Anderson Heights Sub Assoc. Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-125 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IA

Citizen claims officer was unprofessional and that he gave her an ultimatum during a traffic stop.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 2-47-1B1 (Traffic Enforcement Stops)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-126 District: 1 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-127 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-128 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Other

CPC-2011-129 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-130 District: 9 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-131 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-132 District: 7 NHA: North Eastern Assoc of Residents

Investigator: IA

Citizen was stopped for a traffic violation. He was contacted by the officer who stopped him and was advised why he was stopped. His required documents were collected, and the officer returned back to his vehicle. Citizen stated two other police vehicles arrived and he was contacted by an unknown officer. He stated the unknown officer explained a warning citation to him, asked him to sign it, and then requested that he exit the vehicle. He was arrested on an outstanding warrant and stated the officer was rough with him and verbally abusive. Citizen stated he was spoken to rudely by officers and was teased by them when he was asked if he could pay for the warrant and then not allowed to. He believes one of the officers kept his TAG Heuer watch after being placed in handcuffs. One officer took possession of the

watch and placed the watch inside the citizen's vehicle. The vehicle was then turned over to citizen's friend.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:** NE

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-133 District: 4 NHA: Del Norte

Investigator: IRO

The citizen is the Principal at an Albuquerque Public School. She alleges the SRO filed obstruction charges against her regarding the alleged rape of a student at the school because the SRO has bad feelings against her and her staff after a different incident earlier in the year.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-4N (Acting Officially)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-134 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Other Agency

CPC-2011-135 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-136 District: 2 NHA: Thomas Village

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-137 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-138 District: 2 NHA: Greater Gardner

Investigator: IA

Citizen had discovered that his vehicle had been broken into. Citizen reported a .22 caliber rifle missing from the vehicle. The weapon was later recovered by APD and placed into evidence. Citizen was informed of the recovery and preceded to the Evidence Unit to claim the weapon. A background was done on citizen, which revealed that the citizen had 2 to 3 outstanding warrants out of Las Cruces, New Mexico. He was informed he had to clear those warrants up first and then could claim his weapon.

Citizen claims the Detective had no right to hold his weapon from him and that he was rude to him because he hung up on him during phone conversations and did not call him back when asked to.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1G1 (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-139 District: 7 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-140 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-141 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-143 District: 2 NHA: Bel Air

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Criminal--Sent to IA

CPC-2011-145 District: 9 NHA: Singing Arrow

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that he and his wife stopped their vehicle in front of their son's apartment building to drop off his son. He complains that a police car approached with its lights engaged and the officer in the car told them to stay in the vehicle. The officer allegedly told the citizen that they were parked unsafely and that they could get rear ended. When they told the officer that there were no signs prohibiting them from parking there, the officer allegedly became so hostile that the citizen and his wife were in fear for their lives. They allege that the officer had an attitude and that there was no probable cause or reasonable suspicion for the officer to approach and detain them.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A APD Area: FH

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Arrests)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-147 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

The citizen was involved in a traffic accident. The citizen alleges the responding officer was rude to him and failed to put the name and phone number for the witness on the police report.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:** VA

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Not Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-150 District: 5 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-151 District: 1 NHA: Westgate Heights

Investigator: IA

On July 28, 2011 an APD officer arrived at citizen's home while he was building a fence. The officer told the citizen to remove the fence or he would be arrested for damaging private property. The officer declined to inspect tendered documents which citizen claimed would show his ownership of the property on which the fence was being built. The officer also told the citizen that he was doing childish things and would not gain much land by his actions.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area:** SW

SOP: 1-04-1 (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: None

CPC-2011-152 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-156 District: U NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated

Inactivation Reason: No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-157 District: 3 NHA: Downtown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that an APD officer came to his home to tell him about some complaints that the neighbors had made about his children. The officer asked for Citizen's name and he refused to provide it and when citizen tried to close his front yard gate to terminate the contact, the officer prevented him from doing so. The officer threatened to arrest the citizen but did not. An hour or so after the officer left, he returned to citizen's home and placed a parking ticket on a vehicle that was allegedly parked on private property. Citizen alleges that the officer was arrogant and threatening and that he should not have ticketed the vehicle parked on private property in front of his home.

Case Status: Closed

CPC-2011-173 District: 5 NHA: Ladera Heights Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-174 District: 8 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-175 District: 7 NHA: Alvarado Park Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Other

CPC-2011-177 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-178 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-180 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Officer Identified

CPC-2011-181 District: 5 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-182 District: 7 NHA: San Gabriel Area Investigator: IRO

The citizen was involved in a domestic violence incident with his girlfriend. The citizen was arrested. The citizen alleges the officer gave all his personal belongings to his girlfriend when he was arrested.

Case Status: Closed

Officer: A **APD Area: FH**

SOP: 2-08-12D (Evidence Safekeeping) **Finding:** Exonerated
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed **Disposition:** None

CPC-2011-183 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Officer Identified

CPC-2011-185 District: 9 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-187 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-188 District: 5 NHA: Skies West Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-190 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-192 District: 1 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-193 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Officer Identified

CPC-2011-194 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-196 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-200 District: 1 NHA: West Bluff Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-201 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-202 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-203 District: 6 NHA: South Los Altos Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-204 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-206 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Frivolous or Harassment

CPC-2011-207 District: 7 NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-208 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation-Procedural Clarification

CPC-2011-212 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-216 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-218 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-220 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-223 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Signature Provided

CPC-2011-224 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IA

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Other

CPC-2011-227 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** No Allegation of SOP

CPC-2011-228 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Citizen Withdrew Complaint

CPC-2011-229 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-230 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

CPC-2011-234 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation-Procedural Clarification

CPC-2011-236 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Mediation--Supervisor Resolution

CPC-2011-255 District: U NHA: Unknown Investigator: IRO

Case Status: Inactivated **Inactivation Reason:** Over 90 Days

Appealed Cases Resolved This Year

This Section lists the appeals requested to be heard by the Police Oversight commission (POC). Pursuant to paragraph 9-4-1-9(A) of the Ordinance , citizens who were dissatisfied with the outcome of their complaint as contained in the dispositional letter from the Chief of Police, could appeal to the POC.

Each appeal entry is formatted with the CPC Number, the complainants City Council District, the complaints Neighborhood Association (NHA), the investigating bureau (IRO or IA), a brief synopsis of the complaint, and the current case status.

This is followed by a timeline of when the dispositional letter by the Chief was issued, the date the Citizen requested an appeal, when it was first set, if it was reset and then when the case was heard. The date of the decision of the POC is indicated and when a request was made for the CAO to review the decision of the POC.

This is followed by each of the officers involved in the complaint including their assigned APD area. The Officers actual names have been omitted, and for any given complaint, are referred to using alphabetic letters (A-Z). It should be noted that no officer ever appeared before the POC.

Within each officer listing, is the SOP number involved, the SOP's general category, the case finding, the Chief/IRO Decision and the case disposition.

Citizen complains that she met with some APD officers so they could assist her in a child custody exchange. She claims that one officer was rude and threatening; and that when his Sergeant arrived, he was even worse in his behavior towards her and her children. She claims that the Sergeant was not only rude and threatening but he took her ten year old child to the ground, handcuffed him and detained him, all because the child did not want to go with the child's father. She claims the use of force was unnecessary and excessive.

Appeal Timeline

<u>Disposition Letter</u>	<u>Request For Appeal</u>	<u>Appeal Set</u>	<u>Appeal Reset/Heard</u>	<u>POC Decision</u>	<u>Request For CAO Review</u>	<u>CAO Decision</u>
01/14/2011	02/18/2011	03/10/2011		03/10/2011	03/30/2011	03/30/2011

Case Status: Closed-Appealed

Officer: A **APD Area: VA**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Unfounded
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 1-39-1A5 (Use of Belt Recorders)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Sustained
Disposition: Letter of Reprimand

Officer: B **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Not Sustained
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-151 District: 9 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she came out of the grocery store to find that her car had been struck by another vehicle. She called the police and an officer arrived to take a police report. Citizen claims that the other driver was given preferential treatment because the other driver was Hispanic as was the responding officer. When she told the officer that she was going to complain about the preferential treatment, the officer called his supervisor to the scene. Citizen claims that the supervisor intimidated her and that he discouraged her from filing a complaint.

Appeal Timeline

<u>Disposition Letter</u>	<u>Request For Appeal</u>	<u>Appeal Set</u>	<u>Appeal Reset/Heard</u>	<u>POC Decision</u>	<u>Request For CAO Review</u>	<u>CAO Decision</u>
01/14/2011	02/05/2011	03/10/2011	03/10/2011	03/10/2011	03/21/2011	03/23/2011

Case Status: Closed-Appealed

Officer: A **APD Area: SE**

SOP: 1-03-2C (Racial Profiling)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: B **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-03-4C (Racial Profiling)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-156 District: 6 NHA: Fair West

Investigator: IRO

The citizen was arrested for possession of heroin. The citizen alleges he was strip-searched in public and that the officers searched his house without a warrant. His mother filed the complaint.

Appeal Timeline

<u>Disposition Letter</u>	<u>Request For Appeal</u>	<u>Appeal Set</u>	<u>Appeal Reset/Heard</u>	<u>POC Decision</u>	<u>Request For CAO Review</u>	<u>CAO Decision</u>
11/10/2010	11/29/2010	01/13/2011		01/13/2011		

Case Status: Closed-Appealed

Officer: A **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

Officer: B APD Area:

SOP: 1-04-1A (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

CPC-2010-221 District: 7 NHA: Indian Moon

Investigator: IRO

Citizen's are upset that APD surrounded their home and ordered them out of their home at gunpoint. Both were taken into custody and detained. They allege that their detention was unlawful, that there was no probable cause to detain either one of them, and that excessive and unnecessary force was used during the incident.

Appeal Timeline

<u>Disposition</u> <u>Letter</u>	<u>Request For</u> <u>Appeal</u>	<u>Appeal</u> <u>Set</u>	<u>Appeal</u> <u>Reset/Heard</u>	<u>POC</u> <u>Decision</u>	<u>Request For</u> <u>CAO Review</u>	<u>CAO</u> <u>Decision</u>
05/19/2011	06/03/2011	07/14/2011	09/08/2011	09/08/2011		

Case Status: Closed-Appealed

Officer: A APD Area: SW

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

Officer: B APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

Officer: C APD Area:

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: Unknown

Officer: D APD Area: SE

SOP: 1-02-2BC (Searches/Seizures)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

Officer: E **APD Area:**

SOP: 1-02-2B2 (Searches/Seizures)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

CPC-2010-249 District: 9 NHA: Unknown

Investigator: IRO

Citizen complains that she had taken her car in for service at the Karl Malone Toyota Dealership. The Assistant Service Manager refused to service the car and she asked to speak to the Service Manager. As she was waiting, an APD officer and the Assistant approached her and they both refused to let her speak with the Manager. When citizen refused to move her car, the officer began telling her disparate stories, and he told her if she left there would be no incident or case number. The officer then changed his mind, lost his sense of composure and became hostile and aggressive towards citizen. The officer then grabbed her by her right hand and slapped handcuffs on her right wrist and he attempted to yank her arm behind her body causing her great pain and injury. When citizen told the officer that she would leave if he unhandcuffed her, he did and she left immediately. Citizen alleges that the officer is psychologically hampered and that he used excessive and unnecessary force when he handcuffed her. Citizen later filed additional paperwork that alleged that the report on the incident contained false statements

Appeal Timeline

<u>Disposition Letter</u>	<u>Request For Appeal</u>	<u>Appeal Set</u>	<u>Appeal Reset/Heard</u>	<u>POC Decision</u>	<u>Request For CAO Review</u>	<u>CAO Decision</u>
04/15/2011	05/09/2011	06/09/2011	08/11/2011			

Case Status: Closed-Appealed

Officer: A **APD Area:** FH

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 2-24-3 F5 (Investigations/Documentation)

Finding: Exonerated

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Unknown

SOP: 1-39-3B (Use of Belt Recorders)

Finding: Sustained

IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Disposition: Verbal Reprimand

Citizen claims the responding officer was aggressive and out of line in her behavior with him when he approached in a non-threatening manner. When citizen told the officer to calm down she felt the need to assert her authority by performing a mock pat search. When the officer performed the search, she kicked him unnecessarily in the ankle.

Appeal Timeline

<u>Disposition</u> <u>Letter</u>	<u>Request For</u> <u>Appeal</u>	<u>Appeal</u> <u>Set</u>	<u>Appeal</u> <u>Reset/Heard</u>	<u>POC</u> <u>Decision</u>	<u>Request For</u> <u>CAO Review</u>	<u>CAO</u> <u>Decision</u>
09/09/2011	09/20/2011	11/10/2011		11/10/2011		

Case Status: Closed-Appealed

Officer: A **APD Area: NE**

SOP: 1-04-1F (General Conduct)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None

SOP: 2-52-2A (Use of Force)
IRO/Chiefs Decision: Agreed

Finding: Exonerated
Disposition: None